1003	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1004	Yes	We need to get more people back on the land . There is lack of opportunity and land . There is also lack of affordable housing and rural transportation. Too many big landowners with self interest and very narrow minded. We desperately need more fruit and vegetables grown locally which needs financial support.	Stop big farms digging out biodiversity and spraying chemicals and polluting	61-70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1005	Yes	Landowners should be Scottish based for tax purposes and should be able to prove that this is their principal residence. Right to Roam should be enforced. Crofters given automatic first rights to buy. Community groups allowed first rights to buy land for community use.	It is the land belonging to the many and not the few	61-70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1006	Yes	I support CSOs wholeheartedly, the amount of vacant and derelict land in Perth is disgraceful	The retention of open areas and green field sites	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	3	Accessible Small Towns
1007	Yes	It would be good to have much more transparency on who owns land, especially in high land value urban areas. Living in an affluent, semi-rural locale I have heard through the grapevine that most of the land surrounding my town is owned by developers. Communities shouldn't need to use the grapevine to find out who owns land that directly affects community aspirations and peoples quality of life. Who owns what should be public knowledge in every part of Scotland.	Over development leading to loss of green spaces for humans and nature	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1008	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1009	Yes	Cap amount of land that can be owned by non-residents, investment firms, etc. Max price set on land to discourage investors. Progressive tax on developers/land not being used for community benefit. Different schemes/support for urban/rural acquisition and use of community land - current one size does not fit all. Support, legislation and funding for urban community-owned housing projects. Community first approach to all land ownership.	It should be community-owned and managed for community benefit	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1010	Yes	Acknowledgement that managed estates actually support greater biodiversity through a variety of means. They help control numbers of invasive and predatory species so that vulnerable species can thrive. There are greater numbers of ground nesting birds on managed estates than there are on RSPB managed reserves for example. Managed land also helps preserve biodiversity and habitat by helping to manage water courses and flood plains, maintaining private roads and basic things like fences. All of this helps protect habitat. They also help monitor visitors who walk the land under right to roam by cleaning up their rubbish. There is increasing amounts of damage done by general public using disposable barbecues and cigarettes causing wildfires. Often gamekeepers are relied upon by fire services to extinguish blazes due to their knowledge of the land and expertise in managed burning. Greater acknowledgment of why land in Scotland needs managed is beneficial to the communities who rely on the local economic benefits that come from well managed land. Managed land brings employment and sustains communities. Especially during shooting season.	The balance between the need to manage the land and faciliate responsible access	NA	Male	Interested Citizen		
1011	Yes	I would like to be able buy some land to live on in an Eco build temporary residence looking after the environment. Wales has progressive laws with roundhouse communities caring for the environment. I would like to see Scotland follow the lead.	A balance of caring for the environment, working the land in a sustainable way.	41-50	Male	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1012	Yes	Land and the decisions that shape how the landscape is used are at the heart of sustainable communities. Within our rural areas, depopulation is a major threat to thriving communities, land needs to be used to help sustain these fragile communities, providing houses, jobs, access to services and leisure opportunities; without control over how land is managed and used our communities will wither and disappear.	The community needs to be at the heart of how land is managed.	41-50	Female	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1013	Yes	Limits to individual ownership - i.e. how much any one person can own. Better to have large rural estates run by properly constituted charities, and make them legally obliged to aim for a balance between conservation and community development.	Maintaining the rural character and not trying to 'urbanise' it, eg streetlights	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1014	Yes	The Scottish government should place a limit on number of hectares one person or business consortium may purchase/ own. Vast areas have been taken out of agriculture to'rewild' paying lip service to government environmental targets whilst causing other pollutions eg hauling topsoil from the south of England to the north coast, encouraging over tourism by cars/campervans. Land should be available to create more Crofts to encourage more sustainable farming and more young people to stay or come into the area.		61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1015	Yes	Make Crofts more affordable for pouple and easier access to grants and more help with housing	Lack of development	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1016	Yes	I would like to make it unlawful to sell homes, castles, businesses and land out of Scotland	Access to wild spaces	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1017	Yes	Land in Scotland is a national resource that must be better utilised. I believe the majority of people in Scotland have lost connection to the land and we are seeing population decline in rural areas in favour of the central belt. This needs to be addressed. We don't need another consultation to understand the barriers that exist to people living and working in our rural areas. Housing, The Planning System, Jobs or lack of them, Ferries, our 3rd world road network to name but a few. Rural Scotland is an amazing place to live and bring up a family but to do so you need to make considerable compromises in your professional and private life. Land reform is a tool that can be used to reduce the need for these compromises. The funding structures that exist to support land reform need to change, smaller communities are being left behind as those with the most resource, are able to access funding streams while smaller communities face volunteer fatigue and lack of resource. If nothing changes the gap between them will increase. At present we are undertaking land reform by consensus, which is noble, but land reform needs to be radical and impactful.	People living and working on and with the land	41-50	Male	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1018	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1019	Yes	It's managed with environment as the priority. Industry and development is prohibited and land distribution changed to allow locals to purchase land so they can live in peace and security	It's left natural and free from man made development	51-60	Male	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
1020	Yes	The crofting commission really need a shake up, there is so much abuse of the crofting laws with absentee tenants, crofts being left to overgrow and fences falling down because the owners don't live here, have no interest in crofting but want to keep the land as an asset for selling down the line. The village I have moved into has a good community presence regarding crofting and livestock, we do gatherings together, scanning, dipping and generally all work together to benefit each other. This "community" vibe is lost in most other places. At least 3 crofts in the 15 or so in my village are unused, fences rotten and grass overgrown. There are plenty local youngsters who would jump at the chance to work a croft, as buying one is out of reach for so many here. If tenants aren't going to use them they should at least sublet so someone can. A lot of the estates here are community owned which is great but there are still a few that should be given the option, it's not been on the table at all. So to summarise, community buyouts are a great thing and should be encouraged and the crofting commission need a good shakeup to actually enforce the laws they have in place	That it's worked, not just sitting there as someone's cash pony	31-40	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1021	Yes	Land, whether in Scotland, or anywhere else, needs objective management. Currently it being subjected to qango control, with a "one shoe fits all policy", on whoever has their name on the freehold. Try to look beyond the "nasty private landowners" and take advice from those that know, not those, as is current, who think they do.		Over 70	Male	None of the above	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1022	Yes	We need to completely shift away from a market-led approach to land ownership in order to respond to the crisis in local democracy and engagement, housing availability and employment. We need public interest to take front and centre, with value from resources like wind turbines going back into communities. In real terms, this means far more restrictive caps on purchase sizes, land value tax, a public interest test enforced properly, support for communities to own and manage land - it also means real enforceable penalties for existing estate owners who are non-compliant. What it would mean for my community is an economy that evolves beyond seasonal tourism, the capacity to live and work near home, and better access to public services and facilities. It also means building rural infrastructure to serve more than tourists	Re-peopling the West Highlands, and bringing humans back to the landscape.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1023	Yes	Make more crofting estates owned by our communities. Create 10,000 or more new crofts across Scotland. Take our land back into community ownership and out of 421 elite wealthy landowners. Use the land for people to grow crops for Scotland. Share the land for Scottish communities.	Share the land for Scottish communities to grow food for Scotland.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1024	Yes	The creation of large estates and buy up of land by these big estate owners, needs to stop as is taking a huge amount of land out of future use, whether road improvements, village/town expansions etc. also the crofting system must be protected. Land Reform should not lead to the complete erosion of this system as it impacts on communities in the Highlands and Islands and leading to depopulation as the next generations are not being giving the opportunity to Croft/farm...and this is lead to pressures in large towns and cities with people moving to these areas, when this next generation is essential for the Highlands and Islands especially	Ensuring the next generations can live on the land and not have to leave	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1025	Yes	In my view, ownership is less important than governance - i.e., who is included in decision-making and landscape management processes. If ownership creates barriers to inclusive and participatory decision-making at landscape scales then changes in ownership structures might need to be considered, but an easier step would be to develop processes by which communities and local interests are engaged in dialogue together with owners to develop shared visions for landscape management and rural/community development. I worry that the ownership issue is a distraction from the idea of inclusivity and shared decision making, especially as community ownership will not solve anything if communities lack the resources, skills, capacities, or long term commitment for land management.	People, land management, and the resulting natural and social outcomes	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1026	Yes	The land belongs to the people! If landowners allowed the development of new villages/towns to house the population so that the land is utilised for all! Absent landlords are an issue!	To maintain the land for the local population & encourage local involvement!	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1027	Yes	Less owned by FLC & financial organizations such as [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL], farmland kept for farming food and not just investment opportunities for industrialization by wind farm corporations capitalizing on constraint payments. Food security important plus saving the countryside for future generations who will have the problem of cleaning up the pollution of wind turbines.	Farming, archeology, ecology, environment, tourism	61-70	Female	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1028	Yes	I would like to see land not being used to dump litter on this includes edges of roads, more bins and people to empty them would be great. Land used by farmers: it would be good if they were asked to not let loads of black plastic from their hay bales blow about all over the countryside, it's a big problem on [REDACTED - IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION]	Green spaces and the wildness of the area makes it beautifule place	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1029	Yes	1. Wide community ownership. Statutory landowner compliance. Local resource control. Landholding sizes limited. Secret sales ended. Local needs for affordable homes and local clean power sources will help repopulation	Public and private landholders hinder communities	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1030	Yes	Radical land reform including reform of crofting law. Prohibition on foreign nationals owning land in Scotland. Renewed focus on land for agricultural production. Better access to land. Lower land values. Tighter controls on land use.	Limiting of tourist development. Increased access to land for agric production.	41-50	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1031	Yes	Secure tenancies should be allowed to buy their farms at the moment if the land owner or owners are trustees of the land a pre-emptive right to buy does not trigger if a single trustee dies. I feel strongly that that when a trustee dies that it is a change of ownership	There should be a limit on the area of land a single person should own	61-70	Male	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
1032	Yes	More power for local communities to dictate how local land is used (even if it is privately owned - the needs of a local community should take priority over owners). More power to influence planning and development (our community has been told we are collateral when it comes to large energy developments and we have no input and just have to accept whatever Edinburgh forces on us). Ideally land ownership should be much more diverse. Much more smaller holdings (from a highland perspective where many holdings are in the 10s of 000s of ha.) More land under crofting tenure and more strict enforcement of crofting responsibilities. The government should force land owners to put land into crofting tenure as they will never do it voluntarily.	Wild open spaces.	41-50	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1033	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1034	Yes	There could be a portion of land given to the local community in each village, hamlet, town and city, run by a funded community leader for the benefit of the community, financially held in trust similar to a common. Each would have a shared building, toilet, and orchard. Where community can meet, relax, work, and eat together. Tokenised payment for use of the facilities, with tokens earned from community good, such as looking after nature spaces, growing food, crafting products and produce, building digital assets, social care, youth groups, elderly care etc for the benefit of the community. Keeping it local, protecting it for future and responding to community needs.	Protecting nature affected by climate risk, pollution, soil/water degradation.	41-50	Male	Community group or development trust member	3	Accessible Small Towns
1035	Yes	limit the acreage that any one private entity can hold (grouse shooting, speculative purchases etc). Bring private land back into public ownership and start sustainable projects to enrich the lives of the people in Scotland (socially, economically etc) - rewinding, crofting, small organic farming, food forests, project to reconnect people and nature.	Green spaces,community-shared spaces - that these spaces are properly maintained	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1036	Yes	Where possible the big estates should be broken up and put into local community hands via Trusts set up and funded to put the land to a sustainable use for local communities. Examples might be the building of affordable eco housing for sale and for rent with co-housing as an option. The development of more crofts on suitable land. Organic farming and market gardens. Sustainable energy development such as wind and tide power Controls on pesticide and fertiliser use by farmers All should be done with proper and accountable consultation with local communities.	. Farmers should re-plant the hedges they have taken out over the years	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1037	Yes	More land needs to be rewilded and given back to Scotland. Big companies are trying to make money out of us and it's not right.	Wildness, wildlife, nature, stopping development, destruction, capitalism	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
1038	Yes	A strict limit on the amount of land owned by one person or entity	Creation of more crofts and provision of housing for young people	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1039	Yes	More support for Community Land acquisitions - Regional Officers appointed to encourage communities along this road and help with applications to Land Fund.	opportunities for creation of new crofts	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1040	Yes	No more shooting for sport by rich people who don't care about our country, animals, ecology or people. More rewinding, nurturing of native environmental flora and fauna. Retain the right to roam, with more education on how to do that respectfully and with no negative environmental impact.	Nature. Animals. Walking or biking trails.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1041	Yes	Landowners should live on the land they have bought, in person, rather than appearing a couple of times a year and disappearing again. They should be morally obliged to support any initiatives the indigenous people need to see, and be in a position to physically support any community proposals. When an estate or significant amount of land appears on the market, resident communities on that land should be given 'first refusal' and be given sufficient and reasonable time to get their act together and make a bid. Simplify the route to community ownership and make a distinction between a 'Crofting community' and a 'Crofters community'.	Stable and sympathetic landowners taking positive interest in their communities	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1042	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Female	None of the above	2	Other Urban Areas
1043	Yes	I would like to see more money being made available to purchase land...Land prices are very high and an obstacle . A new law that enables land sales to all be visible within Scotland. Sales of land within Scotland to be retained with Scottish input Scottish land fund to have a thorough shake up. More democratic input; support for more public private partnerships, more larger scale carbon significant sales .		Over 70	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1044	Yes	Every reasonable opportunity should be taken to take back publc ownership of land and also to iit the amount f land owned particularly by owners who are normally resident in Scotland	Land ownership is an all Scottish issue	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1045	Yes	I would like to see some sort of checking of foreigners attempting to purchase land. I also believe that the fundamental use of land is for food production. How land is used for that should be the top priority, whether that is using the uplands for meat (protein) production or the lower ground for fruit, veg, potatoes or cereals. A profitable, vibrant farming community supports not just food production, but also vibrant communities through the many professions/businesses it utilises, Eg , vets, fencers, mechanics, electricians, transport/lorries. It keeps people in rural areas and justifies shops, schools, medical facilities.	Food production, local food for local people.	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1046	Yes	With regards to Crofting & Farming it is preferable for individuals to own their Land - Estate owners should be accountable - some are good & supportive of Crofting but many are BAD so need to be controlled	Crofts are too small and unviable	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1047	Yes	More freedom, less private ownership	Free access	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1048	Yes	Large estates need broken up to smaller parcels of land and made affordable for local families.	Re-instate crofting. Bring the land back to the local families.	41-50	Female	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1049	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
1050	Yes	Make it easier for communities to purchase land and also to have a say in how surrounding land is used and managed. At the moment few landowners share their plans and we can do little to influence them. Also need steps to encourage break up of large land holdings so individuals (not just big businesses) can own it.	How much say the community has in how land is used.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1051	Yes	Would like to see more land owned by more landowners - smaller farms with a focus on high quality products. More land managed for ecology and biodiversity and a move away from ecologically sterile farming estates. Less access restrictions - we all know it's not allowed but landowners constantly put barriers in place. It would lead to happier, wealthier communities invested in their local areas.	Access rights and improving biodiversity value of land.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1052	Yes	Council planning Authorities can be more approachable and more round table discussions with all interested parties involved. Much underused land could be beneficially used for community purposes, but the advantages for both landowner and community need to be balanced. Complicated land laws and planning regulations that only consultants and legal experts are privy to are detrimental to aspirations of non land owning people.ie the cost of development of any scale is prohibitive without Government assistance. And therefore individual ideas are abandoned while schemes with investor personal benefit in mind get approval , and a relationship to a community suffers.	That the community feel responsible for its maintenance and amenity value.	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1053	Yes	Highland and Island communities were colonised by the crown, assets gifted to loyal Lairds, our culture destroyed and devastated by the Clearances. This land was never willingly given—it was stolen. Now, death duties and incompetence have seen vast parcels sold secretly between wealthy speculators. Feudal Laird or green laird—both deny communities control of their future. Policy is woefully inadequate. The law backs landowners against local interests. Community right to buy is nearly impossible for under-resourced rural communities facing enormous estates. Regulation of landowners is laughable. Crofters have legal rights to buy but the process is onerous and expensive. Wealthy individuals can purchase the same land with an open chequebook and no community consultation. The situation worsens as green investors and hedge funds buy up land and the renewables gold rush reduces our rights. Land is at the heart of rural communities who've worked it for generations. This land was stolen without agreement or recompense. We need community self-determination and restoration of ownership. We urgently need a stronger genuine community-first model.	Protecting for the future, ensuring it's well managed, genuine partnerships	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1054	Yes	Too much land is owned by very few. Land reform has not gone nearly far enough.	The ability to own a small piece of land would be nice	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1055	Yes	Scotland is owned by a few. The only way this will change is if a small land tax is introduced. This would disincentivise investers to buy vast estates and increase the number of small holdings. It would increase the diversity of land use and reduce land prices.	Being able to buy an acre or two.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1056	Yes	Less land to be used for non production. Food security is essential. Ban on charities owning large swathes of land. Large estates and landowners should be forced to rent land to young entrants...after a change in law which denies tenants an automatic right to buy.	Used for production of food where possible,	51-60	Male	Business owner	2	Other Urban Areas
1057	Yes	I want to see a cap on the amount of land any one person can have beneficial ownership from, including via corporations and shell companies, and a presumption that communities and ScotGov have the right to buy underutilized or over-owned land at no more than a fair market price for its current use. When potential uses compete, priority should be given to community benefits. Large parcels should be broken up and parcelled for sale rather than being sold as is. That will drive down our current absurd land prices, make small parcels of land affordable to first-time buyers and small businesses (I'd love to do this more than anything else, but I have absolutely no hope of affording any land at present), and revitalise our communities. As an artist and craftsman, I'd be able to run a thriving business from a smallholding or croft.	Sustainable farming, outdoor recreation, sustainable housing.	41-50	Non-binary	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1058	Yes	Given over to local use, environmental protection projects, agri tourism, new crofting collectives, access for underprivileged groups.	Free to roam!!!	61-70	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1059	Yes	I believe there should be greater opportunities for people who wish to live in rural areas to acquire land. At present, access to land feels out of reach for many, and this limits what communities are able to achieve for themselves. If more local people had the chance to buy or lease land, it would open the door to younger generations being able to live in rural locations which currently feels only available for those who are wealthy. I also feel strongly that those purchasing land should have a real and lasting connection to the area. Ideally, buyers and owners should be resident in Scotland and living within the community where the land is located. This would help ensure that decisions about how land is used are made by people who truly understand the local context and who are invested in its long-term wellbeing. Land is a shared resource, and the way it is managed affects everyone. I believe we should move away from shooting estates that dedicate vast areas of land to serve the interests of the small, wealthy minority.	Encouraged access and increased biodiversity.	31-40	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1060	Yes	I would like to see more land owned by communities rather than faceless businesses or companies. The land should be used to get Scotland back to our farming and living off the land ancestry	That it is retained within community ownership for future generations	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1061	Yes	Simplier planning procedures for community owned land and easier routes to being able to acquire	Access	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1062	Yes	Land should be owned by resident Scots. Huge estates and their lands to be divided up into parcels of land that could be worked by their communities, for the communities.	Accessibility, right to roam, to forage, to walk dogs	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1063	Yes	Stop non residents from owning land in Scotland.	wildlife is being forced into pockets due to building of storage depots etc.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1064	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1065	Yes	Less new build developments and more re-wilding and re-foresting. There are plenty of brownfield sites and traditional vuildings that could be being adapted and repurposed for accomodation and business.	I love the park areas, even the big field beside Eliburn reservoi	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1066	Yes	I would like to see many more community run areas and woods planted for the enjoyment of all as well as the wildlife.	not purely managed for landowner's profit. More care for wildlife and people.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1067	Yes		Walking, greenery, nature, peace, helping the environment, protecting species	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1068	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1069	Yes	I feel strongly that more crofting estates ought to be owned by their communities, or split into new crofts across Scotland to make the land accessible to the many not the few.	100% it is the community atmosphere and spirit which comes with Crofting	NA	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1070	Yes	I would like to see rural communities repopulated to former levels. Rewilding is a priority alongside sustainable crofting.	Rewilding	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1071	Yes	When landholdings become available, priority should be given to local community groups. Separately I will send an email detailing the thoughts of [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] to your mailto:info@landcommission.gov.scot address.	Access, ecology, biodiversity, visual impact & maintenance of paths and trails.	Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	2	Other Urban Areas
1072	Yes	I would like land to be viewed communally no matter who owns it. This means that the decision-makers for great tracks of land owned across Scotland by estates, the MOD, National Rail can't just have decisions taken on them without active and evidenced community engagement and consultation.	Retaining native broad-leaved woodland in temperate oceanic zones (rainforest)	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1073	Yes	Abolish estate landing owning in favour of community use/ownership. Abolish the grazings committees system. Create new Crofts with planning permission for 2 Croft houses.	Community use and ownership at local level	51-60	Female	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1074	Yes	1) More land under community ownership & control would enable rural & urban communities to achieve their development aspirations, would reduce the concentration of power & control over land being in the hands of only a few, & would achieve multiple Government objectives, eg. community wealth building, net zero, economic transformation & rural repopulation 2) Achieving #1 requires a rebalance of private property rights in comparison to wider human rights relating to land (economic, social & cultural rights): This requires strong & committed Government leadership 3) Publicly owned land needs to be delivering far greater impact in terms of the public good, as well as demonstrating leadership re. land ownership for the common good. This includes transferring land into community ownership, creating new crofts, as well as leadership in transparency & disclosure about land-related decisions, in community engagement & in being held accountable to local communities for how land is used 4) Reform Land Register / Register of Sasines into a comprehensive, freely accessible & digital land cadastral system 5) Robust mechanisms for sanctioning poor land management (eg loss of public subsidies)	Community-owned land enabling achievement of local development priorities	41-50	Female	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1075	Yes	First things first. Old land registers do not match the crofting commissions records. There are also parcels of land that are being used but are not thought to be registered by the current owner. This must be addressed so as to ensure security of tenure, and appropriate rights. There should also be limits on the acreage anyone, or any company can own, none of this pseudo- or hidden ownership nonsense either. and there must be a requirement that owners have a permanent (main) address local to it. Furthermore, cease the rampant building of windfarms - they are of no benefit to the local populous and destroy amenity. There should be financial support (or less bureaucracy) for those restoring the environment or returning land to its original use. Community ownership must also be an active arrangement and beneficial to individuals - do not allow the re-creation of unanswerable entities or ones that makes sub-lets unaffordable or removes common grazing rights.	Protection from development, abuse, windfarms, power lines etc.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1076	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Landowner	2	Other Urban Areas
1077	Yes	I would like to see less building on land and more regenerative agriculture. This would make me happier knowing that the land would be in a better state, the food produced on it more nutritious, and this would benefit future generations.	Growing nutritious food, access to woodland walks, natural beauty	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
1078	Yes	Break up the enormous estates and let communities own more of the land. Community ownership has proved to be a catalyst for reversing depopulation and bringing able people back to their roots and thus able to bring innovative thinking to improve the communities across Scotland.	The wild areas are allowed to be wild and available to everyone.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
1079	Yes	All controllers of land should be part of, and help to build and repair, the natural, social and economic capital of local communities and the environment as an interconnected whole, regardless of its type, size or location. The key point is that land, areas, communities, on, over- or underground assets should not be seen as investable resources by bodies, whether private or corporate, simply interested in extracting or expropriating assets or gains to other places or financial instruments. Rather, they must make genuine commitments of the type in the 1st sentence. Recent history shows us that now, whenever a precious local asset is put up for sale, the fear is that it will be acquired by an outside body, exclude the local community from deciding its future. This process seems to be accelerating now with community and environmental wealth being lost to ever fewer entities. This is encouraged by Scotland's system of land ownership, especially as compared with those of other countries. This must change quickly; another 25 years of snail's pace progress will result in further irreparable damage to Scotland's biodiversity, climate resilience, communities and community wealth.	Nature and equity are the basis of sustainable communities	NA	Male	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
1080	Yes	Having lived on the Isle of Skye for 22 years, I lived & socialised with the crofting community. They proudly carried on the legacy of generations before them. Hard work for little reward was a fact of life for them. I strongly believe that crofters should own the land they farm & not be beholden to absentee landlords/millionaires.	Agriculture & livestock farming is vital for Scotland to be self sufficient.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1081	Yes	Introduce a total ban on non-dom ownership with sensible transition period. Restrict the right to own land to Scottish residents only, with min occupancy of 10 months per annum and min residence period of at least 5 years before substantial holdings could be purchased. Restrict corporate ownership. Restrict the total hectarage permitted as land comes on the market. Change law to prevent foreign/non-dom owners exploiting Scotland's natural capital for personal gain - particularly commercial woodland and corporate 'resort' entities. Inevitably this will affect land values short term but would ultimately lead to a more equitable, realistic and sustainable market in which farming and other genuine rural industries could flourish, young entrants wouldn't be priced out and balance would be restored to what is an utterly distorted market. We are open to any bidder with deep pockets. No other country has such lax and unregulated land ownership laws and radical action must be taken soonest	Lovely area but farms lost to forestry =rural depop. Planning needs rad reform	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1082	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1083	Yes	Restrictions on quantities of land one person can own. Far more community owned land for the common good. Ban driven grouse moors. Encourage rewinding and native forests. Reintroduction of native species such as lynx and potentially wolves.	Wild land and access to it on land and water	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1084	Yes	All land in Scotland should be out of corporate and private ownership.In doing so the people working the land/ rivers etc would be able to effectively manage the land for the good of everyone not jut the few . The ruining of large areas could be done by factors who would run the land for the good of all. The benefits would be immense in doing so you revive communities and be able to make it more sustainable.	The land in my area is fairly rugged, big businesses controlling large areas	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1085	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1086	Yes	I live in Edinburgh so here it is about preservation of green spaces and access such many of the green spaces in the city have no public access. I have no garden nor any hope of access to anything like.	Access and sustainability	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1087	Yes	Transfer of estates to community ownership and / or partnership schemes with decisions on land management taken for the benefit of nature biodiversity and people	Nature restoration, history and sense of place, an open cohesive community ,	NA	Male	Interested Citizen		
1088	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1089	Yes	More land should be owned by communities and people should have to live in Scotland as primary residence to buy land over a certain size. End killing of animals for "sport" particularly grouse , protect wildlife and rewild. I am a qualified mountain leader and run nature connection courses and it's so depressing to live and work in such a denatured environment, which many people don't even realise is not how it should be. In the context of climate and nature crises, we need to act much quicker and boldly. A small but very vocal and powerful lobby have held progress back for too long	Wildlife wildlife wildlife	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1090	Yes	Scot gov should buy any estates that come on the market.	Land use is the most important	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1091	Yes	No more wind farms. Costing us(tax payers) a fortune. Stop cossession payments, sort the situation out. Destroying our countryside	Its covered in wind turbines. Almost surrounded. Very angry, sad and powerless	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1092	Yes	It is critcal that Scotland regain control over the vast majority of its land within the next 10-20 years. Frankly I would like to see most large tracts of privately owned land (perhaps in excess of 50 hectares excluding farms?) expropriated but recognise there is not the political will to even attempt this let alone the power of existing landlords to block/delay such an attempt through legal means. What I think would be more feasible would be to pass tax legislation to make it advantageous to landlords prepared to sell that they get a major tax benefit in selling the land to local authorities/the Scotttish government, and an even greater advantage if they donate it.	Strong Community influence on ANY major land development regardeless of ownershp	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1093	Yes	An integrated land use policy. Wildlife management should be nationalised across the entire country and regional control boards established. Local control should be delivered through a combination of permits and cull targets with professional managers. All owners should submit 5 year management plans and should be supported in delivery - includes farmers, recreational managers and forests. I don't care who actually owns sit so long as no Russian or Chinese.	Landscape, amenity, effective use in line with national policy.	51-60	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1094	Yes	More community ownership. More community involvement and power in decisions made about large infrastructure developments.	In the Highlands, the absence of industrial scale developments.	61-70	Female	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1095	Yes	Let communities have more control over the land that they live on. Make it more affordable and have incentives to own and work the land that they live/work/lease.	Keeping traditions alive and not letting land go to owners who dont have a con	Under 30	Male	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1096	Yes	Reduction in size of private estates and increase in public and community ownership of lands. A concerted transition away from single- species prioritised land use as seen on game estates towards genuinely improved biodiversity land use with current estates converting to supported mixed woodland schemes. Addressing the extreme biodiversity crisis in Scotland should drive land use decision making and in so doing would improve my local environment as both a local community resource and a more robust tourism derived income source.	Protection of nature and better role of community decision making over its use.	NA	Male	Farmer or Crofter		
1097	Yes	Public land needs to be protected from developments. We are losing soo much public space to developments. There's less and less natural space for kids to run about and explore. Even in rural areas, the land is becoming exploited for tourism. Locals are being shut off from what was once easily accessible land.	Freedom to explore our own land	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1098	Yes	My suggestion is quite parochial, nevertheless...I have been a registered neighbourhood improvement volunteer in Glasgow for many years during which time I have seen litter and fly tipping reach outrageous proportions. Where this occurs on private land , it is essential that NIVs be given free access to the Registers of Scotland in order that the process of forcing the owner to remove litter and fly tipping can begin. At present the only way to move forward with this is for the NIV to pay the ROS fee...sometimes as much as £80...out of their own pocket. Surely, that is completely unacceptable. The land in ...and especially around...Glasgow, is groaning under the weight of litter and fly tipping and free access to the ROS in order to determine ownership is essential or...as is the case at the moment...absolutely nothing is being done and 'nothing' is not an exaggeration.	Better management of private land.	Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
1099	Yes	No land should be sold or owned to foreign investors including England Ireland and Wales	Countryside the environment and The beauty of Lochlomond	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1100	Yes	I would like to see a greater proportion of Scotland's land in public and community ownership. This is an issue of power, who holds it, who stands to gain economically from the economic potential of land, these are crucial questions. It's also a question of identity - who are we, our land is important to that, our communities too, what do they want to do in their place, they are the ones with a connection to the land, a desire to steward it well, not some offshore trust or hedge fund who sees land purely as an economic asset. We are not separate from the land, we are nature, owning more of it increases the potential for more people to live in a way that is close to the land, understands what the land itself wants to be and express, restores biodiversity and reverses depopulation. Scotland has a deep cultural trauma of being separated from the land by economic and political factors in centuries past, there is healing to be done there and that can only be done by reversing these enclosures (of the land and of the mind). My work is supporting communities to take ownership of land and assets, and seek out democratic ways to finance their aspirations. Land reform is integral to this.	knowing who owns it and whether it is cherished	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1101	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1102	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1103	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1104	Yes	Land should not be sold to non British citizens, who only visit for shot periods and prices then become out of reach for local/ community purchase. Local owners/ community would have greater interest in how things shpe the future for all and not the few .	Using the land to be productive and balanced in it's environment	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1105	Yes	We need more community ownership, like we see in na h-Eileanan Siar. Economic development, housing, environmental care, and other such topics are not well managed in big private estates. Having lived on community owned estates near private estates, I can see the difference. More power of decision locally will allow the people living in a place to manage it in the best interest of the community and the environment. The current scandalous sell of [REDACTED - IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION] is a good example of the kind of damage that can be done when communities are not involved in land management.	A good local rural economy with housing for local people, and Gaelic.	31-40	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1106	Yes	More people should have a say in how large areas of land are used or managed. Instead of thousands of acres being managed by a few wealthy individuals, this land should be managed in a more democratic way. This would make land management and use fairer, more accessible to local communities and allow for community / population level interests to be catered for rather than those of just a few individuals.	That any development is positive for the local community and/or the environment.	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1107	Yes	I would like to see a limit on foreign ownership of land, where land holdings do not contain a primary residence for the land owner. Other than this I do not think it is an issue of land ownership, but of land use. The main change I would like to see is for land owners to be obliged to take nature and nature restoration into account in their land management, including greater deer management and restrictions on land management for grouse moors. I believe much of our society, businesses and livelihoods have great dependencies on nature, even if they are not land-based businesses, and we need to account for nature in the way our natural resources are managed, with hard backstops	Access, creating a mosaic of habitats that includes native woodlands	31-40	Female	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas