1108	Yes	Make it easier for locals to obtain crofts.	That young crofters get the opportunity to work the land and make a home	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1109	Yes	Land ownership by owners outwith Scotland should be much more restricted. Land or buildings unused should be subject to compulsory sale. Use of dwellings for holiday accommodation should require planning permission for change of use, including houses currently used for holiday accommodation.	Unsuitable large structures or uses adverse affect.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1110	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Prefer not to say	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1111	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1112	Yes	I feel there needs to be a halt called to the current land grab for renewable energy projects. The justifications for these projects are dubious and their benefit to the long term future of our land and our children are uncertain at best, detrimental at worst. The damage to the land and wildlife across Scotland is irreparable and frightening. Our communities are not being heard as we fight for the amazing land we are lucky enough to live in. There needs to be more care of the land at a local level with greater consultations and choices for locals that cannot be overturned by central government, who generally don't have any idea of the impact of their decisions on the land in which we live. Destruction cannot be seen on paper. Our land needs protection and preservation, not pillaging.	Protection and preservation of land and wildlife	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1113	Yes	More land should be made available to young folk in crofting communities to build houses and be able to stay and work in their local communities where they grew up.	That it is used to help keep crofting communities alive.	41-50	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1114	Yes	We support a balanced approach to land ownership in Scotland. Commercial and residential investment are important economic and social drivers that require certainty and predictable conditions. While we welcome policies that encourage productive use of land, further empowerment of communities and occupiers should not significantly undermine the investability of real estate. We are also keen to engage in the development of policy related to compulsory sales orders. There is a need to respect market conditions, as there are often legitimate reasons why land remains vacant or undeveloped, meaning that forcing it to be sold at auction could be inappropriate or unfair. Policies that do not take these factors into account could discourage investment and slow the delivery of much-needed housing and commercial space.	Confidence to own, develop, and support Scotland's growth sustainably.	NA		None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
1115	Yes	I feel that land would be better used if it were owned by those who are local to the area and have an interest in maintaining it for future generations. There are too many outsiders with substantial bank accounts who buy crofts and do absolutely nothing with them and often don't live any where near to them. By allowing younger people to obtain crofts, it maintains a local population, encourages family members to remain in the area.	Land looked after and use of it made, be it agricultural/commercial	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1116	Yes	Land is too valuable a resource to be controlled by individuals who's only qualification to do so is their vast wealth. Land should be owned by communities, not by individuals who have no connection to the community. Across Scotland communities are solving social and environmental challenges, but its very difficult to do this without land. This needs to change, otherwise the population decline in places like Argyll, Eilean Siar and Sutherland will continue. The pace of land reform has slowed to almost a halt. This must change.	People, place and planet; but above all, sustainable communities	51-60	Male	Community group or development trust member	3	Accessible Small Towns
1117	Yes	The biggest issue at the moment is rich investors buying up large chunks of land for either rewilding(better known as letting the land go to ruin) or for offsetting carbon. Either is good for Scotland as it doesn't benefit the local economy as very few jobs are created compared to areas of land that are used for farming and other estate uses.Jobs are usually lost as people are not required to maintain the land so much. Family farms (Ones that have been run by the same family for agricultural purposes should not be affected (regardless of size) by any new legislation as in Hill Farms a sizeable acreage is required to maintain a reasonable income to make them viable. Also the amount of land that anyone outwith Scotland owns should be looked at first. That could make land more affordable for the younger generation coming through. Hopefully this makes sense .	To be able to pass any land you have, on to the next generation to manage it	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1118	Yes	Smaller parcels of land that can be owned or tenanted by more people. Community ownership is brilliant too. Therefore more opportunity for people to become crofters/smallholders/farmers or plant woodlands.	We are tenant crofters and it's a brilliant, affordable, accessible model.	31-40	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1119	Yes	I would like to see all of Scotland's Land to be publicly owned. To get there, we should regulate markets by introducing a wealth tax on large landholdings and cumulative land/property ownership, price caps on land and a prohibition of land to be owned by people not registered for tax purposes in the UK. There is precedent for this in other EU countries, it can be done. This would make Scotland one of the richest countries in the world: in that its most valuable asset would belong to its people.	To end the concentration of private large-scale land ownership	31-40	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen		
1120	Yes	Reduction in land assigned for shooting. Reducing deer and grouse populations would be a boon to our natural environment. Large estates should be more appropriately taxed, if not broken up.	Biodiversity, rewilding and continued access rights	31-40	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1121	Yes	Greater diversity of ownership to address the current concentration in a few hands. Greater community ownership of rural and urban land, and legislation in place to enable communities of all types to be able to own, manage and benefit from the land on which they live and work. Land is an incredible resource and the benefits need to flow better to the people/places of Scotland.	Biodiversity, housing, access	51-60	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
1122	Yes	Allow land workers the ability to use the land effectively without having large scale businesses who just care about profit destroy it	That people are able to use it as needed for heritage and production value	41-50	Female	Farmer or Crofter	5	Very Remote Small Towns
1123	Yes	The large estates returned to communities without their being compensated the way slave owners were at the end of slavery. Similarly, when bodies like Church of Scotland or the police want to dispense with buildings the community paid to build, these should be returned to communities without charge. Land that is not managed by the state should be owned by communities, who should be resourced to take back and manage land and resources (wind and water power, timber etc) in the interests of eradicating poverty, creating livelihoods for all, and ensuring a sustainable future No land should be owned by any body outside Scotland. When land and buildings are sold, none should be sold to private developers. Private property (the right of individuals to amass any amount of wealth) should not be permitted, but be replaced by personal ownership of the home and resources needed to sustain oneself and one's family. If someone owns more than everyone else could easily own too, then that is too much. Communities should be empowered to be effective custodians and developers rather than exhausted by the process of competing for funding to take scraps of ownership into their own hands.	Community - where food is grown, music played, folk meet - is the fabric of life	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
1124	Yes	It should be used for the same purposes as in the past, farming/crofting. No solar panels, no wind turbines, no pylons. Sheep being removed from moors and hill land is going to detrimental to wildlife, fauna and a huge fire risk. It's there to be worked and managed by those that care not those making a big fat profit.	That it gets no more green energy producing monstrosity's	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1125	Yes	Greater access to rural estates - less field sporting estates / fewer sheep and more tree! More tourism and more development of green energy solutions - hydro hydrogen and wind	Re wilding the landscape; Scotland is a brown field'site	41-50	Male	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1126	Yes	Recognition that our land is a 'commons'. It's a resource and everyone's responsibility to look after it. Defining commons as the cultural and natural resources accessible to all members of a society and that they give rise to the dynamic relationship between the members of a community, the resource being stewarded, and the practices and agreements for their co-governance. Accepting that allotments, orchards, community gardens, forest gardens and market gardens are 'commons' and should be managed in accordance with commons principals Local authorities to 'hold land in trust' not to 'own it', and have the duty to support and enable the formation, stewardship and well-being of the commons. The power the local authorities have to acquire land for growing should be implemented and used to create new commons. Requiring all new developments to give a proportion of the site as 'common good land' that is used for allotments and market gardens etc. Putting preservation orders on urban and peri-urban land that is uncontaminated and can immediately be used for growing This would ensure that everyone has the opportunity to engage with the earth is a way that interests them	Dutchas -	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
1127	Yes	Limit the maximum area of any one land type any one person, company or organisation can own. Where opportunity is not being utilised then we should look at the reasons why, understand why, and ask if help us needed or could others avail themselves of the opportunity.	For those who have worked to own land to work it without interference..	61-70	Male	Landowner	3	Accessible Small Towns
1128	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1129	Yes	I currently work as [REDACTED - IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION], so these topics are all the concern of my day to day work. Firstly as an employee of a trust which owns the community land I am really keen to see more developments such as this across the rest of Scotland, where the land and the decisions that are made about it are in the hands of the people who are actively involved with it in their day to day lives. I am also a member of the trust as a community resident and this gives me a stake in the future of the trust and the land it owns. I also hope my children will have this sort of opportunity when it comes to them choosing where they want to live and work. I am also very concerned about the push-back against community ownership and the ability for wealthy organisations or individuals to be able to buy large swathes of Scotland's landscape and I can't see how they could ever need (or effectively manage for that matter) all that land. So I think land ownership should be limited in quantity to not more that about 400 hectares per individual owner. This would be more than enough to sustain someone if looked after properly.	That there are people working and living on the land in a sustainable way.	51-60	Male	Environmental or nature group member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1130	Yes	I want to see more community ownership of land for the good of those communities. Taking land away from huge estates owned often by absentee landlords or who just have them as sporting estates. Land made available for communities to work towards resilience in local food production, energy production and spaces for nature and to rebuild.	Changing the way land is farmed to regenerative agriculture	41-50	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1131	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1132	Yes	Take it off the Corporate Company's create more usable land for the Young people of the communitys create Crofts	Create Crofts fir the Young in the Communities	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1133	Yes	Less sanitising of estates ie slaughtering deer and raptors to protect trees and grouse.	Wildlife and correct husbandry by crofters that isn't always affordable.	Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	3	Accessible Small Towns
1134	Yes	I would like greater ownership transparency, a reduction in off shore corporate owners and easier access to community buy out.	The need for housing and crofting	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1135	Yes	More land to the people, not vast amounts of land to the 1% super rich lairds. People would come together to work the land, sharing their products and learning actual skills. Scotland land should be prioritised to Scottish people too.	Preservation of nature	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1136	Yes	Compulsory purchase powers for land for housing. Valuation of land as per current use.	Protection of heritage landscape balanced with the needs of our community.	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1137	Yes	Thousands more Croft's created, families allowed to live on the land, end the monopoly power of large landowners, proper taxation and rules of law to apply to everyone not just the poor	No more money wasted on fences, support access and infrastructure instead	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1138	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1139	Yes	I think our land should be owned by us so owning large areas of land in Scotland whilst living in another country, buying land for greenwashing and buying land as an investment with no intention of managing or developing that land should be discouraged. Advice and insentives to manage the land you own in an environmentally friendly way should be the norm. Large landowners should be required to sell off pockets of land to local communities at reasonable prices where the local community desires it. Small parcels of land for crofting, holiday huts, community groups etc should be available to rent or buy obviously there would need to be some restrictions on how these parcels were used and developed.	Preservation of small pockets of native woodland for the benefit of wildlife	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1140	Yes	Too much land is owned/ controlled by too few people	Space that is safe and made for people not vehicles	Over 70	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
1141	Yes	I feel that Scotland is being sold off to the highest bidder with far too many foreign people owning huge estates. I have personal experience of having been brought up on an English owned estate where much ground was private and we were not allowed past the 'White Gate'. The estate has now become a tax avoiding Trust so the land has been opened out to all. I have nothing against foreign people except for the sale of Scottish land without any cessation.	The development of energy infrastructure, housing, job opportunities.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1142	Yes	Food security and production should be at the top of the agenda. Government should be stopped from buying land and thus inflating the land price which they have consistently done since around 2011.	Agricultural production	31-40	Male	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1143	Yes	Stop planting farmland in trees, solar panels and battery storage sites. Net zero is a total farce. Lots of oil still in North Sea, why not use what we have? Wind farms should not be within 10 miles of a house.	Productive farmland being taken out of production forever is a worry	31-40	Male	Business owner	8	Accessible Rural Areas
1144	Yes	I would like to see the land around me to be free from pesticides and bee killing chemicals. There should be more organic farming methods and care of the land. Re wilding in areas should be a more common. Logging should be kept to a minimum in certain areas. It would make a difference to people's health not living in areas where pesticides are used.	I would like to see more forested areas	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1145	Yes	We are at an important juncture right now. Change has been dripping painfully slowly over many years, but wherever the opportunities have been adequately supported the call for community-run, co-operative and sustainable initiatives - from housing co-operatives to community-owned estates, from social enterprises to sustainable businesses - that call has been overwhelmingly taken up and found to be the right thing for a people-centred, sustainable future. I believe that is the only future worth working towards.	Our languages and culture, placenames and history	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
1146	Yes	Land ownership should not be concentrated as it currently is. It should not be owned by people who live outside Scotland. Land should not be an investment.	Concern about lack of care, susceptibility to erosion from storms	61-70	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1147	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1148	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1149	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Accessible Rural Areas
1150	Yes	Less newly built homes!! There is far too many poorly built new houses. It is destroying land that should of been left to wildlife. Foreign investors should not have a say on our land.	Wild life, green spaces and farmland for Scottish farmers.	31-40	Prefer not to say	Landowner	3	Accessible Small Towns
1151	Yes	Allow farmers to make decisions as they understand nature better than all these suits in ivory towers. What a mess these suits are making of policy.	Growing healthy food by farmers	51-60	Female	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
1152	Yes	I would like to see more community land ownership where organised groups with vision are able to access land with ease to create long term agro forestry and accessible gardens. Thinking of water management that is on site storage in water blocks/ containers. Agropreate solutions to the specific local vicinity. Fruit trees local to the area with varying species and varieties.	Trees - different species making habitat for bio diversity. Clean rivers	31-40	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1153	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
1154	Yes	Make clear who or what organisation owns every square inch of Scotland, and make the information easily accessible by the public. Include what the responsibilities are which landowners have to comply.	Ensure landowners maintain the quality and use of the land they own.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1155	Yes	Many more Small crofts created for people to live and work - setting up small businesses and Producing food, small manufactures , tree nursery's etc .. Community owned .	creating small croft community owned	NA	Female	Landowner		
1156	Yes	More effective balance of private and public interest ownership and uses for land. As a fundamental resource, land impacts everyone but it is most frequently used for private profit. There should be a better balance of private and public interest ownership. There needs to be easier ways for power and control over land to be changed, with local people able to signify a need for this change. Local democratic communities should be able to influence governance systems, particularly when land is not being used appropriately (as in vacant and derelict land) or to address land needs. Sometimes these communities may want to buy land or buildings, but other times there is a need for action by public bodies. Public bodies can be very difficult for communities to influence, and they are often the best organisation to step in (particularly in urban areas). In rural areas concentration of landownership needs to change.	The beautiful greenspace, held by private and public landowners	41-50	Prefer not to say	None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
1157	Yes	I'd like to see large estates broken up with a view to a major repopulation of rural Scotland to pre-clearance/enclosure levels. The population increase will create a growing tax base to fund improvements in infrastructure.	Using more land to create housing and services for people in rural Scotland.	51-60	Male	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1158	Yes	I believe land owners should be made to lease agricultural land which is not being used to people who will use it. Too many old crofts/farms are lying dormant under current land ownership, but families could be making a living from it if they had access to farm it themselves. I also believe that agricultural/croft land should never be planted with trees or allowed to be left for trees to regenerate on. There should be penalties for allowing this to happen.	The most important thing is LOCAL families making a living from the land.	NA	Male	Land manager		
1159	Yes	Why risk investing significant amounts into residential tourist development, when such a project could be jeopardised by giant wind turbines next door? It is a measure of the contempt for the rural residents and Caithness that Highland Council entertain giant turbines in the vicinity of Orig Hill. Existing plans are out of date and no longer fit for purpose. Parts of Caithness should be protected from further development. What is seen in the area between Orig Hill and Wick is in part the legacy of Sir John Sinclair of Ulbster - the outstanding agricultural improver of his generation. Action is required to prevent the further destruction of the landscape and character of the entire county. The question of additional support to farmers and crofters in protected areas should be considered in order to help preserve an important feature of Caithness and provide a base for the growth of rural tourism. Land in these areas that might be made available for the aided involvement of new and young farming entrants would also be welcome. The amount of land available might be small but with an emphasis from the start of a diversified business model it is not an unrealistic aim.	Saving landscape and character of Caithness with opportunity for development	Over 70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1160	Yes	The land should be given over the local communities giving local families the opportunity to farm the land Not owned by the few.	That it is acceptable to the local community. Owned and of benefit to locals	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
1161	Yes	I would like to see a cap on the percentage of land that can be owned by one person/company. I would also like to see a much bigger percentage of land given or sold to local communities. I think there should be much stricter rules around what large landowners can do with land and how the local communities are brought into making these decisions. I think that some landowners create jobs for local people which is a positive allowing them to stay in the area but this in turn means they are less able to voice concerns or have any kind of pushback towards the landowners. Effectively sometimes it feels like communities are being 'bought'.	Freedom of access and parts left wild.	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
1162	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1163	Yes	The land should be owned for the people by the people. Land should be used to develop more crofts and other community owned land initiatives. No-one should be allowed to own vast estates or islands unless it is in trust for the local community.	Land should not be built upon for wind farms without community consent	51-60	Male	None of the above		
1164	Yes	It would be a great improvement to see land made available at a reasonable price for younger people willing to work the land. Not holiday home prices and not estate prices. Most land is locked up with estate owners owning vast swathes of land and pushing out communities, intentionally in many cases. ORit is held by an older generation of crofters (I see No problem with this) but if the Highlands are to remain vitally alive with community, parcels of common grazing would benefit from being made into crofts for people who are interested in a life in the Highlands. The Highlands are dead on the ir feet without a younger generation. The institutions and land owners have not changed since the clearances, the greed machine of the rich still turns its ugly wheels,the evidence is laid bare for all, and the young are now pushed out by middle class second home owners also, with over 50% of the small village where I live being second homes.	Crofting land and sea/ community and keeping the traditions alive.	31-40	Female	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1165	Yes	Open to anyone who does no damage - despite who owns it.	Leave it as you find it.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1166	Yes	More community land for of community.	Upkeep & access to areas	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen		
1167	Yes	More access for young folk to obtain a croft. Too many held by those people who are not interested in using them but wont give them uo.	Food production is under valued. More support for small farms is needed.	61-70	Female	Tenant	3	Accessible Small Towns
1168	Yes	Would like farmland and hillsides to continue being used for farming/game rearing purposes only. At the moment farmland and grazing land is rapidly being used as a means to go "green" by big companies/billionaires. Farmers/gamekeepers are the ones who know the countryside better than anyone else. To sum up, farmland/hillsides for food production, not rewilding or filling with solar panels, wind turbines, battery storage etc and to be owned by the people working the land.	Seeing land being used for sustainable food production, the way its always been.	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1169	Yes	Land should be owned by the community and not private overseas investors. I'm looking for a croft although I have no capital. I want to live rurally but this is out-with my means financially. It shouldn't be like this.	Regeneratively living with and on the land, increasing soil fertility.	41-50	Female	None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
1170	Yes	The use of land should be guided by those who live on or near to it. They are the only ones directly affected by decisions around land uses, both positively and negatively. In order to be able to access their human rights (including to employment, housing, health, food and cultural life), local people must be able to affect land use decisions. Resorting to community ownership of land should be considered a failure of other mechanisms to affect change, but its need is indicative of the fact that legal ownership is currently the only way that such power can be enacted. Structural barriers to local control must be mitigated by real power being held be local people in order to mitigate against external drivers, the most prominent and obvious of which is private profit. Only through this local power can the people of Scotland access their fundamental human rights and live a fruitful, healthy life. In my current urban environment, this will help to reconnect communities with their immediate surroundings and help to mitigate the blights of unused and detrimental land, as well as empty homes and unaccountable 'land banking'. Currently this detrimentally affects health in the area.	Having a real say on new developments on pockets of urban land.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1171	Yes	•More of the development value when planning consent is granted accruing to public sector for provision of infrastructure, community facilities & services – currently 100% goes to landowner •More genuine engagement by major landowners (+1,000 acres) with local communities on land management strategies, future development plans, opportunities for collaboration on local development priorities. •Make tenets of LRRS mandatory and explicitly linked to public funding including land management support. •Public interest test being applied to sales and use of significant landholdings – too much marginal/wild land in very poor ecological condition due to mismanagement, 'traditional' sporting regimes, or neglect. •Better in integration of agricultural and forestry policy mechanisms to support nature recovery in LFA's and crofting areas – current policies and funding often work against wider national goals on reforestation, nature recovery and carbon sequestration. •Making it easier for communities to use the Land Reform powers – currently it is inhibitively difficult for communities to make timeous EoI's when large landholdings come on the market with little warning.	Too much of it is under the control of large estates with little/no engagement.	51-60	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1172	Yes	Less interference from government to allow family farms to continue. Family farms contribute not only to the local economy but also to the cohesion of local communities, often contributing far more than is realised.	The continuity of land management and food production.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1173	Yes	I would like land not to be in ownership of foreign people or companies in order that those living in Scotland have the say in how it is used. (subject to normal planning processes) I would also like to see some changes to enable responsible owners to make land safe without threat of reprisals. (ie locally [REDACTED - IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION]) and for affected communities to have greater influence.	That it is not influenced by remote developers seeking profit,.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1174	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Land manager	2	Other Urban Areas
1175	Yes	I think that people who are making good use of productive land and know what they are doing should be left to get on with it. Obviously within the scope of legislation. Large scale building projects should use up brown field sites where possible. Renewables/trees should be placed where land can be of no other productive use. Communities should only have input if they are qualified to do so. Ideally if they have an opinion they should have some financial stake to have an opinion. If there is a community project they should have to prove continuity to be in charge of a project/area so areas do not fall into disrepair..	That there is a balance of society, productivity and beauty.	41-50	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1176	Yes	As a former hillwalker/climber, I have witnessed foreign owners of estates trying to block access, despite there being a legal right of access. This has to end. There needs to be better use of huge estates for the people of Scotland and not just foreign or rich fishermen or shooters.	It should provide a fair income for workers, and a safe haven for wildlife.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1177	Yes	If land were owned and used for community and public benefit as opposed to for financial gain, with the benefit of that gain not felt in the local area. I believe this would allow for sustainable land use which would both environmentally and economically benefit Scotland.	Access.	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
1178	Yes	I would like to to see far tougher laws on how large estates are managed. Absentee landlords should be under heavy scrutiny. A share of renewewable energy profits should go into a regional fund to help communities.	Keep and employ young families in the area they were brought up in.	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	5	Very Remote Small Towns
1179	Yes	Less government interference and less negative press than landowners are bad. More respect for ownership / tenancy rights and more respect and appreciation that the land forms part of our business. More support from government and more recognition from government for what we actually do. Active and responsible crofters and farmers play a vital role in delivering high quality food and high welfare standards while providing for and helping sustain biodiversity. As a crofter, and in an active and vibrant Crofting county, we have a beautiful landscape filled with cattle, sheep, silage / crop with a vibrant biodiversity filled with rare species such as curlews, lapwings, oyster catchers, snipe and other waders, endangered species such as Shetland bumble bee, twite and linnet and wildlife and rare flower species to name a few. Recognition is needed to reflect what we are doing (our farming / Crofting practices) (without a government scheme) can work in collaboration with nature. Crofters and farmers are not the bad guys.	The natural diversity and collaboration of farming / Crofting and nature	31-40	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1180	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1181	Yes	We need to see more support given to landowners to balance the constant ratcheting up of pressures on them such as from Government interventions (esp. around IHT), ever-increasing regulations, the continued woeful profitability in Farming and even the abhorrent rise in Fly-tipping amongst other Rural Crimes such as petty theft (that results in higher costs of insurance). Many Landowners are Cash-Poor even if apparently "Asset-Rich". Right to Roam garnered great support as a well-intentioned initiative but has resulted in a substantial increase in littering with little evidence that "Leave No Trace" is being followed. Again, Landowners need to be supported with direct financial support for providing this access in a suitable manner.	Wanting to see more varied woodland planting than just commercial forestry.	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1182	Yes	Fairer to ordinary people, transparency of who owns what and of the acquisition process, simplification, and get rid of multiple layers of bureaucracy. Even if all that is achieved it still won't work unless infrastructure is fairly in place. Centralisation in a few 'capital' cities means more peripheral places can't compete however fairly land is organised, e.g. higher delivery charges, or non-availability of services where people are on all Scotland's land. Local decision making, centred in easy travel distance where the people, however few or remote they are, means local accountability of known and accessible people. That, adequately funded, gives the land back to the people who know it, understand it, value it and care for it.	I live in, and treasure, Scotland's land. Let ME look after it.	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1183	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1184	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1185	Yes	Common sense needs to privy and let farmers farm the land the way they know best. They will create wealth and ensure rural communities thrive. Get rid of this idiocracy of rewilding!	Production of food	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen		
1186	Yes	More land should be used for food production, food security should be top of the government's priority's	Food production	41-50	Male	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1187	Yes	No change	Farming	51-60	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1188	Yes	Farmers need to be making decisions and not scottish Land Commission committees and Hovt staff. The latter have an agenda and it smells badly	Beautiful scenery and cattle and sheep for tourists to enjoy	41-50	Female	Business owner		
1189	Yes	Better distribution less large owners	That locals have access to Crofts	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1190	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1191	Yes	Leave it alone.	Allow farmers to farm it and stop interfering and creating red tape	51-60	Male	Business owner		
1192	Yes	More land available to farm especially for young farmers or Crofters, we desperately need a knowledgeable rural workforce.	Land is managed, whether its for food or biodiversity it needs managed	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1193	Yes	It's not about ownership, it's about the availability of land that can be useful for farming, leisure and sporting purposes. All feeding back into the rural economy. There is no need to tinker too much. But it is saddening to see vast swathes of land being purchased by foreign money with little regard to the local populace. We need to ensure food production, biodiversity and sustainability going forward. Who owns the land isn't that important, so long as access is available to secure those goals.	heritage alive of crocking and farming and securing a future for that heritage.	41-50	Male	Land manager	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1194	Yes	I would like to see more community ownership replacing absentee landlords. People who live in an area fully deserve to have a say in how it is run. This would give communities the power to influence how things are progressed, and for whom.	Being steamrolled by vested interests who do not have the common good at heart.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
1195	Yes	Allocate more land from large estates for local community by-outs and for affordable housing	Affordable housing for local people	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1196	Yes	Give tax breaks to encourage landowners to let land to young farmers.	Glowing crops & producing food.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1197	Yes	Not to big farms but big enough to be profitable, no good land (i.e., ploughable land) being planted in tree,s including Christmas tree,s. Plenty of hill land for them.	Productive beef sheep and grain	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1198	Yes	No change required bar sorting out slipper farmers. Get LFA reissued immediately.	Scenery & good healthy cows and sheep on fields of grass & good crops on arable	51-60	Male	Land manager		
1199	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Female	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
1200	Yes	Foreign people should be banned from buying any of our land. Far too much land is already in the hands of foreigner's.	Well managed and farmed well land	61-70	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
1201	Yes	Should encourage land owners back into having farming tenants. Plenty young enthusiastic folk out there can't make any strides forward as stuck on seasonal lets. Land suffers lack of investment and is therefore farmed inefficiently as a result.	Maintenance of the vibrant rural community and workforce.	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1202	Yes	My home, community, area, however you would like to describe it is owned by 1 person. Over 50 thousand acres of farmland, hill and forestry. Now there is less than 90 people live on this land as the landlord has removed as many families as he can. He likes it to be remotely populated. But he lives in London and rarely visits his highland retreat and has now turned his attention to wind energy in the name of being green. Fair enough but why should someone who doesn't live in an area have such a say on who does or doesn't in this case. Community ownership of even the old farm houses and farm buildings would encourage regeneration of this fragile community.	Preservation of the community and of the landscape.	41-50	Male	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
1203	Yes	End land sales to foreign owners. Facilitate community buy outs to prevent investment companies speculating on carbon credits. Support farmers who are feeding people and protecting the environment. Stop blanket planting of the landscape that represents our cultural heritage.	That it is productively and sustainably feeding and supporting rural life.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
1204	Yes	Not so much land used for blanket planting and rewilding.	People	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
1205	Yes	Land owned outright (no landlord) by the people who live in the communities. Croft land should be worked by the people who own the land not sublet to maintain control. No individual or corporation should be allowed to control large areas of land. Real opportunity for locals to own and develop land in their communities without being priced out by already wealthy people who often don't live in the communities and people who already own large areas of land. More crofts should be created and those crofts should own the mineral rights to their land not the historical landlord.	Local control and benefit	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
1206	Yes	No change, land should be owned by people who will look after it and keep it in good condition and use it to produce food and shelter for humans and animals.	Land is kept well because it's owned by farmer's, .	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1207	Yes	Land should not be allowed to be sold to foreign based individuals and companies. Also land should not be allowed to be owned for speculation. Ownership of land over a certain size should come with an automatic referral to local planning authority. Land use should be under the local planning authority and not Forestry Scotland or other Quango. Forestry Scotlands planning authority vote on tree planting is an anacronism and shouldn't be allowed for example. It would enable proper judgements to be made on the random afforestation area round [REDACTED - IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION]. Local people have no control over this.	Proper Local Planning Authority controls.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1208	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
1209	Yes			61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas