257	Yes	I think there should be a maximum land area that any one person can own or part own (e.g. in corporations). The reason is that they can not work the land so must make other arrangement (rent out, leave barren rewild with tax payers money).	Seeing it well managed - a mix of agriculture and areas for nature	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
258	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
259	Yes	We need more community ownership of land. It is the source of wealth retention, circulation- community wealth building. And means activity on more of Scotland's land can reflect local needs, wants and desires.	Onwership, access and generative use not extractive.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
260	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Under 30	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
261	Yes	Less use of land for 'sport', i.e. grouse and deer shooting.	Maintaining/increasing biodiversity	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
262	Yes	Why does it need to change?.	That someone is looking after it.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
263	Yes	The ownership of land and how it's used depends entirely upon the location and quantity. In highly sensitive areas IE. SSSI more care needs to be taken, on the usages, it's obvious to me living within 25 metres of a SSSI that unauthorised damage is being inflicted and ignored.	It's natural wildlife flora and fauna.	Over 70	Male	None of the above	7	Remote Rural Areas
264	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Community group or development trust member	7	Remote Rural Areas
265	Yes	I would like to see more land owned by large nature charities and private landowners who are committed to nature recovery. I'd like to see sporting estates, grouse moors and land not being managed for nature recover taken away from their owners and given into the care of large nature charities. Farmers should be made to practise regenerative farming. I do not agree with community ownership of land unless the land is specifically managed for nature. I don't want to see any more of Scotland's land turned over to wind farms, battery farms, electricity substations etc.	Nature restoration	61-70	Female	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
266	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Under 30	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen		
267	Yes	Owned by Scottish individuals only. The price would drop and could be used by locals	Retain traditional family farms not carbon washing	NA	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
268	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Under 30	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen		
269	Yes	I'd like to see the visual amenity of land honoured by all stakeholders in development, planning and infrastructure. That doesn't necessarily need land ownership change, but it does need greater checks and balances against misuse of the land. Large estates that maintain artificial grouse moor need to be incentivised to develop more biodiversity ecosystems.	Habitat and visual amenity.	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
270	Yes	Crown land is woefully mismanaged; it should be let out to land owners and communities with a clear plan for its stewardship and use.	Farmers, land managers, and game keepers should be supported not punished.	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
271	Yes	Proper democracy. Every Scot should be able to buy/rent a piece of their own country if that's what they want. That could be anything from a small allotment to a larger plot to build a home. The wealthy storing their wealth in huge Scottish landholdings is so no right in the 21st century.	To find a piece that has not been overexploited for sheep or Sitka plantations.	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
272	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
273	Yes	Not sure	How it is managed	Under 30	Prefer not to say	None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
274	Yes	a right for traditional, heritage craftsfolk to occupy unused agricultural buildings to facilitate a resilient rural economy. This would make a huge difference to safeguarding many historic buildings which have no protection and help repopulate previously cleared glens. the public interest test for large estates when they are sold, providing the right checks and balances a legal limit on the amount of land that one person or affiliated organisation can hold	Fair access to resources for my heritage craft work	31-40	Male	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
275	Yes	Land can only be bought by someone resident in Scotland for 10 years	It must be either used for grazing or growing ,	Over 70	Female	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
276	Yes	Greater emphasis on biodiversity Land owners & recreational users fully compliant with Scottish Access Code. All land is of value - undeveloped land is as much (if not more so) than 'developed land.'	That it is treated with respect	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
277	Yes	More land should be used as sporting estates and not left to burn in wildfires.	I do not like the way people leave the land after wild camping	NA	Non-binary	Interested Citizen		
278	Yes		Open access	61-70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	7	Remote Rural Areas
279	Yes	1.More trees across the landscape. 2. More access and initiatives to reconnect with the land, particularly food production such as farming and hunting, as a way to reconnect with our food systems. 3 Continued outreach on understanding the land- physical but also the cultural landscape. Whilst infographics are good at this, in person experiences are more ideal to connect deeper, particularly in ways that reduce and remove financial barriers. 4. Removing financial barriers to accessing Scotland's landscape, particularly travel financial barriers, for example through expansion of the young scot bus pass.	Ecological diversity and balance. Not humans having no trace, but a positive one	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
280	Yes	Land suitable for food production needs to be used for food production - land less suitable would be where necessary food processing could be done. Farming and livestock rearing skills need to be developed and passed on to younger generations. Local or mobile abattoirs also need space. This may not be popular with 'landowners', but being as close as possible to self-sufficient in high quality food is crucial for the health and welfare of all Scots. No housing development needs to happen on agricultural land. There are brownfield sites, disused industrial estates and office complexes which could be repurposed. Profiteering is all that prevents investment being made in change of use plans rather than despoiling of productive countryside.	That urban creep into greenfield areas needs to stop.	NA				
281	Yes	Great swathes of land should not be owned by one person or corporation. There should be more community ownership and involvement te ensure everyone benefits from our land.	Land decimated by mining needs to be restored for communities	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
282	Yes	Like the French communes - the local area belongs to the people of the area. They control what happens to it - much smaller than counties - more like the English parishes! When the woods (for example) need thinning, the wood from the felled trees is a common resource and is shared among those who need it for fuel. I'm thinking of a forested hilly area, where log burners are the norm (in Alsace). But the idea that local resources are for local people resonates with me.	Common ownership	NA	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
283	Yes	I would like to see the land not used to make foreign companies a fortune but to retain agriculture, tourism and belong to the Scottish people	That the community benefits from it, not foreign companies.	NA		Interested Citizen		
284	Yes	Stop the sprawling energy catchers. Stop the wind turbines, stop the solar panels, stop the shipping container batteries. Stop the expansion of roads into nature. Stop the additonal and unnecessary overhead powerlines, stop the additional and unnecessary substations. Stop [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] and [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] iron grip destruction of Scotland. Who will stand up for Scotland?	Landscape, nature, history, viability	NA		Environmental or nature group member		
285	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
286	Yes	More community and public ownership.	Looking after it properly and using it for the benefit of ordinary folk.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
287	Yes	more native trees	freedom to walk	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
288	Yes	A more equitable ownership of our land. Ideally similiar to Uzbekistan where all land is ultimately owned by the people	Diversification of land use.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
289	Yes	No one should own the land. The government should act as legal guardian.	All life should be considered in land use.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
290	Yes	None at all. Why? Because this survey is a sleekit attempt at a Marxist land grab. It is a fundamental human right to own things. Otherwise you are a slave. So owning your clothes and shoes is good. You work for the money to buy those goods. You have choice about what you buy, when, where and the style. The same applies to housing and land. Some aspire to live in a remote stedding, off grid. Others in close proximity to their family. Why should the state remove that freedom of choice?	Good social housing, affordable private housing, gardens and green spaces.	NA	Male	Interested Citizen		
291	Yes	Ownership is less of an issue to me than how land is treated or used. Very local ownership is too uncertain and open to conflicts and biased interests. We should live in harmony with nature, not trying to 'develop' everything. Minimal impact. Stop trying to turn rural areas into mini-urban ones. Any funded housing development should be for rent not ownership.	Rurality, allowing continuity, heritage, tradition.	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
292	Yes	Preparedness for climate change and the impact on diversity, e.g. greater variety of trees and growing trees along rivers and burns to lower water temperatures to aid fish spawning grounds. Not making prairie fields, for efficiency of grass cutting. Keeping wild places wild, not introducing lights on top of wind turbines in designated dark sky parks. Only encouraging tourism when robust infrastructure is in place to deal with the numbers..i.e. suitable parking, toilet facilities, water treatment plants, suitable terrain paths before tourists accidentally damage the area they've come to enjoy the beauty and wildlife of. Strengthen controls on shoots to reduce illegal raptor deaths. Consider proportion of areas allocated to various functions, e.g. farming, forestry, shoots, fish farming on lochs to retain balance and diversity. Create a more sustainable environment for the future Scotland inhabitants, human and others.	Balanced use between humans and wildlife, recognising the importance of both.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
293	Yes	A shift away from Net Zero lunacy and away from the industrialisation of our natural heritage	That it hasn't been raped by greedy multi national renewable developers yet	41-50	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
294	Yes	No more turbines or BESS or solar farms!	It's beauty and biodiversity - just nature	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
295	Yes	I should like wealthy industrialists to be encouraged to buy and invest in large estates and to employ people to manage that land, all with a reasonable expectation of privacy.	Good management and food production.	Over 70	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
296	Yes	More individual and local company land ownership - no more corporations or overseas ownership. Stop the industrialisation of the landscape for energy producers profit (there is nothing green about any of it). Promote local businesses and responsible tourism. This would retain the cultural and unique features which make Scotland what it is.	Its natural beauty and it is not as yet overdeveloped.	Over 70	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
297	Yes	More farms / farming to grow our own food rather than import from abroad. Promotion of seasonal local produce and how to cook it to reduce use of costly ready meals and fast food, and also improve diet and health	Green space managed by those who know the land.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
298	Yes	An immediate halt to the overdevelopment of hills, shores, and coastal waters by [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL]. A re-think of how much renewable energy Scotland needs, and what the best way forward for the Scottish people and land really is. It seems that at the moment, only the ScotGov believes that the mass industrialisation of rural Scotland is the way forward. This is not the reality on the ground. Wake up.	Human ecology. Land destruction by the global energy industry must stop.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
299	Yes	Stop building wind turbines, solar 'farms' (this far north?!), giant battery installations. Stop building extra substations and powerlines. Stop industrialisng Scotland without our consent. Data centres should only be in pre approved industrial zones. I don't care who owns the land, I care that they manage it for nature. The few productive parts, use for agriculture. The rape of Scotland, enabled by the SNPs Energy Consents Unit is a nightmare for the majority. When all the lawyers and planning consultants have taken their shilling, where will they go to spend it? Not miserable Scotland, defaced by sprawling inefficient infrastructure.	Beautiful but working landscape, meadows, native woodland, burns, archaeology	NA		Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
300	Yes	No ownership by large corporations/conglomerates. No overseas owner ship of large swathes of land. No subsidies for large corporations. Development of community ownership. The royal estate should not be charging rent on resources vital to national development eg NHS buildings. Opportunities for people to have 'huts' in the countryside like Norway. And get rid of [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL]!	Scotland.Most land is owned by a very small percentage of people.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
301	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Male	Landowner		
302	Yes	Stop the endless uncontrolled spread of massive windfarms, solar farms, monster pylons and substations. They are not needed to power Scotland	Preserving the existing agriculture and tourism which are under threat.	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
303	Yes	Land ownership should always favour community ownership where possible rather than absentee landlords with no vested interest in the people who live and work in the area. Artificially stocked shooting estates should be re-wilded. The only shooting should be with cameras... or necessary deer culls etc to control numbers in favour of other species in the absence of natural predators. This should only be done by licensed professionals and not by paying customers for sport. This would allow a managed return to a more natural environment in wild areas.	A natural environment, as little human intervention as possible in wild areas.	51-60	Female	Business owner	2	Other Urban Areas
304	Yes	Currently, large landowners own a large part of rural Scotland. A large number of them, will sell to the highest bidder i.e. multinational energy companies, who are solely driven by profits. Communities in the Highlands and Islands are suffering mental anguish, as they witness the destruction of the land where they have lived for years and feel they belong. Scottish and Westminster governments are encouraging this gross injustice, with the offer of financial incentives. Ownership of land should belong to the communities, who love and respect it, and who would ensure it was looked after for future generations. What is currently taking place amounts to environmental vandalism.	Communities are consulted and listened to.	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
305	Yes	No restriction on ownership, but fair and reasonable responsibilities of landowners to conservation, carbon sequestration and the communities in the district	That land should be managed and used responsibly and efficiently	NA	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
306	Yes	I would love to see more rewilding without billionaires fencing off the land. More facilities for visitors so that there is less rubbish and more care of the environment. We need to have stringent accountability for energy companies who are raping the country by digging up ancient peat bogs and tearing down ancient forests to put up skyscraper wind turbines and pylons in national parks and areas of outstanding beauty and/or special scientific importance, and polluting small communities with convoys of lorries.	The beautiful views, old forests and clean air.	51-60	Female	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
307	Yes	Moor burning for grouse shooting is such an archaic system for the "sport" of a tiny minority of people. The hills, moorlands and mountains should be managed for the benefit of all Scotland.	Access, wildlife	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
308	Yes	I would prefer that land was used appropriately. We currently have good quality farmland and peat land being used for renewables and associated infrastructure. We now have a glut of this so it must stop to preserve our land for future generations	That it remains a rural landscape at one with nature, trees, birds & animals	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
309	Yes	An end to the current highly concentrated pattern of land ownership. A nation where many ordinary people own small parcels of land and work together cooperatively to create a sustainable way of life.	That it is either owned by the public, or owned by local people who LIVE ON IT.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
310	Yes	Large estates should not be broken up and sold to developers / private home owners, as this will only lead to profiteering at the expense of local people. It will result in Scotland's iconic landscapes, particularly in the most scenic areas, being turned into ugly urbanised sprawl. Instead of beautiful landscapes we will have to endure glens full of tricky-tacky holiday homes bought as investments by rich incomers. Local people will still be unable to afford to live in their own community and will be as disenfranchised as now, with no say.. Instead estates should be obliged to rent out / sell small areas of land, out-with the most sensitive (environmentally and scenically) areas to local communities (who live and work in the area), to make carefully planned small villages, providing housing for local people. Must be designed not to act as magnate for everyone who fancies a holiday home in the Highlands! Land use here in Highlands should be a mosaic of productive but environmentally friendly agricultural land, woodland consisting mostly of native deciduous species and truly wild areas, where deer/ sheep are reduced to allow Scotland to regain long lost ecological diversity.	Beautiful hill and sea views, rapidly being destroyed by wind turbine frenzy!	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
311	Yes	Not corporate or overseas buyers given priority, what about Scots? Land use for food needs most consideration. Local, healthy and high welfare food should be priority. For Scotlands people, wsting more home grown would have hige benefits.	Green, be it grass , crops or trees, natural beauty of landscape	31-40	Female	None of the above	6	Accessible Rural Areas
312	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Business owner		
313	Yes	Increase the ability of local people to object to changes of land use and development in order to protect local land and spaces. Stop allowing energy infrastructure to be built overriding any local objections. Stop the industrialisation of the beautiful landscapes of the Highlands for the profit of foreign ownership companies. Let local people have a real say in what happens on the land around them to stop people feeling alienated and disenfranchised from their local landscapes	Access for waking, swimming and cycling, ability to say no to overdevelopment	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
314	Yes	If communities had a say in how was managed then greater influence on biodiversity could be achieved. (Re) afforestation could be managed properly, rather than acre upon acre of poor quality Sitka bordered by small pockets of deciduous woodland. I believe that landowners should have a maximum area tha5 each is allowed to own and the default should be community ownership. Keeping vast tracts of land for hunting and shooting limits employment opportunities, impacts adversely on biodiversity and on access to the land.	Feudal approach by the landowner impacts adversely on the comm7nity.	61-70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
315	Yes	Community ownership. No wind farms and associated infrastructure.	Sense of place	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
316	Yes	No more energy parks wind farms put solar panels over car parks ect		Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
317	Yes	Law and order	All land	NA	Male	None of the above		
318	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
319	Yes	The chance for our young people to be able to farm In Our Area and produce food for our area	Sustainability	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
320	Yes	No more poor use of agricultural land for solar. We need food security. Allow the farmers and landowners more autonomy in how their land is worked. They know how to do it. Stop governments from interfering! And stop planting trees on good farmland aswell as "rewilding" it's a disgrace!	Stopping the industrialisation of our beautiful landscapes!	NA				
321	Yes	Owners of land not being able to sell to overseas investors. No sales for solar "farms" until the impact is assessed with other applications for same . Eg. Several applications currently in for rural Pershire at the same time, within a few miles of each other. These according to the Planning dept at P & K are all assessed separately. The culminating impact of these applications are never considered, unbelievable!	That the whole planning permission process requires updating in P & K.	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
322	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
323	Yes	Community ownership. Sustainable farming. Renewables with community shares and increase in percentage benefits. It would help rural services	Paths/access. Sustainable practices. Renewables developed with the community.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
324	Yes	Value linked to productive agricultural use, not an investment value. Avoiding amalgamation and land banking by large farms at expense of smaller family farms and produce values that sustain these businesses.	Avoiding farm amalgamation, keeping families on active farms	41-50	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
325	Yes	The land in Scotland should by left untainted by BIG energy. It should be left wild and rugged in the Highlands and farming land farmed not used for unsustainable eco projects, reintroduction of animals or species without natural predators. It should also not be given over to ridiculous sustainable / renewables schemes. Industrialisation of the countryside should not be allowed in any form, other than farming or traditional estate management.	It's natural beauty that is severely under threat	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
326	Yes	That careful consideration is given to maintaining the unique countryside we have in Scotland for future generations referring to all parts of the NPF4 and LDPs , rather than solely Policy 11 NPF4. Huge concerns over Industrialisation of rural Scotland with renewable energy projects.	Prime land is preserved, and tourism encouraged.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
327	Yes	Living in the country should be affordable for locals, especially people who work there. It's important that Scottish people can buy farm land and not be priced out by multinational companies. Indeed, they should not get access to land for carbon offset projects, industrial farming and other colonial schemes.	I live in Edinburgh and support council initiatives to promote food growing	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
328	Yes	Land owners to be identifiable. No land owner should be able to own more than 500 hectares Locking up land for profit or grants eg carbon credits should be abolished.		Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
329	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
330	Yes	Ownership itself isn't a major issue, though of course it precludes ownership by others. It's how the land is used that's more important. We have too much land that is virtually empty - no trees, very few mammals, insects and birds. Swathes of land are burned annually and managed for release of thousands of non-native birds to be shot by a privileged few. That results in a net loss for biodiversity. Land owners should be legally obliged to maintain native forestry and wildflowers to encourage natural restoration. In towns and cities far too many gardens are paved over for car parking, resulting in natural deserts that quickly contribute to heat islands and floods. Our local authority managed parks are hugely beneficial but under resourced and can struggle to keep up with the issues some humans cause like litter, tree damage etc. Better education is definitely needed to help people understand how to behave outdoors!	Biodiversity, Safely, cleanliness	41-50	Female	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
331	Yes	A more holistic and balanced approach to land management. This should include a complementary balance of forestry, leisure, energy production, creating jobs, stemming depopulation in rural communities and agriculture. Increasing access or at least maintaining is important too. I'm concerned that while there is a perceived injustice with established landholders, they largely are good employers, custodians of the land and are attached/dedicated to it. Bringing it under community, other private industry or government ownership is that the longevity and reliability is at serious risk.	Maintaining its viability as a rural economy without causing considerable upset.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
332	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
333	Yes	I would like to see it taken out of the hands of billionaire oligarchs, and the royal family. It should be rewilded and reforested. Currently it is the private hunting ground for the ultra rich, with deer and pheasant shooting. Between that and the vast sheep farming, the majority of the highlands is barren and missing the native forest and local biodiverse ecosystem that should be thriving there. I'd like to see land made more accessible for poor and working class people, as since the highland clearances we have been unable to own, lease or access the land from which we belong. It is only accessible to those with money, to rent accommodation. I'd like to see the mountain bothy associations model endorsed by government and more botgies built and maintained for free use by the public. Walking and cycle routes created through the highlands. Hostels could be made along these routes with minimal inpact to the local environment. Land be made available to those who wish to live and work it, like myself. The Scottish forestry commission growing sitka spruce for profit, should be focusing on longer term regenerative forestry growing nativr trees for quality timber. So get to it!	Green spaces, local wildlife, less roads, less cars, more community gardens	Under 30	Male	Tenant	1	Large Urban Areas
334	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
335	Yes	I want the land to be owned and used to ensure the people of Scotland use it to produce food, provide shelter(homes) and produce goods/energy to ensure our survival and the society we live in. When a person owns land or a house they should not be able to have that taken away to pay for goods or services or tax when they die.	The people who live and work there.	NA				
336	Yes	Get rid of National Parks. They are only another layer of bureaucracy. There are problems with planning, council bylaws are ignored and the area within the park becomes a rundown tourist area. They are not interested in housing or appropriate shops for locals.	National park has ruined the area.	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
337	Yes	There are far too many derelict and abandoned sites throughout our villages and towns. It is not fair to local communities and their residents to put up with these derelict and abandoned areas. Owners should have legal responsibilities to ensure their land is kept in a decent state. Strong enforceable legislation is needed.	Clean, unlittered, no flytipping, no derelict/abandoned spaces, safe.	51-60	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
338	Yes	Owners of large areas of land to be forced to implement things that benefit future sustainability and biodiversity. E.g, reducing deer numbers, replacing monoculture forestry or agriculture with varied species. I want to see changes to the barren landscape that covers most of Scotland and see more species thriving.	Access for ordinary people to enjoy responsible walking, cycling and camping	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
339	Yes	I would like to see the ownership of Scottish land stay in the hands of the British. I dislike the fact that foreign firms are buying up large estates for wind farms and BESS. They only care about the profits, not what they are destroying. When thay have made their millions, they will walk away from the infrastructure and let it rot.	The wildlife, low intensity agriculture that allows nature to thrive.	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	7	Remote Rural Areas
340	Yes	I'm content with the present ownership. More state interference would be unwelcome and unhelpful.	No more tree planting on food agricultural land	31-40	Non-binary	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
341	Yes	Greater community ownership which would allow a say in what happens to derelict buildings and force landlords to keep these buildings and spaces in reasonable condition	Derelict buildings to be demolished and empty land kept in good condition	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
342	Yes			Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
343	Yes	In regards to food production, forrestry, and general land use I think permaculture should be established as the priority for Scotland moving forward. For our country and for the human race to survive and live in a pleasant world long term, Scotland and all nations must adopt innovative and forward thinking land use policies that move us away from the destructive, capitalist centric, and colonial era practices that abuse land and the environment (and people) in favour of profit and power for a small minority. By drawing inspiration from indigenous and First Nation philosophies towards nature and the environment we will be able to reverse some of the catastrophic effects that humans have caused over the last few centuries.	A healthy land and environment, not dominated by human interest	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
344	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
345	Yes	More private property, less government interference and priority for Scottish people. Important lands like farms not to be bought by foreigners or corporations	Farms and public spaces	51-60	Female	Tenant	2	Other Urban Areas
346	Yes	I would like the following: - legal requirements on all new conifer plantations requiring a proportion of native trees. - zero or minimal mowing on all public grassland and verges. - a ban on glysophate and other dangerous pesticides. - an area of zero cultivation of at least 2 metres to be maintained along all waterways to allow wildlife and plantlife to exist in such locations, to provide shade for juvenile fish and to prevent erosion. Grazing presentation would also be beneficial. - limits on the 'deer per square mile' across all estates with government intervention (shooting from helicopters) where excess numbers exist. - no building on designated green belt n or SSI areas under any circumstances. - brownfield areas to be preferred for new building projects, but left to grew wildlofwers when not in use. - all building projects required to be 'eco-positive' with more space for wildlife on completion, including use of bativevtrwes and shrubs. No fencing should prevent access of hedgehogs; this should be made illegal. Also no fencing over 4ft to be installed by builders.	A reduction in burning of moorland, a reduction in intensive agriculture.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
347	Yes	Demolish the coach house on holytown main street	Clean streets no derelict buildings	Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
348	Yes	Landowners should take responsibility for maintaining their property and land. They should be given a timescale to do so. If they don't the land should be confiscated from them and sold with the new owner having to carry out the work within a given period of time.We have and properties in our village that had been abandoned for over 30 years. The buildings are an eyesore and the weed are over 6ft high and it has become a dumping ground for flytippers. This is in the middle of our main street. We have tried to contact the land owner but he is just ignoring us. He knows that there are no laws in place to force him to do anything. It is so irresponsible and selfish to leave a property in such a poor state. The law needs to be changed.	For it to be maintained and developed	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
349	Yes	I totally understand the need for housing however any new developments should incorporate an appropriate amount of green space located such that it is policed by residents, not pushed to the back end were it would encourage anti social behaviour. Existing open space needs to be maintained and not left to grow wild. Surely the councils can find money for a grass cutter, etc.	Maintained and cared for. Fines for non compliance.	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
350	Yes	Large tracts of land should not be owned by non Scottish companies who only want to make money for their shareholders and have no respect for lives if the people living there and even less for the natural landscape and its endangered wildlife. We do not need more wind farms blighting the landscape and the lives of those who live besides them.	The beautiful peaceful landscapes available for all to enjoy.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
351	Yes	1. All non-agricultural holdings above 100 hectares are nationalised. 2. New crofts created to increase Scotland's food security and create a biodiverse patchwork of ecosystems. Crofting legislation updated so that cash sales of tenancy are no longer possible. 3. Sustainable growth in housing, particularly in rural Scotland and areas experiencing depopulation, in order to promote healthy rural communities. 4. Land tax introduced. 5. Minimum of 1 allotment site for any village/town/city over 2000 inhabitants.	Creation of new crofts and new townships on formerly inhabited areas	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
352	Yes	Increased land for nature and biodiversity. Land use is challenging, particularly balancing food security, but there is a lot of land which is not used for farming which is degraded and could provide vital habitats as we battle the climate and biodiversity crises.	The ability to engage with a diverse and vibrant natural world.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
353	Yes	Restrictions on who owns the land and how it is used. The right to roam free as we expect in Scotlands. Local communities have a say in any proposals for land use. There should be some benefit to communities eg in facilities, employment.	That local communities have a real say in who owns it and how it is used	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
354	Yes	Stop industrial polluters purchasing land and planting with trees to market themselves as good guys Politicians need to turn their present thinking to tax incentives to let their Estates to young Farmers with some farming experience and a strong work ethic Planners need to be more supportive to new restricted housing for agricultural use.	Cease all tree planting of stock carrying farms.	NA	Male	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
355	Yes	land that surrounds communities or houses should be owned by that community so that any future development can be controlled by the community and any financial gain passes to the community	Agriculture for food production and woodland for timber and wildlife.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
356	Yes	I would like all green and grown field sites protected from development. People come to Scotland for itswide-open spaces, not because it looks like Chicago!	preventing wildfires on heather moor	61-70	Female	Local government or public sector	2	Other Urban Areas
357	Yes	I would like to see large landed estates broken up or managed in a way which would benefit ordinary people more. More access to purchase small plots of land. Too much is concentrated in the hands of a privileged few.	That people have access to it for relaxation and connection to nature	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
358	Yes	We must adopt a foreign ownership restriction policy such as Australia, New Zealand and Denmark have to protect our land and homes from being bought for profit by people and entities with no knowledge or concern for Scotland's land, people, culture, or languages. We must not allow entire villages and even towns to have their community hollowed out by short term let profiteers and corporations eager to add carbon offset projects to their spreadsheets while adding nothing to Scotland's welfare. Only people resident in Scotland for 5-10 years (eg Denmark -5 years - Guersay -10 Years) should be allowed to purchase houses or land in Scotland. Businesses too should have a committed base in Scotland and pay Scottish taxes before being allowed to purchase. Local people can't find affordable housing. This would stabilize housing prices and boost communities. There are unforeseen knock on effects from the housing crisis in the Highlands for example - rural areas are short of doctors and one factor is they cannot find local homes. It's high time that Scotland stood up on it's hind legs and refused to let this continue.	Our Highland communities must be protected from yet another clearance.	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	2	Other Urban Areas
359	Yes	Owned by people who live in Scotland. This would enhance engagement and further community involvement.	Accessibility and preservation.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
360	Yes	I would not like to see changes to land ownership and use, especially by further legislation that seems to want change for changes sake, driven by an emotive rather than professional reasons. concentrated land ownership by people who understand the countryside and who are financially committed to the land will deliver quicker and clearer land management decisions than will a large number of small landowners from a diverse background with differing objectives, especially if the ownership is community based with no clear leadership or financially commitment i.e. where purchase funding has been provided from an outside source.	food production, wildlife habitat and environmental uses	61-70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
361	Yes	Land must be held in a size that makes farms viable as businesses and that gives food security. This in turn will mean that it will be properly looked after	Unspoilt and properly managed	61-70	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
362	Yes	None. There has been plenty of land reform already. This is not the pressing issue for the future prosperity of Scotland and its people and Government attention should be focused elsewhere.	That we continue to maintain as wide a diversity of land uses as possible.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
363	Yes	Although the current setup is not perfect, It works. I do not see how more and more changes will do anything other than complicate the situation and use up valuable resources that could be put to better use elsewhere.	That it is properly managed with a push towards sustainable use.	51-60	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
364	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
365	Yes	Land use in Scotland is very complex! However there is an increasing feeling amongst many land managers/owners that they are under attack simply for being involved with land that is privately owned and are therefore somehow 'evil' , colonial etc; this is increasingly how I feel the debate is being framed despite the very good work done by [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] and it's good rapport with ministers. It is the 'shouty'- do as I say not what you think' gang that have a hold on the political, social and climate change bandwagon that is skewing fair debate. I am not against community buyouts or any of it I just want land owners and managers to be recognised for their fairness and best efforts at reforming and adapting to the 21c.	Maintaining its beauty whilst enhancing its landscape for the benefit of all.	61-70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
366	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
367	Yes	Land in Scotland already benefits from effective stewardship and strong community collaboration. The current framework enables vibrant rural economies, thriving environmental projects, and meaningful local input in land matters. Mechanisms like the Community Right to Buy and Land Management Plans help communities shape land use to their needs, supporting renewable energy, biodiversity, and local enterprise. However, existing processes can be slow and overly complex; streamlining bureaucratic requirements—especially simplifying the purchase process and clarifying rules—would make it easier for communities and landowners to cooperate and innovate. These changes would empower more communities to manage assets, foster sustainable jobs and green growth, and reduce frustration for all involved. Keeping what works—community engagement, robust local leadership, and accessible advisory support—while cutting unnecessary red tape, would improve outcomes for everyone and ensure Scotland's land continues to be a shared national asset.	Long-term land management protects resources and future generations' wellbeing	61-70	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
368	Yes	No changes: if it isn't broken then don't fix it	Free access (as it is now).	Over 70	Male	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
369	Yes	If people want to own land they can buy it or get a tenancy. Like people do for homes to cars. The gov should be focusing on police, hospitals, services, economy and education. Not whether the local farmer owns an arbitrary amount of land.	That criminals arent using it. Beyond that I dont care who owns it.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas