370	Yes	Fundamental change such as is proposed by restricting the size of landholdings will be very damaging to the ongoing excellent nature restoration work (supported and encouraged by successive Scottish governments) that is being done in so many parts of Scotland. As an example, [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] has embarked on a landscape scale planting & restoration of native woodland that would have been impossible to consider or undertake with a fragmented land holding. Scotland, and the Western Highlands in particular, represent the last wilderness area in Western Europe, and while large landholdings may in some cases be politically unpopular, they have undoubtedly preserved much of the beauty and rich natural heritage of the country.	The ability to continue with our environmental improvement works.	61-70	Male	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
371	Yes	Land must be owned by those with the skills and understanding to maintain it and those who have a personal attachment to the property beyond simply looking for an ROI. Without personal investment in the property, the long term outlook cannot be sustained. We must also move away from an obsession with ownership and focus on the management. Communities do not need to own an asset to enjoy the use of it and without large scale ownership, employment in rural areas will drop further. With this will be the loss of key skills learnt over generations of wildlife and landscape management. The recent wildfires in the Moray and Highland region highlight the vital role that estate staff fulfil in protecting the landscape for their invaluable contribution to local communities This cannot be under valued. Legislation should be simplified, food production prioritised and employment encouraged. With business comes money and this will only enhance the opportunities for communities to enjoy and benefit from rural Scotland.	the environment, community and food production.	31-40	Female	Land manager	2	Other Urban Areas
372	Yes	More ability of land users and owners to develop areas with faster planning decisions. It is also vital that land owners who are trustees of land for the future are able to manage their land in sensible ways which are consistent with environmental and economic objectives, unimpeded by unwise intervention, sometimes driven by the political philosophy that ownership itself is a barrier to sensible management, coming sometimes from those who have little knowledge of land management and no continuing interest in the outcomes at a local level. Being able to carry through environmental projects at scale, combining the efforts of several owners has proved to be very successful.	Moving forward our environmental objectives.	Over 70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
373	Yes	In the immortal words of Mel Gibson we need "Freedom". I see no need for change. Infact it is the long and ongoing debate around limitations to tenure and other legislation that have the impact of curtailing inward investment to the rural sector. Recognition of the advantages of what currently accrues is essential. The returns in farming and land ownership in the rural sector are relatively low and by their nature very long term. In many cases those that invest in our countryside will need an "emotional" return as well as an economic one - The innate love of land, of wanting to nurture; to improve; of leaving something better for next generation than what you inherited. Hence it is important that we create the right environment to attract those kind of investors. In so many policy initiatives it appears that there is a desire to prevent wealth and land ownership. To prevent the accumulation of land through tenure restrictions, to tax, through either wealth tax or inheritance tax, those that do own land assets. But it is that very wealth that allows for lower longer term returns and genuine custodianship of the countryside. Nurturing private custodianship not statership.	How it looks and feels.	61-70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
374	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Land manager	1	Large Urban Areas
375	Yes	No Changes	We are allowed to Keep & look after it	Over 70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
376	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
377	Yes	As a landowner, I believe land ownership and use in Scotland should be shaped by transparency and long-term sustainability—not just who owns the land. Landowners take stewardship seriously, contributing to the social, environmental, and economic wellbeing of their communities. Often seen as separate, many are deeply rooted locally, with historic ties spanning generations. Three key changes I'd like to see: Clearer support for sustainable land management – Policies and incentives that back climate goals, biodiversity, local food, and rural jobs. More partnership-driven models – Hybrid approaches where landowners and communities work together on shared outcomes, moving beyond a binary view of ownership. Better policy coherence across sectors – Simplified, aligned guidance to enable more effective land use decisions. These changes would give landowners greater confidence to invest long-term while creating wider opportunities for communities and delivering on national priorities.	Preservation of family legacy, nature and environment sustainably for future	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
378	Yes	Bu mhath leam nam biodh barrachd den talamh ann an làmhan na coimhearsnachd, mar a tha sna h-Eileanan Siar, mar eisimpleir. Agus nam bheachd-sa feumaidh sinn a bhith a' tighinn nas fhaisge air beachdan Gàidhealach air an talamh: Dùthchas. Tha an àraireachd agus an nàdar againn air leth pìrseil, ach feumaidh sinn cuimhneachadh gun robh daoine ag àiteachadh air feadh na Gàidhealtachd mus tàinig na Fuadaichean. 'S mar sin dheth chuirinn ealla ri talamh coimhearsnachd air àiteachadh (le croiteareachd no tuathanasan beaga) ri taobh nàdair. Tha cus a' dol an cearrtair airson luchd-turais agus "conservation" mar a bha muinntir an 19mh linn ag amharc air, i.e. às aonais daoine, rud nach eil ceart.	Na Gàidheil agus a' choimhearsnachd Ghàidhealach.	31-40	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
379	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
380	Yes	Debate around land ownership and management is fixated on ownership - why ? This has had a deleterious impact on vital discussions around how land is managed, while trying contributing to ambitious net zero targets. What the UK contribute to net zero is a drop in the ocean - literally. Just look at China and India The reliance on legislation to deliver land reform ignores the best practice which many landowners and managers already deliver re community engagement, and a conscious move towards operations which will help the Scottish Government, whatever its makeup, achieve the ambitious net zero targets we currently have. Fragmenting land ownership fails to appreciate the ability of scale landowners to respond to challenges at speed, while deploying required resources. The response of smaller landowners to deliver solutions or dealing with sudden challenges, will delay implementing change. There would be more confidence in participating in the ession of a 'land reformed Scotland' if policymakers clearly demonstrated they are led by evidence, and not an emotive approach to decision making that ignores the vast experience of rural professionals.	Long term management and care	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
381	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
382	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
383	Yes	Using land means long term investment, often tying farmers into life time debt because the costs of investing in farming infrastructure, soil health, machinery and building up stock herds are so high that they take years to pay off. However, farmers think this work and investment is worth it because the family farm is just that - future generations will benefit. Land Reform's drip ...drip.. drip of removing inheritance rights by law or by tax removes this certainty and harms farmers families and the nations' ability to conserve and at the same time produce food. Forcing changes in ownership patterns through land reform will not increase food production, improve nature conservancy, or assist in mitigating climate change - it is the wrong policy objective.	Cultivation, trees, producing food, not for pylons and turbines and urbanising	Over 70	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
384	Yes			61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
385	Yes	I believe in the right of a private individual to own land and that that individual is best placed to take responsibility for its stewardship. I believe that society should impose standards in relation to the environment but there is ,currently,far too much interference with contractual arrangements over farms and houses which make normal business interaction challenging. The supply of money is inextricably linked to the value of tangible assets ,particularly land and buildings.State interference in the use and enjoyment of those assets may affect their value in a negative way and ,as a result restrict the supply of money to the detriment of the economy as a whole.	I believe in the concept of stewardship which implies a level of trust.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
386	Yes	Private and local	Privacy	Over 70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
387	Yes	As a landowner, we are deeply concerned by the negative a priori many non rural people are developing against landowning. We are strongly convinced that the contemplated reform must include all stakeholders including the landowners as we are entrepreneurs passioned by our local impact which is not only economical but also social & environmental. If the reform is hurting the rights of these entrepreneurs, it can only be negative for investments and for the development of our communities. Landowners are long term driven persons who care to transmit a high quality patrimony for the next generations especially on the environmental front.	The passion to work & transfer a unique environment for the future generations	61-70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
388	Yes	Less land owned by churches and more parks.	Places to walk out of town.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
389	Yes	Encourage the land to be farmed for food production. Everything else would then follow.	Farming for food	Over 70	Male	Business owner	8	Accessible Rural Areas
390	Yes	As in some other countries, private property (land) rights should be limited to activities that have low externalities. It is absurd that, if all land owners wanted to destroy the soil, for example, they could legally deprive future generations of agriculture/food. Similarly for our natural heritage. If we are in a biodiversity crisis it cannot be despite the fact that "most land owners are good stewards". That's a trite common place negated by the evidence (with exceptions of course).Regulation is urgent.	A balance between nature and human activities evidenced by the landscape	NA	Prefer not to say	None of the above		
391	Yes	Not the slant you asked for, but a crucial situation nevertheless which could seriously impact access and how we use it. Right now, multi-national power companies are being enabled - without scrutiny - to use our land for large wind farms, massive sub-stations (800 acres of prime land is common) Battery Energy Storage Systems which not only carry a serious fire risk, the aftermath would pollute the surrounding area, and Wind Farms which contaminate water courses over time with BisphenolA being shed from the blades. These negatives are further compounded by the resulting need for 400kv overhead pylons running the length of The Highlands and continuing along the entire NE coast. The destruction of good agricultural land, our beautiful & iconic mountains and hills, upland pastures, woodlands and forests, plant life, bird life, precious peatlands being dug up for the turbines, and the health risk - particularly to young children - of childhood leukemia from living in close proximity to the planned 400kv overhead pylons. These are a great deal more damaging to health than what is currently in use.	The ability to continue to use our land as at present.	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	2	Other Urban Areas
392	Yes	I think there needs to be more focus on land ownership of land in cities as a lot of land use conversations stay in the rural space. The right to roam has been incredible and rightfully we wouldn't stand for huge private spaces and parks that we all can't enjoy but in cities like Edinburgh we allow massive parks pretending to be gardens to exclude the people of Edinburgh. For example queen Street Gardens. This allows a haves and have nots culture and is an overlooked land use issue.	Green space and making it open to all especially in cities	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
393	Yes	Landowners should be Scottish residents and they should be obliged to restore nature for future generations.	Its effect upon climate change and biodiversity.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
394	Yes	I want to see a decrease in privately owned land used for nothing more than shooting and golf. I want to see an increase in land owned by the Scottish government that is re-wilded and turned into national parkland	Native trees, fauna and flora	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
395	Yes	I would like to see the 'stolen' land of Scotland returned to Her Sovereign People, the true owners, as this was never any one person's, or organisation's, to sell in the first. Furthermore, I want to see a stop to any more of OUR COUNTRY (Bonnie Scotland) being sold off as Freeports (which are neither free or ports, infact these are huge swathes of OUR COUNTRY) and are definitely not green! Car parks in Scotland, owned by Companies in the South of England, eg. local shopping centres, supermarkets, etc., who are making a fortune out of us Sovereign Scots merely for parking on OUR OWN LAND should have these areas returned to local councils who would then benefit from the income. We are being robbed yet again. WM will be wanting our Highlands and Islands next. Why not? They have EASILY taken all the rest of OUR resources, for free!	It should belong to the Sovereign Scots and not sold off!	NA	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen		
396	Yes	No real change in land ownership is required and it would allow the country side and rural communities to continue to opposite successful as they are.	Private ownership and the ability for it to be traded freely	Under 30	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
397	Yes	Stop landowners from developing renewable energy projects. We already have far more than we need. Stop [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] and other technocrats from buying up farmland to control markets. Stop people from owning multiple properties, including large land parcels. Stop absentee landownership.	Stopping BIG renewable energy.	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
398	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
399	Yes	There is a serious danger that seeking to enforce by legislation changes to how land is owned and used is driven by political aims rather than evidence-based assessment of what is beneficial to rural communities in the long term. It should not be a tool for social engineering. In common with many other forms of economic activity (particularly where return on capital is meagre) economy of scale can be crucial in enabling successful management and thereby enabling local employment and environmental benefit. The emphasis accordingly should be on supporting and fostering rural enterprises generally rather than seeking to change land ownership.	The beauty of the countryside, rural way of life and local enterprises.	Over 70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
400	Yes	I consider that biodiversity is essential in the areas where there is no possibility of farming. The peat is such a valuable asset that is must be preserved because it so important because it holds CO2. Disturbing it, beyond traditional peat cutting for fuel in the few places where this is still practiced, should be forbidden.This means wind farms and associated structures should not be developed on peat. Farming and food security is very important and must not be overlooked.I do not like foreign companies exploiting our land, especially our countryside with wind farms, etc. Tourism is vital in rural areas, tourists I've spoken to are horrified to see the scenery spoilt by wind farms, as am I.	Farming in valley, crofting, moorland and hill unspoiled by wind farms	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
401	Yes	More trees and wild areas. Less building houses, more housing conservation. Fewer [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] golf courses. Stop farmers and sewage plants from dumping their spillage into the water and on the land. They are killing even the smallest of animals.	Leaving the wild areas untouched except for conservation.	Over 70	Female	Landowner	3	Accessible Small Towns
402	Yes	Less turbines and no solar panels. Plus more Forrest's. More animals in the fields.	I like to see natural fields etc and green Forrest's.	Over 70	Female	None of the above	3	Accessible Small Towns
403	Yes	I am unclear as to the achievements of land reform over last 25 years and am therefore dubious as to the objective of land reform. Until a review is undertaken to identify its achievements and failures, I question the appropriateness of further "reform".	That land is well managed and productive.	61-70	Male	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
404	Yes	Happy with land ownership as it is. As long as the Scottish Government doesn't get its hands on it , it will be fine as it is.	Farmland NOT covered in solar panels or windmills.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
405	Yes	We need a land Commission. Each landholding should be evaluated for its economic potential. Productive landholdings, providing a living income for a family, should be left as they are. Excessively large landholdings should be state-controlled, divided up into productive landholdings and offered to citizens willing to manage them sustainably. Landholders should reside primarily upon their holdings. "Wild land" should become state property, locally managed for the benefit of the community and the environment. Etc.	Unrestricted access within an access code enforced by qualified land rangers.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
406	Yes	Scotland's land has been desperately mismanaged by the SNP. Destroying our unique and precious landscapes, peat and trees is unforgivable ans so short sighted.	Preserving it and keeping big energy out	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
407	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
408	Yes	land taken into public ownership and used for community good ,not private profit for absentee owners ,-many not even resident in this ,country land not being seen and used purely as a financial asset . A stop to the land being ravaged and turned into a huge big factory full of commercial christmas tree foreest ,battery storage farms ,totally un -regulated windfarms .	biodiversity,common ownership ,responsible stewardship	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
409	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
410	Yes	I want to see communities having more of a voice in the decision making about how land is used and developed, regardless of whether the land is privately owned. Opportunities for communities to partner with private land owners should be incentivised to enable the creation of jobs, wealth retention and nature capital. Community owned land has been transformative for Scotland but its sustainability concerns me, this has come at the expense of communities hard work and individuals health, it's not one sized solution for all communities but is as a result of the erosion of public services, facilities and wealth extraction.	I live in an urban environment, the protection and increase of green spaces	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
411	Yes	The debate around land management has become fixated on ownership which has had a deleterious impact on the vital discussion around how land is managed in a way that makes rural businesses and communities sustainable, while contributing to ambitious net zero targets. Exponents of fragmented land ownership can fail to appreciate the ability of scale landowners to respond to challenges at speed while deploying required resources. In terms of environmental targets however, a 'mosaic response' of smaller landowners to delivering solutions or dealing with sudden challenges can significantly delay implementing change.	Depopulation, transport, infrastructure, economic development	51-60	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
412	Yes	more transparency and information about who owns land, the history of the land, and the goals/way it is managed now	space for nature, space to explore	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
413	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Land manager	8	Accessible Rural Areas
414	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
415	Yes	We need to see an end to shooting estates, to large swaithes of land being used for the purpose of shooting and hunting. It's also high time land wasn't owned by just a few individuals, if said individuals are rewilding land to benefit Nature then that's different,but, if it's a case of hoarding land then buy it back if possible. Nature is vital, we need more land for wildlife and nature, both are vital for our own existence, they benefit our mental,physical and emptional well being.	Green spaces, we MUST put Nature/Wildlife at the heart of everything we do.	51-60	Female	Environmental or nature group member	2	Other Urban Areas
416	Yes	I'm a Georgist and advocate for the work of [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL]	Again, I'm a Georgist. We need a LVT.	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
417	Yes	I would like to see Scotland's land remain in the hands of the people who live here, now owned by the ever growing oversea's absentee folk who are likely using it as a way of spending their billions and avoiding paying taxes in the country of residence. I would like to see more support for community ownership. With help for small communities to set up sustainable governance and structure.	Access is most important also responsibility and care of the environment	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
418	Yes	No more wind turbines destroying our lands stand only benefitting foreign investors not the Scottish people and often using good farmland or peat bogs which are good for the climate.	Lovely landscapes and agrit	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
419	Yes	The current system although eroded recently is still excellent, preserving the countryside and allowing us all enjoyment of it. It also provides stability for the communities and employment.	Preserving it innit current state.	51-60	Male	Environmental or nature group member	7	Remote Rural Areas
420	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Prefer not to say	Farmer or Crofter	2	Other Urban Areas
421	Yes	More publicly owned land. More national parks. More rewilding. More public access to and use of land. More reuse of brownfield land. A park set aside to be as truly wild as possible, where no people live and nature rules. Less hunting estates.	Less hunting estates.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
422	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen		
423	Yes	I'd like to see land owners stop selling land to woodland investment companies like [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL]	Wildlife	51-60	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
424	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
425	Yes	I don't like how we have the queen's park and holyrood park here in Edinburgh but they can't be used for large scale events or and alcohol can't be served	Enhancing and retaining it	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
426	Yes	More use of brownfield sites for housing and industrial development and fewer green field sites destroyed More concern for the aesthetics of the countryside when planning for net zero (solar, wind farms, BESS etc) More land for country parks, leisure facilities ANY facilities for off road riding for horses and cyclists - bridleways or trails. It is becoming so dangerous for equestrians and cyclists to ride on roads even with the 10mph overtaking limit	No more farm land given to development	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
427	Yes	Get rid of overseas absentee owners, they have no loyalty to Scotland only their own pockets. The majority of land in Scotland is owned by a small majority of people making it one of the most unequal land ownership in the world, huge changes are needed urgently. It takes guts to stand up to large shooting estates, no-one seems to have the guts though! So much burning of land so they can have their jollies shooting pheasants! It's disgusting, extremely unfair and requires a thorough sorting out	Green spaces, land not sold off for housing but kept for people.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
428	Yes	Estates and Farmers to continue to be supported to grow our food to keep the country fed. That is good for all of us. Community land isn't important, it will only work Aslong as it's funded heavily and has the right people running it, risky I would say. Ideally upland ground should be encouraged to produce more food. If sea levels rise and the good land disappears then the hills need to be ready to feed us.	That it stays green, vibrant and keeps communities safe and fed.	Under 30	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
429	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
430	Yes	Stop building wind farms all over it.	Beautiful environment for people and animals.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
431	Yes			NA	Male	Community group or development trust member		
432	Yes	I love the land. I would prefer it not to be destroyed by unnecessary renewable infrastructure. I don't see any need to change ownership rules.	The greenery, trees, birds and animals, farming	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
433	Yes	State-owned national parks or better still at least one state-owned National Wildlife Refugium. Outwith of these an extensive participatory property owning democratic structure in a sustainable cultural landscape	ending land monopoly by a few estates	Over 70	Male	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
434	Yes	Ownership not the issue. What is required is much greater respect for, and understanding of the difficulties faced by, those who are managing the land.	Too much suburban housing when there are still urban opportunities.	Over 70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
435	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Environmental or nature group member	8	Accessible Rural Areas
436	Yes	I would like to see less wind turbines.SW Scotland in particular has far too many.Otherwise the land is in good hands and requires no change.	Important that it provides me with a secure food supply.	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
437	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
438	Yes	There should not be any landed estates used for grouse or deer hunting. These should also be heavily taxed with the option of passing them into public ownership to become nature reserves. The amount of non residential non farming land in private ownership is a leftover from feudal times which should be abolished	Conservation and Nature.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
439	Yes	I'd ban [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] and his family from owning any land in Scotland. They are destroyers.	More open green space. Parklands with designed child & youth facilities	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
440	Yes	More small land holdings with families owning and running them. Better management if land - smaller holdings means less potential for enormous mistakes and exploitation.	Good land use ie the soil more fertile and the countryside more beautiful.	Over 70	Female	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
441	Yes	Land should be always available for public use and access with good signage that works harmoniously with the local environment. Landowners and potential new land tenants and owners should agree to maintain and enhance the local environment to increase or encourage long term local biodiversity with the informational support of it's local council. Potential new home developments should only be allowed whereby the design incorporates a minimum of 1 tree per property, a play park for children and a community garden with a range of local plants, trees and shrubbery. In urban spaces, community groups and members should be able to easily apply for use of small pieces of unused land for the purpose of community and environmental benefit on the basis of pitching a project, with grants and additional support from the local council. For example, a community member or group can request a piece of land be turned into a community orchard, or a wild meadow space, and be the ones to enact on it. Urban spaces particularly cities should incorporate more trees. Fines should be imposed on the spot for littering including fly tipping. Enforceable by police, traffic wardens and community officers.	Cleanliness, biodiversity, community green spaces, safety.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
442	Yes	I see no reason to make more changes in to how land is owned or used in Scotland as there is already a myriad of restrictions governing land use. The ownership issue is largely irrelevant to most people and effective channels exist already for communities wishing to own land. This seems mostly focussed on the west and highland Scotland. It is rarely an issue in the rest of the country. The relentless drive to make changes where none are needed has seen a seemingly endless stream of flawed, inept and often quite destructive legislation which is doing real harm to many rural communities in terms of much needed jobs and livelihoods, and, not least, housing. This seems driven largely by emotion and dogma with little regard for the evidence. The apparent hostility of government towards land ownership is negatively impacting on confidence in the sector and diverting attention away from dealing with the the many challenges faced by rural communities.	That it is looked after and managed well.	61-70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
443	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
444	Yes	I would like more inclusive ownership, scotland has too many massive land owners and it helps promote poor land management.	Increasing economic inclusion for rural workers.	31-40	Male	Business owner	2	Other Urban Areas
445	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
446	Yes	Land should only be owned by people holding a British passport and resident in the U.K. so that they are truly invested in their land. Plant more deciduous and / or native trees. Stop councils cutting verges more than once a year (September) unless necessary for traffic reasons but then only at the junctions. Allow trees to grow on the roadside instead of chopping down self seeding trees (think Surrey of Sussex).	Leave wildflowers for pollinators and berries for wildlife.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
447	Yes	Less land set aside for shooting, especially with lead shot. A big reduction in deer numbers in general, in order to prevent deforestration. Deciduous and native trees prioritised when planning new plantations. Minimal mowing and use of weedkiller and pesticides in general. We are facing a biodiversity crisis.	Improving biodiversity. Installing renewable energy infrastructure where possibl	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
448	Yes	I would like land to be owned by the communities who live on that land, rather than bring privately owned by an individual. I am just a resident of Glasgow and a university student but as a young person I care a lot about the climate crisis and I believe that community ownership is our best way to solve the climate crisis in a just manner. I also know that Scotland has one of the worst patterns of landownership in the world in terms of inequality.	Having free public transport, including busses to the National Park.	Under 30	Female	Tenant	1	Large Urban Areas
449	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Landowner	2	Other Urban Areas
450	Yes	More affordable crofts accessible to lower income families/individuals so we can have the opportunity not the 5 ensuite bedroom palatial crofts privately owned near oban. They could be owned or rented but not useless hard to work land, something that has potential with a bit of graft. Something in place so that these can be passed on or sold only to lower income households in perpetuity. Must be run as a croft but business ideas can be wide ranging. Or affordable plots with enough ground for a few veggie plots and/ or animals without crofting agreement. Personally I don't care who owns the land as long as accessible and rent or sake prices affordable those on lower to medium income. I actually think the cost of living could be so much cheaper if I had enough space to grow veg and keep chooks - not rose tinted idea because my mum proved this filling 3 freezers and a shed with veg and fruit she only bought salad stuff over the winter and the odd bit of broccoli! Could do something similar with land made over to community groups for allotments, wood source etc.	Above plus Free FLS carparks for locals - don't discourage healthy time outside	41-50	Female	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
451	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Male	Business owner		
452	Yes	Land is a part of life and everyone needs to have access to land to enjoy what it brings as an outdoor space. Maybe as a park or a canal path or a lochside walk or a mountain path or a piece of wild countryside or a productive place where food is produced or a woodland or just a great wee garden. Land ownership needs to accommodate all the uses that people will have in mind. So a diversity of land that is available and accessible is good. Parkland and public spaces should be easily accessible to all. Wildland that is uncultivated can be walked over and camped on but not to extremes. Agricultural land needs some constraints to preserve it's productive capabilities. Crofland should be crofted and put to good use. Ownership of land is in part just custodianship for the current and next generation of land users. People have to respect the use of land for production and the natural environment. Land owners and managers have to let others enjoy the land but also protect the productive areas and ensure the land is still providing for the native flora and fauna that depend upon the land.	That crofts are lived on and worked not 'collected'.	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
453	Yes	Good agricultural land should be treasured and protected from being used industrially as we will need it for food production instead of relying on food from overseas. Enormous tracts of good agricultural land is being planned to be used for producing and transporting energy down to England.	Very sad at the amount of Battery Energy Storage Systems Aberdeenshire	61-70	Female	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
454	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
455	Yes	I'm in favour of predominantly private ownership. this avoids a burden on the public purse when there is insufficient public monies available for core public services. Private owners are on the whole excellent land managers and caring employers and community servants.	I like to see it cared for be it farm land or wild land	61-70	Male	Business owner	3	Accessible Small Towns
456	Yes	Stop absent landowners purchasing large swathes of land, those who own the land work the land. Stop large scale solar and battery storage,both pollute and ruin the land. Stop large scale housing development on green belt land.	Preservation of the countryside and a healthy environment.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
457	Yes	Anyone with improved ecology at forefront. This often means community ownership.	The upland areas have improved biodiversity	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
458	Yes	Leave it to those who know what they are doing. Like gamekeepers not bureaucrats. Stop foreign ownership and all "rewilding scams"	Good hands on local management	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
459	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
460	Yes	Stop having big estates of land used for grouse shooting or other hunting activities. In this modern day the average person does not approve of or like this sort of 'hobby' and despite the fact that it makes a lot of money for the "few" it's time to let the vast majority of us have our views taken account of and outlaw this misuse of OUR country and its land. Without these 'sports' taking place we could allow trees and shrubs to grow again where they are currently felled and burned away. The countryside can once again be beautiful and healthy.	Keeping as many trees as possible and not using pesticides.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
461	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
462	Yes	I would like to see ownership of land out of the hands of absentee landlords and returned to local communities. This would allow local communities to benefit from their own natural resources and initiative.	The land should be nurtured not exploited by money gr	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
463	Yes	Farming and wildlife	Keeping it rural.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
464	Yes	Tighter regulation on who can buy land in Scotland and what they do with it Community Land Ownership made simpler and more assistance given to communities involved in this process The Government should be able to call in sales of land and if necessary take it into public ownership Phase out the use of land for sport shooting but meanwhile licences more expensive with stricter regulation. Estates should be encouraged to move away from these outdated practices. Estates should also be obliged to participate in necessary culling of the deer population. Greenwashing should stop. Rewilding projects should be properly planned and have strategic oversight to endure correct use of public money. Raise awareness of Urban Community Ownership in towns and cities with easier process. Right of Access must continue to be protected. A more strategic approach to the siting of wind turbines throughout Scotland.	Access and protection of both land and marine areas.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
465	Yes	Land not owned by opaque companies. No sprawling estates used to shooting. Easier for communities to buy land for community use.	Green space.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
466	Yes	Restrict the unregulated purchasing of very large areas of land by non uk based individuals and companies.	Support the local population.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Very Remote Small Towns
467	Yes	Get rid of the hugely, inefficient, ineffective, expensive crofters commission and replace it with an all encompassing rural development commission. This commission to have powers to look at how all land is used and how businesses are developed with due regard to agriculture, environment, ecology, geology and archaeology.	Environment, balanced with agricultural and horticultural land use.	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
468	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas