469	Yes	Would like to see fairer land ownership-a limit to what any one person can own. Would like to see food production in the glens in the Highlands- we could be self sufficient in veg and fruit apart from a few exotics. Would like to see more diversity in the crofting counties along the lines of small scale agriculture in Norway.	Over grazed and under planted in danger from industrial renewables	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
470	Yes	More community ownership of land and renewable energy projects. That would result in more community wealth, jobs and the ability to support less advantaged members of our communities eg with subsidised energy costs.	Good opportunities for access and recreation in high quality nature.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
471	Yes	There should be a residency rule before someone can buy land say 2 years or more. Large estates should be broken up to create smaller owner occupied crofts or small holdings. I remember Lesley Riddoch saying something about inheritance and the whole estate going to the eldest child and how if it was divided between all children this would start to break up estates - this would be worth considering further	Access to estates by local people	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
472	Yes	Removal of subsidies for livestock farming. Livestock has wrecked the uplands leaving it devoid of all but a few species of grass. Removing the subsides will encourage more sustainable land use such as forestry and habitat restoration.	Natural processes	51-60	Male	Land manager	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
473	Yes	My sense of issues of local community concern are the availability of homes, delivery of good public services and job opportunities. Interest in land ownership and use tends to arise only when a controversial change to land use is proposed. I would rather Gov policy focussed on the delivery of core services that lie within it's control - health and education rather than on peripheral issues such as land ownership. Scotland has pressing issues to address such as it's poor record on economic growth, a housing crisis and slow progress in addressing the climate crisis. Obsessing about who owns land rather than creating a facilitative environment in which the private sector can invest to help address these and other public policy objectives is a wasted opportunity. Proportionate regulation, less market intervention (consider the damage recent housing interventions have had on investment) and a stable legislative environment (consider the damage done to the let farm sector by endless legislative interventions) and a clear sense the Gov values the private sector and investment rather than giving the sense it's there merely to pay for the state would do wonders for investment and growth.	Is it being used productively, who owns it is largely beyond the point	51-60	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
474	Yes	The break up of giant estates, land put into trust for ecosystem recovery and local communities, with community decision making. My neighbors and I want to know the land around us is protected from exploitation and overuse of any resource, and have some real agency in how it is used.	Lucky to live in diverse woodland but worry about it's protection	51-60	Female	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
475	Yes	Forests planted with native species rather than monocultures of substandard wood	Sustainability	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
476	Yes	Land in Scotland should belong to the Scottish people. Land should not be able to be bought up in huge swathes by private owners. The land should be used in ways to benefit Scottish people and bring about a more equitable society. No [REDACTED - SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT] or huge estates bought by foreign nationals. It would be good if Scottish people were able to own huts not to far frome home as weekends retreats as they do in Norway. These days too much of the land/nice places are commercialised eg. it costs you money to park to sit by a loch or look at a view.	Local people should have a say. Stop over-commercialisation & greed.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
477	Yes	Expropiate all large land ownership and return to community	Control by community combined with sensitivity to Scottish needs	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
478	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	None of the above		
479	Yes	Tha mi airson 's gum bi e nas fhasa, is gun an uiread de riaghailtean ann airson tuathanasan-gaoithe agus cumhachd ath-nuadhachail san fharsaingeachd a chur air dòigh. Tha mi airson's gum bi "ath-fhiadhachadh" ann agus gum bi e nas fhasa sin a chur air dòigh. Tha mi airson 's gum bi an uiread de chuideam air ath-leasachadh an fhearainn ann an sgìrean bailteil 's a th' ann an sgìrean dùthchail gus am bi barrachd smachd aig coimhearsnachdan bailtean air an àrainneachd aca. Tha mi airson's gum beachdaichear air a' Ghàidhlig anns a h-uile co-dhùnadh a thaobh ath-leasachadh fearainn a nì Coimisean an Fhearainn agus an Riaghaltas - an dà chuid ann an sgìrean traidiseanta na Gàidhlig agus air feadh na h-Alba. Tha faicsinneachd a' chànain air leth cudromach.	Rudan gus prisean nan taighean ann an cathair-bhailtean isleachadh.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
480	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
481	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	None of the above	6	Accessible Rural Areas
482	Yes	More local ownership of land, no building on farming land, reuse brown land for building on.	The parks, allotments	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
483	Yes	Would like to see less of a privileged few holding most of the landownership in Scotland. Needs to be more community ownership. The current community purchase scheme has a loophole, whereby if someone has promised land to someone else, whether they actually have or not, it is enough to block the community from buying it. In addition, owners of land should not get to hide behind representatives of shell companies, law on shell companies changed, but does not go far enough, as there are loopholes which still stop the true owner being identified. We need to protect land for food and nature. There is controversy over leaving land after recent wildfires and opening the debate about muirburns, but part of that is due to the peatlands drying out, due to human activity.	Preserving for nature, as we have no nature corridors, it is a patchwork quilt.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen		
484	Yes	I would like to see native forests, grasslands and peatlands being restored and larger predators being reintroduced. I think this would increase tourism and help climate change and biodiversity.	Good footpaths and cycling paths	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
485	Yes	To not lose the integrity of what makes up the landscape. It's wildlife, habitat and to increase its use to those who live there so it is not wholly a farmed landscape. People need places to exercise. For horse riding and cycling etc. I live in Caithness and we are losing natural land to fertilisers and wdlife to pesticides. Laws need to come in to stop this from changing the landscape and its necessary wildlife.	Wildlife	NA		Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
486	Yes	Less private ownership or at least more accountability. Stop grouse shooting and deer stalking for sport altogether. Farm for us and for nature	Retain its beauty and biodiversity. Consider wild animals and nature first	61-70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
487	Yes	Greater powers for communities and government to force land owners to make changes where these are for the common good. Too often, landowners refuse to allow infrastructure, e.g. sections of footpath. Landowners are gate keepers for land-based change, and hold too much power in this way. The Aarhus convention stipulates that people have the right to be involved in decision making over the environment they live in, but due to extensive private land ownership on Scotland they cannot exercise this right.	Biodiversity, access, and flood prevention.	51-60	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
488	Yes	Requirement of period of residence before purchase of land or property is possible. Limit the amount of land/property any entity may purchase. Review where "batteries for green energy" are planned and ensure impact on land ecology is minimised.	Recognise the impact we have on the land around us.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
489	Yes	Scottish land should be owned by Scottish people and Scottish communities. People who have the interests of the land and its uses at heart not by people who have only Profit and Exploitation as a gaol.	Scottish land should be managed to feed and benefit future generations.	Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	3	Accessible Small Towns
490	Yes	Scottish Land and its associated resurces should be owned and managed by Scottish People with the interests of Scotland at heart. The Sustainability and agricultural needs plus the retention of Scotland's natural resources and wilderness areas should be the primary gaol, not profiteering and exploitation.	Protection of Agricultural land, retention of natural habitats and resources	Over 70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	3	Accessible Small Towns
491	Yes	All I worked land should bought up and used to develop areas away from the central belt for housing and social recreation.	Removal of all planning consent for the development of farming lands	NA	Male	Interested Citizen		
492	Yes	I would like to see food security for Scotland. Variety of land use but I do not support community ownership - thats the path to a muddle A faster tempo set by the Scot Gov to increase environmental protection and improve biodiversity perhaps along the lines of present but there is an existential threat to the planet and we need to do more.I would like to see Scotlands biodiversity increasing not gently sliding Greater recognition by some secure Agricultural tenants of the value of their tenancy of 91 Act holdings - many young people getting into farming would be thrilled to find themselves in such a position. More opportunities for young farmers and new entrants to take on smaller holdings. In the past when there was more certainty about land law there was often the opportunity for tenants to take on somewhere small and then if they proved themselves, migrate to a larger holding on the same estate. Less contract farming and so more confidence in those who land that they can let it without fear A recognition that there are good able farmers open to innovation and also farmers who are operating poorly and are protected by the current subsidy regime.	A living landscape, with mankind integrated as part of nature	41-50	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
493	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
494	Yes	Most land in Scotland outside of urban areas is pretty unproductive so private ownership with support schemes targeting public objectives remains the most cost effective way to deliver these without taking on the risk and political issues associated with other types of ownership. I don't think any more reform is needed as it will just deter or delay investment. Current landownership models are fine, but the government should facilitate the investment in local rental properties by non-profit community groups to secure long-term local rental housing and financial sustainability, avoiding the loss of valuable housing to 2nd homes and short term lets. There should be a quick way to access such funds to kick start such investments. The most crucial thing for rural communities is public infrastructure, not owning land. Novel community projects can come and go, but agreement with local authorities over provision of public toilets, post offices, medical facilities, road and parking infrastructure, etc, underpins the health of a community. For more urban areas, streamlining the compulsory acquisition process for neglected land would be beneficial for urban regeneration.	That we know who to contact when a land-related issue comes up.	41-50	Male	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
495	Yes	Minimum private ownership area of 1000 hectares. Owners must live in the country for at least 45 weeks per year. No foreign ownership. Land should be fairly taxed. If land is managed for environmental reasons such as native woodlands wet lands etc no tax	Mostly foreign owned and no stability in management	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
496	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Prefer not to say	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
497	Yes	I'd like to see farmers respect the land more, no more killing fields with 10 - 15yrs of consecutive plantings of barley killing soil structure and annually causing localised flooding	We are surrounded by barley, we dread heavy rain every year.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
498	Yes	Stop developments which will confer no benefits on the local economy/community/environment but which are solely for England's benefit	Protecting the local flora, fauna and lamdscape	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
499	Yes	I would like to see everyone return value to the land that they take value from. So regenerative agriculture, wind farm community benefits designed in partnership with communities, commercial forestry that enhances biodiversity and access.	That there is a system of regulation in place that ensures a balance of land use	41-50	Female	Community group or development trust member	7	Remote Rural Areas
500	Yes		Native trees being preserved; not all going to commercial forestry.	Under 30	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
501	Yes		Right to roam - good access for walking and biking	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
502	Yes	Too much of Scotland's land is still owned by too few, with decisions made far from the people who live with the consequences. We live with the legacy of land taken, cleared and controlled. For generations, vast areas have passed through the hands of a privileged few, while many have had nothing to pass on. That divide still shapes lives, including mine. Like many others, I want to feel rooted in a place I can help shape. I want to build something lasting for my family. I want the chance to live, work and grow in a community where the land supports us, not just those who profit from it. Our land, like our oil, our forests and our wind, creates immense value, but much of that wealth leaves with those who may never have lived here. Norway used its natural resources to build public good. Scotland has rarely had that chance. Land is not just an asset. It carries meaning, responsibility and potential. I want reform that helps communities thrive and gives people like me the chance to build a future. Land reform is not only about fairness. It is about making sure we all have a stake in what comes next.	That it is rooted in care and serves those who live here.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
503	Yes	It is not how land is owned, but how land is managed which is important. There is nothing immoral about having lots of it, as long as it is looked after sustainably from a natural, economic and cultural viewpoint	Sustainability (natural, economic and cultural)	51-60	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
504	Yes	No to huge pieces of land owned by people who dont live in Scotland and dont contribute taxes or anything to Scottish communities. Such pieces of land are playgrounds for the rich, contributing nothing to preservation of native flora & fauna, or anything of our native culture, history or land management. Stop this parasitic situation. Stop building giant pylons in our landscape, every single community in Scotland has protested against their building yet, the work is pushed through. Yes to more opportunities for Scottish community buy outs of land & opportunities for Scottish people to learn wholistic land management, growing their own food, opportunities to own and work their own croft / piece of land. Yes to land management laws preventing absentee land owners & supporting wholistic approach to land ownership whereby land owners have responsibility not just for maintaining the land, buildings and environment but also for serving the surrounding community in engaging ways which are actually helpful to that community. Scottish culture, languages and history are directly attached to our landscape, stop selling chunks of it off to those who do nothing to care for these attachments.	Environment, river courses, conservation areas, community voices	31-40	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
505	Yes	Land needs protecting from corporate entity's, the new IHT, solar farms etc. family farms need to stay family farms, Crofts need to stay as work places. It's what gives us some food security.	No more wind farms. Ensuring food production continues	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
506	Yes	Would like to see a limit on ownership, e g as in Denmark. Would also like to see land use appropriate to the underlying geology and climate. More protections should be in place- in the Highlands we are faced with massive change to accommodate net zero- this can be a complete paradox- digging up peat bog for turbines/pylons etc? There should be a focus on repopulating the glens with a progressive crofting system with an emphasis on food production and native species for the health of both land and population. Energy production should be sympathetic to the environment- better technology.	More diverse use and protection from aggressive energy companies	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
507	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA				
508	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA		Interested Citizen		
509	Yes	All land not of agricultural use in rural areas should be in public ownership . No one should have the right to own mountains . Too much land is owned by too few people . In urban areas key sites which could be developed for community or leisure / amenity use should be brought in to public ownership .	This island is mostly in the ownership of one estate .	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
510	Yes	I would like the land to belong to the people of Scotland. I do not want Freeports or SECs. Our land is our heritage and should not be sold to private investors.	Polution from Nuclear waste, fish farms and battery storage.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
511	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
512	Yes	Greater biodiversity. Taxes on large estates. Caps on grants to large private estates. Muirburn made illegal. Reduction on deer numbers enforced.	Reduction in deer numbers.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
513	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
514	Yes	the government should not be involved in land ownership and controls - the interference through land reform is degrading rural rural communities and stopping private investment	environment, employment, farming, tourism - a balance across interests	41-50	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
515	Yes	What is wrong with the way it is owned now?	That it is kept clear of litter, rubbish and fly tipping. Culprits to be fined.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
516	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
517	Yes	Independence	It belong to Scots	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
518	Yes	I want ancient woodland back. I want land where a huge variety of birds and animals are not being constantly exterminated for grouse. I want trees and other plants to have a chance to grow, instead of the entire landscape being nibbled to death by sheep that are not even economically viable. I want to have some hope of every green thing less than five feet tall being eaten instantly by deer. And I want to tax landowners on their land so land becomes a place for the people to live instead of a tax-efficient investment or an inheritance package for the rich.	That we steward the environment and don't let the rich buy up everything.	31-40	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
519	Yes	The bigger problem is the lack of understanding of rural Scotland by the ce real belt who seem obsessed with owns land rather than what they do with it. Unfortunately the Land Commision seem hell bent on stirring up divide, rather than actually doing anything to help. Total waste of public funds.	Supporting jobs and producing food in the local area.	NA	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen		
520	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
521	Yes	To be owned by Scottish people	To be used for production of food	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
522	Yes	I would like to see the landscape remain how it currently is. Open moorlands, with an abundance of short bushes, providing homes for ground nesting birds of all varieties, especially ground nesting raptors. This will continue to provide a strong economical system for all of us to benefit from.	Openness, lots of birds and wildlife. Economical support for the community.	NA				
523	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
524	Yes	Land reform must not be fixated on tenure but how it is managed. I have seen first hand the difference between private and community owned land on a large scale in Africa. The difference in biodiversity and productivity was scary, community land being effectively deserts.	Biodiversity and productivity, not ownership	41-50	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
525	Yes	Stop the big energy companies and rewilding idiots. Let traditional family farms and estates manage the land in time proven fashion. It worked fine for centuries. Too many deskjockey townies telling us how to live our lives when they know nothing about the practicalities, persecuting us as a sport. Our grandfathers broke their backs producing quality, healthy meat and food and we are spat on and robbed by clueless politicians for doing it.	Farming being allowed to thrive.	NA	Female	Farmer or Crofter		
526	Yes	I like to see Scotland preserved for future generations, we are at risk of becoming a land of Turbines ripping up the peat and destroying the land.	Preservation	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
527	Yes	I was borne and raised in norway, so my soul knows about common land, small lots for a cabin in the mountains, or by the sea. Where no-body can stop you walking on the land, and where wealth actually dont mean much. (Although that is creeping in). The more equal people are, the more equal and supporting is the community where everyone contributes to the welfare of self and others.		Over 70	Male	Tenant	2	Other Urban Areas
528	Yes	I would like to see the RIGHT TO RESPONSIBLE ACCESS brought under more scrutiny as the amount of people that think they can just go where they want with dogs and without, leave litter and cause risk of wildfire by having open fires on land that is supposed to be rewilded. There is less risk of wildfires on properly managed land , farms and estates. Encouraging more people into the countryside does not work for nature as people destroy biodiversity, trampling over nests and upsetting young animals that are very reliant on their mothers. We have a path that runs through our property and we get endless problems with gates being left open and animals getting mixed up which affects their health. Damage to fences and litter. The list goes on. The government owns a significant amount of scotland so should be Encouraging these areas to be visited more.	Food production should be top of the agenda.	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
529	Yes	Keep Scotland, Scottish, stop selling off to foreigners & huge companies, just cos they have money! Listen to the people that LIVE on the land. Stop landowners pheasant shooting, give the land back to nature!	Trees nature & freedom to wander	51-60	Female	Tenant	1	Large Urban Areas
530	Yes			Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
531	Yes	Less legislation imposed that essentially persecutes smaller landowners without holdings adequate to support a large clerical staffroll. The constant shift in the legislative framework of landownership is detrimental to the management of the land, and therefore to the environment and the nation.	The balance of nature, amenity and productivity.	41-50	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
532	Yes	The owner should reside more than 6 months a year in Scotland and pay taxes in Scotland including income and investment taxes in Scotland.	The loss of agricultural and open space recreational space.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
533	Yes	Ideally, I think land should only be owned in common. Indivuals could have secure tenancies and pay rent to the community. This would fund local infrastructure such as transport, utilities, health and education services. People could keep the value of improvements they make while the state would get the value raised by improving infrastructure. Health, both physical and mental, would be improved with access to fresh food, and the environment would benefit from lower transport emissions.	Lack of allotments.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
534	Yes	I would like to see Scottish Land prioritised for the people of scotland, prioritised over the whims of rich people to make money for themselves over the needs of working people. Land should be nationalised and reallocated to people and communities to use for their benefit ; every man woman and child should have the right to use land to feed themselves, to make a living if desired. from individual allotments to communal farms. no person or organisation should ever own land, it is our land to be used for our benefit.	Land accessibilty, given to people on the basis of need & ecological benefit.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
535	Yes	People need to be held for account for their actions, litter, fires, damage to fences and dogs annoying live stock. Most land owners are happy to see people enjoying the country side, but over the last few years the number of people ignoring the responsible bit in The right to responsible access are spoiling it for everyone.	The land around me is full of small working farms employing local people.	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
536	Yes	The scenery and landscape of Scotland is its biggest asset, it's why people come here. Why would you destroy your biggest asset? If you can dig gas pipelines underground, why can't we do the same to electric supply lines? I lived on a farm in Northumberland that had a 36" gas main that went through it, 3 years after it was put in, you wouldn't have known. That's acceptable change!	The scenery	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
537	Yes	Where land is publicly owned, such as Forest and Land, more care needs to be taken, especially round monuments and viewing points, to keep these areas trimmed, especially of self-seeded trees and shrubs.	There should be the avoidance of litter and Wind Farms.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
538	Yes	More accessible paths and better maintained especially in busy areas. Better signage on recognised walks eg, John Muir way etc. stop farmers padlocking gates. Better access for horse riders	Better facilities for rubbish collection litter bins overflowing.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
539	Yes	I would like to see land use determined by the authorities, not dictated by property developers. They have too much power and the housing shortage means they force councils to release new land instead of building more houses in existing urban areas. I'd also like to see the end of the green deserts that are driven grouse moors. Ridiculous that we subsidise this destruction of biodiversity and habitat. Our uplands are denuded by economically unsustainable grouse moor and sheep farm-lets find a way to support upland communities with positive economies, not playgrounds for the rich or scraping a living with sheep farms. The break up of large estates would be good here-too much much area owned and controlled by too few (rich) people. Derelict and neglected property should be confiscated - a system for compensation can be worked out, but property needs to be rescued or redeveloped before it gets too bad. Crazy that people are allowed to destroy good buildings when we are short of housing.	Biodiversity! Demolition of property via neglect or convenient fires	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
540	Yes	There are ex pat and traditional owners who do not embrace the need to gain biodiversity and improve communities. Sporting estates are no longer the fashionable land use. Government subsidies should not be paid to these estates. Community buy outs at different scales facilitate population retention, and diversifying economies.	Access, deer control, nature conservation, facilities for tourists	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
541	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
542	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
543	Yes	Of particular concern is the large tracts of land managed by FLS. I understand that the production of timber is important but there is limited evidence that the organisation is taking seriously the need to strike a balance between conifers and deciduous forest. What lies at the heart of this is the definition of their accountability to anyone.	Plantation management and the proliferation of sileage throughout the region	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
544	Yes	1.Our land should not be sold to overseas visitors 2. No one should be able to own over a specific amount (1000 hectares??) 3. Community buy outs should be encouraged and funded 4. Use of inheritance tax to divide large estates 5. Common land to be state supported	That it's open to all	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
545	Yes	Stop the industrialisation of Scotland by big energy companies	The wildlife and plants	51-60	Female	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
546	Yes	More community ownership. More trees and plants native to Scotland.	As natural as possible Access. Cared for	Under 30	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
547	Yes	Our community has spent 25 years trying to create a roadside active travel route to benefit cyclists, dog walkers, horse riders and in fact anyone who wants to travel safely. Two landowners have blocked this by refusing to release strips of land. The local authority is sympathetic but unwilling to make a Path Order knowing that the landowners will challenge this in the courts, and the Council does not have the resources to defend its actions. Meanwhile the volume of traffic on the roads just grows and grows. Landowners should not be able to defy the wishes of the community with impunity.	Access	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	2	Other Urban Areas
548	Yes	The local authorities stopped from selling land donated to the public good and health, ban large companies owning land for development, restrict development to non farming land.	Farming sustainability and local poeple owning or being housed	41-50	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
549	Yes	I'd like to see a major reduction in grazing animals — especially sheep and deer — to allow biodiversity to recover. Not a total ban, but current numbers do more harm than good. National Parks should have stronger powers to control inappropriate development. Sustainable farming needs more support to restore ecosystems and strengthen rural communities. We need a bold policy to repopulate the glens, backed by investment in infrastructure. Landowners should be required to release land for affordable homes, and second home ownership should be restricted. These ideas connect closely to my work. [REDACTED - BUSINESS PROMOTION]	Healthy land, local access, and space for nature, people, and new ideas.	NA	Male			
550	Yes	I would like to see more emphasis on how land is managed and especially on how managers can be incentivised to manage for biodiversity even more.	That it is looked after well and affords the owners a return to re-invest in it.	61-70	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
551	Yes	Continually being told that land-owners are the problem, especially against all the evidence which points to land-owners, land managers and all the other tenures being an integral part of the solution, creates a very divisive atmosphere. I would like to see much more focus on managing the land well for the benefit of all and to help solve the multiple crises of climate change, food security and biodiversity loss which are all interlinked and can only be addressed as a partnership between the public and private sectors with both sides able to respect the views and potential inputs of each other. The current 'debate' about land ownership and scale of ownership does not engender trust or respect and is not helpful in solving the problems we all face.	That it can continue to thrive and help maintain communities	61-70	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
552	Yes	What is the point of these surveys if the Scottish office overturns local democracy and allows almost all of the application# for wind Turbines Bess's and Pylon erections	It's fine as it is with mixed agriculture	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
553	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
554	Yes	I'd like to see no more trees planted on good arable ground. Fewer trees on hill ground capable of supporting cattle and sheep. All done under the climate change guise but really just a complete waste of natural resources and grant funds. This would make a huge difference to me, my community and Scotland as a whole. Food production and availability is the main basic need of any person and community or country.	As said in question one. Eating is the single most important thing to everyone.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
555	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Landowner		
556	Yes	More concern and active measures to protect our native fauna and flora. Work with organisations including [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] and the [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] to preserve and extend suitable habitats for insects, birds and the vegetation they rely on. Protecting our natural heritage will help mitigate the effects of climate change, bringing direct benefits for us all. Preservation of green spaces and wild areas has other benefits, including evidence that being in such spaces benefits mental health. It is not right that land ownership in Scotland is concentrated in so few hands. What can be done to address this?	Unimproved grassland full of wild flowers and grasses	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
557	Yes	More local.and community ownership. I like the hitting idea but sanitation issues need to be addressed as do noise issues. Also, people need to be clear that where locals on e used to walk they cannot out in locked gates. Councils need to be resources to deal with ensuring people who buy these.plots don't then shut them off to others. This would make me welcome rather than fear the hitting laws. Easier planning regulations that are faster. This would mean that architects don't go out of business whilst planning loops slow things up. Public green spaces in cities preserved rather than sold into private ownership. This would help.regerated cities in particular for ALL not just at the charity of the Private sector. Regeneration of city buildings and spaces to prevent urban sprawl. Lower density and quality housing on Greenfield sites to help people.enjoy the land they live in a d save on costly rebuilding in the future.	Possible to walk across responsibly,. No locked gates and buildings on paths.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
558	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA				
559	Yes	I think land that has been owned for a longtime and hasn't been used or managed should be taken away from whoever owns it and given to the community that surrounds it.	That it is cared for .	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
560	Yes	How land is managed is the key part of the question, not who owns the land. Better support for land managment objectives is essential. How can we encorage better use of land.	That the land is able to provide tangible benefits, either to nature, economy...	31-40	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
561	Yes	I would like to see private land in Scotland better protected from dog walkers and litter dropping tourists. They go everywhere and frighten wildlife and farm animals.	The most important thing is to keep the land natural and unspoiled	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
562	Yes	When I stand back it seems that the greater concern is about "who" owns the land and how much rather than the actual land use and quality of stewardship. It is a hugely fraught and complex and often easy to criticise but we need to be very careful about pressing our own ideals on others - we now know that we must do this with each other in the work place etc. It worries me the rush towards net zero solutions when actually the causes of global warming are ignored. If I donate to a rewilding charity its ok to keep taking those flights & buying tat on Amazon! I am also cynical that much of the cash in the sector is being sucked up by management & consultants and not realising real meaningful & measurable improvements.	Retaining its unique character and activities -farming/crofting/sporting/leisure	61-70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
563	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Female		6	Accessible Rural Areas
564	Yes	More of a focus on what is done rather then who has done it. Current obsession with who own land is holding us back from achieving net zero. Also money saved could be used to help communities work with landowners for mutual gain. Similarly rural areas are struggling as planners make it impossible to build houses and seem determined to retain some outdated idyllic fantasyland.	Vital services being retained.	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
565	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
566	Yes	We all want to see good management of land. Scale of ownership matters. Beneath a certain size it is impossible to farm (except as a hobby), to afforest (fencing becomes prohibitive), or to manage environmentally... for that, land needs to be joined up, not just small islands of env. management. Wildlife management (e.g deer culling) can't be done at small scale. In the distant past, land was the source of most wealth, farming, minerals etc. But now wealth creation resides in commerce, mostly in cities. Scotland has some good farmland, but most of it is not so, and requires regular investment from outside to sustain it or carry out desirable management of it. It is simplistic to equate large scale land ownership with some sort of oppression. What matters is not who owns it, but how it is managed. I have just visited Zimbabwe, and saw the results of splitting up once viable farms and wildlife sanctuaries. Done there for political reasons, the results are extremely bad for wildlife, conservation, local people and the economy.	Free access. Good management for landscape and conservation.	41-50	Male	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
567	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
568	Yes	Restrict ownership by non resident people. Do not give massive grants to landowners to rewild large areas or plant native species with little timber production potential.	Varied landuse not dominated by wind turbines and overhead cables.	Over 70	Male	Landowner	2	Other Urban Areas
569	Yes	I think a large percentage of land should be regenerated into food forest areas for communities to plant, tend and eat.	Green ness	51-60	Female	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
570	Yes	Rural Scotland should no longer be housing further wind turbines onshore. The landscape cannot sustain such large height turbines without huge impact on residents and tourism. The associated pylon infrastructure has a huge impact also. The Scottish landscape needs now to be protected from further industrialisation.	Sensitive land management to protect plant and animal species.	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
571	Yes	Make it easier for asset transfers to be made for community ownership.	Green spaces. Preserve and protect.	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	3	Accessible Small Towns
572	Yes	Stop the energy speculators. Stop destroying Scotland's natural beauty with turbines, batteries, solar panels, pointless new powerlines.	Nature, landscape, history, walking, seasons, growth.	NA		Landowner		
573	Yes	Reduce private ownership of land	Community, making spaces work for people and nature	41-50	Female	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
574	Yes	I live in the Ettrick Valley where sitka is a monstrosity, out of control, from here to Innerleithen, through Craik and over to Eskdalemuir, Wrapped up also as carbon credits, what a joke. This was once the great Ettrick Forest this area should be a crown jewel of Scotland with someone replanting the ancient forest as it was, not slathering sitka everywhere and destroying ecosystems, the landscape, the natural drainage, the public access, the safety of the roads, driving land prices up, locking rural people out of their livelihoods, making it impossible for anyone to purchase a farm, depleting the spirits of those who live in and visit these areas, I could go on. I'd love to see planting of the land with forever forests, with community access, creating thriving ecosystems and allowing countryside pursuits and pleasures to flourish. Using the land for something beautiful for National Heritage whilst genuinely helping the planet. I'd like to see the whole Ettrick Forest area re-planted at the next harvest cycle as a forever forest of diverse tree species as it once was and is meant to be. Not with disease prone, non-native, ugly, green washed, eco dead zone sitka crops	No Sitka. No Pylons. No Turbines. Who is protecting this land	31-40	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
575	Yes	stop the energy farms. they are destroying Scotland	keeping the energy farms off them	NA	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas