576	Yes	Land reform must address the unchecked use of rural land for industrial energy infrastructure like battery storage (BESS) and wind turbines—often imposed without community consent, transparency, or benefit. Large corporate developers exploit fragmented ownership and planning loopholes, installing vast energy projects on land that once supported farming, wildlife, and tourism. Local voices are ignored, and profits leave the area. We want land to be used in ways that reflect community priorities: sustainable jobs, biodiversity, and real contributions to net zero—not just energy exports. Reforms must ensure communities have meaningful control over what's built on their doorstep, with public interest, not corporate gain, at the heart.	Community control, environmental protection, and fair use of rural lan	41-50	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
577	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
578	Yes	It would be good if those responsible for the roads managed ragwort and other weeds better. Generally it would be good to see a reduction in landownership by government & public bodies.	Viable privately owned farms & estates are critical to stewarding this landscape	51-60	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
579	Yes	No difference in how land is owned.Less taxation, especially reduced taxes for dying. This would encourage people to plan land management over generations.	Proper land management, preservation of environment, no solar panels	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
580	Yes	I don't want the heavy hand of GVT involved in the willing buyer/seller market place. That would be a disaster on many fronts. The current system works perfectly well enough and re invests huge amounts money back into the rural economy which the Scottish GvT simply refuses to understand. Ownership is not the problem nationally it is continual interference that reduces business certainty. If the land reform bill is passed as it stands it will have a detrimental impact on the rural economy.	That it is well managed & cared for. (whatever that may be).	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
581	Yes	When public use the land and leave rubbish on it, more legal support for the landowners to apply the existing litter laws on the offenders. Miscreants should be legally forced appropriately to clear up their mess. If need be others too.	Do not overbuild on it! That destroys the reason for living there.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
582	Yes	Land reform means tackling the outdated, unjust systems that still control who owns Scotland—and who doesn't. It's about shifting power, wealth, and opportunity away from the privileged few and toward the communities that are being left behind. I support a Land Value Tax to ensure landowners, especially those sitting on vast unused estates, contribute fairly. Right now, the working poor are propping up the rich under the cover of "green" policies that appear more about profit than conservation. This isn't environmentalism—it's green capitalism. Scotland has huge areas of underused land that could deliver affordable housing, jobs, nature access, and tourism—but instead, 91% of people are squeezed into just 2.3% of the land. That's not just inefficient. It's unjust. Despite its image, Scotland isn't a beacon of equality. It's a country where inequality is buried under branding, and land injustice is brushed aside. Real land reform means naming that truth and changing it—so land serves the many, not the few.	A voice and control.	51-60	Female	None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
583	Yes	New laws to limit the amount private individuals or trusts can own. Much greater community ownership and decision making. Transition plan to organic and agro ecological farming. Programmes to encourage and support small scale growing, with help for supply chains that favour local distribution and consumption. Highest standards for biodiversity and land and water health. New laws recognising the rights of nature.	We need more space for community and small holders growing. Also water health	51-60	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
584	Yes	More local and community owned land. Shared community ownership of renewable energy projects, as well as wholly community led projects. Stop landowners being allowed to turn these huge estates over to grouse moorland, and the associated habitat destruction that comes with it. Instead I'd like to see our land being used for nature restoration, renewable energy and for the recreational enjoyment of all in Scotland.	Access to greenspace. Space for nature to thrive. Safety.	Under 30	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
585	Yes	Reduction in grouse moors. Reduction in deer numbers. No property to be owned offshore. Public interest test to how land is being managed . High levels of taxation on absentee landowners where the land is not being managed in the public interest.	Diversity of use for employment. Maintenance of scenic beauty.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen		
586	Yes	Rural land should continue to be used to provide the goods and services our nation requires and consumes. Who owns the land is less important but family owned businesses and succession supports long term investment opportunities that extend beyond one generation.	That it provides a viable net income sufficient to support its residents.	Over 70	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
587	Yes	Continue to reduce power of landowners with big estates and especially companies using land for carbon offsetting	Local woodland and greenspace	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
588	Yes	Land owners should within reason say what they do with their own land as long as it does not harm or affect wildlife, water supplies or their neighbours.	Stop forestry and renewables harming our land waterways and wildlife	51-60	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
589	Yes	Less energy infrastructure including pylons, BESS fields, wind turbines	Who is going to sell to a developer to build a BESS next door.	61-70	Female	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
590	Yes	The land owners who look after the land properly should be left to continue their good work. It would mean I can stay where I am at the moment.	stop dropping litter.. let farmers keep providing food	Over 70	Female	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
591	Yes	Reducing the number of applications and approvals of wind turbines ,battery storage and solar sites	The views and infrastructure	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
592	Yes	Large estates should be broken up with a landowner allowed to own no more than 2000 acres	Land not being used properly but still being claimed for	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
593	Yes	More community ownership of land and assets such as community buildings and wind farms, including in towns & cities (but not at the expense of city/ town council ownership of assets - this is also important). The break up of large estates as part of a shift to less inequality of land ownership. A lower deer population to reduce overgrazing and allow tree growth over many of the deer/grouse shooting areas of Scotland. Access rights in Scotland are fantastic but we need better education on some aspects, such as what wild camping really means. Reduction in second homes, short term holiday lets, Air B&bs especially in the islands and tourist hot spots. House prices are too high in these areas, young people can't afford to stay or live there.	Accessible green spaces, cheap/free space in buildings for community use	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
594	Yes	I want to see biodiversity rather than profit prioritised in natural areas, which in turn makes me feel healthier and happier. I want community ownership to be a more viable option, to facilitate the creation of places which prioritise the community's need. This is turn would enable me to meet new people at local events, and enjoy these spaces more. I want more restrictions on second homes so that one-day I can afford to rent/even buy one of my own, that doesn't require me to live in the middle of a city.	Accessible and biodiverse green space, frequent local events/activities.	Under 30	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
595	Yes	New housing developments being obliged to include clusters of indigenous trees in the landscaping as town planners did in the 1970s - with community funds to care for these. Farming subsidies for field edge set aside and hedges for wildlife. Increasing biodiversity for creatures living with us.	That it allows humans to share with non human creatures	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
596	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
597	Yes	The reliance on legislation to deliver land reform continues to ignore the significant levels of best practice that many landowners and managers already deliver in terms of community engagement, and a conscious move towards operations which will help the Scottish Government, whatever its makeup, achieve the ambitious net zero targets we currently have.	food, energy security.	51-60	Male	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
598	Yes	More ownership through innovative models enabling small (fractional) investments in land ownership, such as companies	Increasing its biodiversity and tree numbers	41-50	Female	Business owner	1	Large Urban Areas
599	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Prefer not to say	Local government or public sector	2	Other Urban Areas
600	Yes	I would like to see public owned land/forestry preserved for future generations not sold out for energy parks. Unfortunately private land is in the hands if the individual but Scotland needs to take more care of the country's landscape and heritage.	Preserving the history heritage and landscape	61-70	Female	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
601	Yes	I would like to see more community ownership with potential for community energy projects and community housing. Allowing local young people to live and work in the communities they were bought up in. I would like to see agricultural land bought under the same jurisdiction as forestry with tighter environment controls but better subsidies for farmers to diversify to maintain food produce. I would like to see more opportunities for young people to train and enter the land based economy with more land available to rent and work, as well as incentives for estates and commercial businesses to take on young local people within their labour force. I want to see a whole Scotland approach to plans around renewable energy, housing and forestry, but local communities being involved at the very first stage.	Local community ownership and young people bring able to get a house	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
602	Yes	I would like good quality farm land kept for food production. Definitely no forests, battery banks, pylons etc allowed on productive land.	Improving growing and pasture land.	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
603	Yes	Green land should be used for either sustainable food production or environmental restoration / preservation. This should be financially supported by the government, possibly through taxation of large land holdings not used for the above. Solar panels, giant turbines and the supporting infrastructure should be in or around large urban centres, e.g. on roofs and along motorways. Nature has recognised mental health benefits and green, low carbon tourism should be encouraged. Many of us rurals are currently suffering significant anxiety because of the large renewable projects proposed on our doorstep a	The ability to eat local produce and access to unspoilt countryside for walks.	51-60	Female	Environmental or nature group member	5	Very Remote Small Towns
604	Yes	Scottish land should belong to the people who live and breathe in Scotland. If communities owned the energy they produce they would accumulate funds. Local authorities and councils would have funds and would be instructed by their community owned energy what they could do with the funds they receive. Large estates are not run for communities or local residents, they are run to keep money by their owners. Ensure that all owners live in Scotland, if not they need to sell to someone who does. If a business, then it needs to be registered in Scotland and pay taxes in Scotland and also employ at least 50% Scottish personnel.	Arable land for food and animal production. Rural land for off-grid housing	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
605	Yes	Ground being bought up by green washing companies should not be allowed as this ground is no longer workable and holds no value to local communities.	deer, habitat and employment.	31-40	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
606	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
607	Yes	I would like to see Scotland's land owners, who deliver so much for our countryside through their own hard work and perhaps more importantly, their own private finances, given a break by the Scottish Government. The anti-landowning rhetoric that pervades the work of the Scottish Government and Land Commission undermines the significant contribution land owners make to our countryside, and places ideology before delivery. It is landowners who provide employment, underpin the economy and take action to tackle the challenges we face in rural areas. We have a fantastic relationship with our local landowners and can see the work they put in to sustain our community every day, and their reward for this is to be made to feel as if they are the problem rather than the solution to the problems we face. Without them, our community would be dead, and no amount of government or community funding could match what they have invested in our local area.	Food, energy, biodiversity and community	Under 30	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
608	Yes	Most important for me is the land ownership - who owns it and why there are so few owning so much. Too much power and decision making in a few hands. Differences we can see in communities who have managed to own the land are tremendous - increased population, better services, feeling of empowerment etc.	Control of land by external forces	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	4	Remote Small Towns
609	Yes	The reliance on legislation to deliver land reform continues to ignore the significant levels of best practice that many landowners and managers already deliver in terms of community engagement , and a conscious move towards operations which will help the Scottish Government , whatever its makeup , achieve the ambitious net zero targets we currently have . The constant legislative changes and the apparent Scottish Government hostility towards landownership at scale can only result in a negative impact in confidence in the sector and dissuade much needed external investment . Many landowners are concerned that the land reform agenda distracts from the real challenges faced by communities .... including depopulation, transport , infrastructure , economic development and accessing education .	Allow landowners / communities to work together without legislative changes	Over 70	Male	Land manager	1	Large Urban Areas
610	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA		Landowner		
611	Yes	Ownership. Land ownership over 5000 acres should be taxed incrementally . With higher bands for non productive land (grouse moor) comunity land buy outs should be part government funded. Use . 50 % of new forest should be native forest leaning heavily towards Scots pine. Crofting: Crofting is a mess and needs a total rethink. It's producing nothing and good land is rotting away. Especially here on Skye.	Re working of the crofting system and way more trees	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
612	Yes	More community ownership of rural areas to encourage environmental protection and small business development. In regards to housing I believe large tenement-style flats should be prioritised over houses in built-up areas. I am also a big believer in rewilding, especially in regards to forestry and reintroduction of predators.	Self-sufficiency, especially for milk.	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
613	Yes	The renewables must be stopped or contained. Scotlands natural environment is paying far too high a price for the renewable industry, both onshore and offshore shore. Communities are being devastated, divided, destroyed by the greed of the developers for yet more and more and more. Does no one think to say that perhaps we should use less electricity rather than producing more to fulfill the tech greed and the pockets of the developers.	Access, conservation of natural environment, food production	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
614	Yes	I live in a city but grew up in a rural location and work within a rural business. Who owns land and changing who owns land is not something that has been a concern or priority for me in either an urban or rural environment. I am aware that if there are concerns about an area of land, landowners can be contacted and asked to take action and I support ease of access to information about who owns land. If an informal approach does not work, both local authorities and community bodies have access to existing statutory powers that can change the use or ownership of an areas of land if an issue is severe enough. Members of a community can choose to contribute ideas to the future of land use by responding to planning applications, community councils, local place plans and community bodies. Many people do not engage with existing routes that can influence land use change and are unaware of the work and costs involved in owning land and the jobs and local spend generated by existing rural land management. I think that attention should first be given to increasing rural and urban understanding of existing ways in which the public can already influence land use.	Land being utilised and maintained for the selected use	31-40	Female	None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
615	Yes	I'd like wild land to be protected to the highest degree. We are so fortunate to have the land that we have, that people travel hundreds and thousands of miles to come see. It must be protected.	The natural state of the land.	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Accessible Rural Areas
616	Yes	More community ownership, limit the amount owned by one person or company, incentivise ownership by Scottish residents	Derelict land should be compulsory purchased by LA and used to benefit communi	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
617	Yes	Less political interference in land ownership and management so that the rural economy, and rural communities can thrive without excessive regulation	Encouraging a vibrant rural economy and diverse ecology	51-60	Male	Tenant	7	Remote Rural Areas
618	Yes	More land owned by nationals; less land owned by non-nationals	Getting out and about and being able to walk without hindrance in the hills	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
619	Yes	careful where you build new houses. invest in buildings left empty. less holiday homes. make better use of land not being looked after properly. Leave good land owners and farmers alone who are doing a good job,	clean up all the rubbish. Land is being looked after leave alone.	Over 70	Female	Tenant	6	Accessible Rural Areas
620	Yes	In the Highlands too much of the land is owned by a small number of landowners and managed for shooting and/or sheep. Too much grazing pressure means that native vegetation is suppressed. Where I live in the Angus Glens, grouse moors are intensively managed through many environmentally bad practices- muirburn, predator control, medicated grit, bulldozed tracks. Community ownership and rewilding should be facilitated by legislation and financial incentives/disincentives. Where I live, beef cattle farming on fairly marginal land is only viable because it is supported by subsidies - perhaps nor a good use of public money.	Theright of responsible access - I often walk in the Angus Glens	61-70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
621	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
622	Yes	A limit please on the amount of land owned by any party, be it company or individual. A requirement to use the land to its potential. A requirement that owners are resident. Respect for the land, no industrialisation of good farming land forest peatland or mountainous areas. Keep all development sympathetic to the landscape.	The need to increase crofting opportunities and food productionf.	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
623	Yes	More of Scotlands land should be allocated for rewilding and more resources provided to plant trees and manage these areas.. The government should be investing much more in wind, solar and especially hydro electricity. More areas should be set aside for community gardens, where locals could grow their own food. These areas can also be beneficial for the mental and physical health of participants. Foreign landowners should not be allowed at leave their land and properties to decay, they should be forced to pay for their upkeep and it should be illegal for properties to be left empty and become derelict due to neglectful absent land /property owners, when these properties could provide much needed homes.	Parkland for locals to walk, jog, cycle and for children to play.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
624	Yes	More rewilding Less free roaming grazers and some hills returned to tree coverage Towns to be built practically with community and resources. Also in more popular areas to use multistory buildings rather than excessive land. Continue right to roam Reduced heather burning and grouse shooting mooreland for private landowners. Management of bracken and invasive species from private gardens (consider restrictions on garden planting) Land being available to be accessed and used by the public and their pets massively improves mental health and builds sense of community Opportunities for small holdings and people to grow for their own communities Improved planning permission for housing eg reduce suburban sprawl into green spaces	Organised building in cities prioritise access green spaces + build up not out	Under 30	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
625	Yes	Scotland, quite simply, needs to be owned by the Scots. Born in Scotland. Not insurance companies, hedge fund managers, etc.	Common good land is almost all gone.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
626	Yes	Scottish land should be owned by Scottish people. Housing developments must be curtailed in central belt to avoid destroying our green space. Developers must accept that they can only build where we need them to.	Leave our green spaces alone.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
627	Yes	Estate owners must be resident on their estate. No mre buying up land as carbon credit investment.	Native trees.	61-70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
628	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen		
629	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Male	None of the above	7	Remote Rural Areas
630	Yes	No more foreign ownership or forrest's, estates, green energy.	Nature, local heritage and local traditions.	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
631	Yes	Land ownership in Scotland should be more democratic, and should be utilised for the benefit of the community and society as a whole. This ranges across all land use in Scotland where possible, including no land being in company ownership (but owned by the people and rented out to private interest). Fundamentally, the land of Scotland is for everyone. As I live in an urban area, such an ownership change would affect me through knock on effects; perhaps Tesco renting their land from the council might generate greater income to be used to build more good quality housing for social rent in the area, or to look after our local green space. Revenue from McDonalds could be used to look after local heritage buildings and clean up and maintain our canal.	Affordable housing & public realm, good stewardship of nature and environment.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
632	Yes	People buying land should need to have been resident in Scotland for at least 7 years. Estates should not be sold off to the highest bidder to Poland trees as carbon offset. Crofters should be encouraged and fast tracked through planning to build small properties for long term rental of key workers in their community. The land should belong to all Scots, islands should not be owned by individuals and the monarchy should be disposed off all the land they have stolen from the people who are sovereign.	free for all to use / walk on following basic good manners/ the country code.	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
633	Yes	We all know the Westminster fraudulent UNION has destroyed Scotland past ,but we can change to future to a better . All the illegal land ownership from that date to now sure go in front a Peoples Panel as all of Scotland belongs to its people . Just because your taken over or purchased under a illegal Westminster's Lie don't make the purchase legal in scot Law .	The English are passing out the locals ,it's the new highland clearances.	61-70	Male	Environmental or nature group member	5	Very Remote Small Towns
634	Yes	I'd like to see land over a certain size (e.g. over 500ha) be subject to annual meetings with, and inspections from, the wider community. I believe that all large ownerships of this kind should have a statutory responsibility and commitment to enhance nature to the same degree as, say, a development proposal. This already takes place much in more urbanised areas. I believe all large development proposals should have to require plans to be agreed upon by the local community and statutory advisers.	A connection to natural habitats which are of a genuinely good quality.	Under 30	Male	Local government or public sector	3	Accessible Small Towns
635	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Male	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
636	Yes	I'd like to see land redistribution so that the majority of land in Scotland is owned by communities or councils, not individuals or corporate entities, with democratic governance. I want land to be used for the public good (for wildlife, for reforestation and for producing the food and fibres we need in Scotland in agro-ecological ways). For me and my family that would mean having access to a piece of land to put a small, low-impact home on, to reforest and grow some of our own food, and to live with others in community (co-housing).	In Portobello, Edinburgh, a group is keen to set up an organic community farm.	31-40	Female	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
637	Yes	Land is a common resource and should be held in common unless an individual or company is directly occupying it for their own residential or economic use. Undeveloped land should only be owned by community trusts or public bodies rather than private individuals or companies, and land (developed or undeveloped) which is not adequately maintained should revert back to the local community or the state. The fact that land is currently treated as a speculative commodity to be freely traded has numerous social externalities and no social benefits; it creates unnecessary barriers to building new homes, business premises and communal spaces, while also often being deleterious to environmental conservation. Housing supply, economic growth, community decline and environmental deterioration could all be addressed far more easily and efficiently in a Scotland where land is controlled by communities and public bodies subject to democratic control, rather than being hoarded as investments by wealthy individuals and corporations.	Aberdeen has countless large sites in total disrepair needing redeveloped	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
638	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
639	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen		
640	Yes	It should be owned by the government of Scotland, with the guarantee that should you purchase a house/build on it that it will not be demolished, without owner agreement and if agreed would be at a market price of similar properties in the area. Land which has been leased must be in active use through living domiciles of Scotland (must spend more than 9 months of year in Scotland to be considered domiciled in Scotland) and if buildings have been empty for over 1yr, must have their land lease revoked, and any building not in use to be taken back by the Scottish government. Land which is of natural and historical significance should be kept in the hands of government departments funded through memberships and taxation, along with walking and cycling routes planned alongside these areas.	Natural Beauty and history, but affordability for homes as well	31-40	Male	Tenant	2	Other Urban Areas
641	Yes	A change in attitude from Ownership (it is mine to do with as I choose) to Stewardship (the land is managed according to its potential, not according to its profitability). This could be reinforced by a rating system which cost more the further the management of the land was from its optimum stewardship potential.	Stewardship - currently the land is about to be raped by [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL], purely for profit	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
642	Yes	I think more land should be held in public ownership. So much of Scotland has been purchased by foreign nationals and entities.	The parks and public areas that allow people to be in nature.	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
643	Yes	Less huge estates that own acres and acres of land and use it to breed/import pheasants and grouse for shooting. This is harmful to our natural wildlife whose habitat is burned and destroyed when grouse moors are burned annually and who are killed in horrific traps to prevent them competing for food or predating on the imported game birds.	Minimal interference, minimal tree felling and hedge removal.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
644	Yes	I want to stop land sold to non-Scots. Other countries manage that, why can't we? If we continue as we are, most of Scotland will be owned by outsiders. Land is not a commodity to be traded, it is our home	Stop building on greenfield. We will need all the agricultural land we can get	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
645	Yes	Huge amounts of land is owned by 'Estates' the majority of which very little is done ,happy to take grants and funding to plant a few trees or pop in a hedgerow but make no attempt to support walking routes and sustain or create biodiversity . Much of the land is around the towns of Scotland offering opportunities for creating path networks and observation of wildlife. Land tax should increase to prevent huge swathes of land being used for little else but the shooting industry or sheep grazing .The refitting of peatland and planting of native trees and species. Local people should be supported to purchase land for biodiversity. The ' common good land' policies and processes should be over hauled it is archaic and complex. Lots of responsibility placed on local authority councils who have neither the motivation ,time or funding to manage land situations . The enabling of every town/ village to have an allotment or shared community gardens for all ages , categorising land on its worth prevents it being used sustainably by the community.	Biodiversity and path networks.	NA	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
646	Yes	I would like for small land owners of less than 200 sqm (yes metries) not to be help up by policies that are imposed on them via out of touch planning departments...	Ownership	NA				
647	Yes	A register of who owns the land, and what the do with it, what access people have to it and should local communities be able buy it.	Live in Glasgow so finding out who owns it. To buy land for growing on.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
648	Yes	I believe that the proliferation of wind turbines and solar farms across Scotland is wholly inappropriate compared to the electricity required in the country and the wholly inadequate (for decades to come) grid connection to England. This nonsense needs to come to a stop ASAP. the government and industry claim it will reduce prices and create jobs, neither of which is supported by the evidence. A more robust backup, hydro/nuclear is required to provide the security we require. I worry about our food security as more and more productive land is used for this mad dash for green energy in a country that is already self sufficient when the wind blows.	the beauty and food production.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
649	Yes	I think greater community ownership is needed. The success of Development Trusts and community land owners across Scotland has shown how effective local community ownership can be. In the community I live there is an community owned piece of land and renovated farmhouse as a community hub. It's brought jobs, investment and opportunities to the area, creating an amazing cultural hub with lots of events for local families like myself, and doing so much to look after the land and help with the environment. It seems to be the case across the board that these community owned bits of land are more democratic, sustainable, economically and environmentally than the majority of private owners. Also I believe there should be greater support for co-housing and community land trusts. In Craigmillar there was a grassroots group that worked for 25 years on trying to develop a local co-housing development as a registered social landlord. Delays and lack of initial start up loans and grants made it impossible to move and eventually the energy collapsed. It could have provided more affordable ecological housing that would have been designed and controlled by the residents and well looked after.	Access to nature - hills, woodlands, sea, public greenspaces and food growing	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
650	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Prefer not to say			
651	Yes	I'd like to see more land available for new entrant tennant farmers.	Land being used effectively for efficient food production,in harmony with nature	31-40	Male	Farmer or Crofter	2	Other Urban Areas
652	Yes	It scunners me that most of us have no prospect of having somewhere of pur own to escape to. Being autistic makes time in nature away from traffic noise essential for self regulation. You only need to go a few miles south of Edinburgh or north west of Perth to find uninhabited straths which could easily host huts and low cost dwellings. I work as an ASN teacher supporting autistic teenagers. Residential breaks are unaffordable. My community experiences far worse mental health than the rest of the population. We need to make quite time in nature far far more accessible to a wider diversity of people. Enabling this would reduce demands on NHS and increase our collective ability to contribute to society in various ways, spurring socioeconomic benefits. Now in my late 50s it is heartening to see Scots from our Asian communities getting out of town and enjoying countryside walks. I think it is time to funk things up a bit in Scotland and undertake some bold experiements. Landowners should be encouraged and rewarded for showing willing in making smallholding site available. This could include government agencies. More ideas at [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL]	Equity of access and ownership opportunities.	51-60	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
653	Yes	I love how land is owned and used in Scotland	Walking my dog respectfully and other walkers returning that respect	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
654	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
655	Yes	I would like to see 70% community owned land. The other 30% can be the mountain tops and historic buildings, allowed to stay managed by considerate owners. Most land should be used for crop growth to feed the population, using organic, sustainable techniques and to provide eco power to homes and business. Other EU countries are way ahead of us. Scotland's land should be used to encourage a circular economy. A fair and sustainable lifestyle. Simple. With time for creative endeavours, family, and community activities.	Less animal husbandry and more edible crops. Clean farming & Forestry practices	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
656	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	51-60	Female	Environmental or nature group member	7	Remote Rural Areas
657	Yes	The main issue is how land is managed. It should be managed in a sustainable manner with a long term vision.	No more commercial forestry.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
658	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA		Environmental or nature group member		
659	Yes	communities of at least 15 houses should by right be gifted land ownership of the land immediately adjacent to the community for a distance of at least 100m to enable control and possible income through further development in and around their community.	Agricultural use and woodland with broadleave corridors.	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
660	Yes	I would like to see an upsurge in new Crofts being created ideally through community buyouts and ownership of unused farmland. I would like to see an encouragement of small scale local food production and distribution alongside small scale rewilding projects. An increase in organic agriculture, a repopulation of rural land, a breakup of the pattern of large scale land ownership, legislation to prevent Scottish land been bought as hedge fund and private wealth assets. For my community this would increase the population especially of young, new entrants to agriculture, improve the viability of the local school and businesses. Improve availability of high quality fresh food with knock on effects on health and well-being.	Access to land for new entrants to farming and crofting.	61-70	Male	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
661	Yes	The land must be cherished in order to provide food, livelihoods. Knowledge of future management possibilities to provide for communities. Education and understanding to be taught very early in life.	Removal of chemical pesticides which harm the water and land.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
662	Yes	The person owning it should live there	It is natural	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
663	Yes	I have lived in Wigtownshire for over fifty years. A shire with one of the lowest percentage of estates over 1000 acres. This fact makes for a subtle but noticeable difference to the next door area of Kirkcudbrightshire that has a high proportion of these large estates.	Access from mountain top to shoreline, opportunities for young creative people	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
664	Yes	Encourage more community landownership. Phase out grouse moors.More planting of native woodlands in suitable areas. Promote responsible tourism	Enough wild areas to alow wildlife to thrive	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
665	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
666	Yes	No more absentee landlords. No more carbon credit blanket sitka spruce inbestment.	The remaining patches of native woodland.	NA		Farmer or Crofter		
667	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
668	Yes	I would like to see something like property taxes introduced on larger parcels of land with those owning 1000+acres paying the highest. Exceptions for rewilding and farmland. This will incentivise rewilding projects over grouse moors and help prevent land prices rising too high so it opens a door for small farms etc to establish which are more efficient and for homesteaders to repopulate the highlands once again.	Biodiversity and protecting the environment	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
669	Yes	Strict restrictions on how much privately owned land allowed. Should be owned / managed by Scottish Government, offering job opportunities - practical/ skilled/ admin/transport.	Freedom to walk and enjoy our wonderful country.country.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
670	Yes	Farmers and land owners should be allowed to get on with their jobs without hassle from Govt	Farmers being allowed to produce food	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen		
671	Yes	I would like to have the capacity to know who owns the land im existing on by completing the Land Register. I'd like speculative markets like Carbon and Biodiveristy to at the very least offer some form of community benefit to the communities surrounding it. Id like Private landowners to be taxed on their land holdings and for that tax to go towards the regeneration of the communities that exist within and around them. I would like less land to go towards private proerty developments and more land to go to productive use and low cost housing. I'd quite like absentee landowners to *have* to sell their land if the community identifies a productive public/ community use for it.	The people living on the land having access to it, having a say in how its used.	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas