791	Yes	We are in a decline of energy and resource. We need to allow land use changes that put people back on the rural landscape so that they can feed and house themselves in time to cope with the decline in income associated.	The sadness of the fields now ruined from neglect which were once productive.	Over 70	Male	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
792	Yes	I would like to see total reform of the present corrupt and biased planning system. Scottish Government policies, especially NPF4 are undemocratic and alienate rural residents.	Avoiding industrialisation of rural areas by electricity infrastructure.	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
793	Yes	First keep green belt as it should be and not allow large businesses to build private housing, solar farms and supermarkets. Support farming communities by allowing them to grow food for the nation and give them the chance to build homes for their workforce and not expect the workers to travel miles every day.	Keeping it rural and natural and not destroy the beauty of the landscape.	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
794	Yes	Stop investors and foreign buyers being allowed to buy huge areas of Scotland to implement their agrndas fir clsiming carbon credits , off setting thrir carbon emissiobs and ewilding ehen they have no resl interdst in kerpibg history tradition snd clture alive... Not to mention the extermination of our red deer	retaining character,people and wildlife .Allow locals able to prosper	41-50	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
795	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
796	Yes	Huge landholdings are broken up to allow smaller individual landholdings to exist for people who want to live and work on the land. More land should be used for organic farming, including horticulture . The difference that would make to me personally is that me and my family may have a chance to own a smallholding where we can live and produce food at a meaningful scale. Currently land prices make that impossible. More local food producers across the country means increased food resilience for local communities. Currently, many communities are food insecure for they rely on supermarket supply chains that would fail within 2 days of a shock to the global food system. Let us not forget the start of the COVID pandemic.	Access, local authority not obstructing efforts	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
797	Yes	Stop destroying farmland and forest and for net zero.	A mixture of coastal, forests and farmland, and accessible areas for enjoyment.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
798	Yes	Limitations on foreign ownership our requirement to have British citizenship. Zoned land needed for residential development and associated infrastructure and amenities. Short term rental properties should be classed as business use and be restricted to a percentage of area properties. They should also be subject to planning permission. Protection for agricultural land.	Development of social housing and associated amenities.	51-60	Female	Business owner	2	Other Urban Areas
799	Yes	No ownership by companies	Open, free access	Over 70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
800	Yes	I would like to see more community ownership. I think it is vital to any community that land is locally owned but also every person has a share in that land, particular recreational areas. Also, I would like to see further support to small local farmers and crofters to own their land rather be tenants as to encourage rural economic growth.	Open natural spaces that are open to everyone and not a barrier	Under 30	Male	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
801	Yes	Scotland's land should be used to produce the goods and services we as a nation require and consume. This is to provide security of the essential goods we consume such as food, forest, farm and quarry products and renewable energy. Ideally the land should be controlled and managed by residents who have a stake and interest in how the land is managed. Land ownership is less important than how the land is used. Our local rural community was dominated by residents who had a stake or interest in the surrounding land and how it is managed. Today the majority of our local community have little or no stake or empathy in how the land that surrounds them is managed. This is the result of people coming into our community to retire, the expansion of government and promotion of our rural area as a nice place to retire to, to commute from, to holiday in and to have a second home.	It's ability to support its residents.	Over 70	Male	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
802	Yes	To be frank, the rural landownership structure in Scotland beggars belief considering our history of the Highland clearances and what could reasonably be called the Lowland clearances today. I believe the advent of uncapped subsidies to the farming sector is extremely damaging to rural communities. In 1943, my parents commenced a tenancy of a modest farm on part of an estate which had 12 similarly tenanted farms at that time. In 1959, the lands were purchased by another estate which had a further 20 tenanted farms. Today there remains a total of 5 tenanted family farms, the remaining 27 of the 32 farmed in-hand by the estate. Owner-occupied family farms and businesses are arguably the most stable elements of rural communities and need to be retained or developed as such.	That all land is will soon be farmed by owner occupying farmers	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
803	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	7	Remote Rural Areas
804	Yes	I would like to see more transparency around land ownership and stopping the use of offshore and hidden companies owning the land. We should put in place a restriction of foreign ownership of large swathes of sensitive areas, especially non-european owners. We should always take note of the land's history and relevance to the community. We should also limit the practice of carving up land into small parcels to sell without local discussion and restrictions on use. I won't be greatly affected but my grandchildren will be definitely be affected by decisions made now and how they then relate to land in Scotland and their own history and culture.	Access and freedom to explore historical sites and nature.	61-70	Female	Community group or development trust member	7	Remote Rural Areas
805	Yes	I would like to see a fairer distribution of land with more community ownership creating more local facilities for local inhabitants.	That it is not destroyed huge wind turbines and associated infrastructure.	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
806	Yes	Support right to responsible access. Legislation against foreign ownership of estates especially use of land with susidies going out of Scotland.	An ATR between Hopeman and Lossiemouth	Over 70	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
807	Yes	More community land ownership or local decision making.	Understand multiple uses (leisure & biodiversity) & allowing access for leisure	NA				
808	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen		
809	Yes	Break up large landowner estates to allow more community ownership if desired. Landowners need to be responsible for peatland regeneration with a fixed deadline. They should also be incentivised to reduce deer population and regenerate forests	More native forest (less commercial forestry), healthy peatlands	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
810	Yes	land should be owned by local communities and not by rich and (often) foreign landowners. Examples are [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUALS]. Land should also be used to improve our food security, growing more crops(including sheep and cattle) and also to grow trees by natural regeneration, which needs a huge decrease in deer numbers. Hunting licences for ordinary folk not just the rich, and a change in the laws on hunting(which is currently illegal for ordinary folk.	Maintaining agricultural in my area ,not despoiling it with solar "farms".	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
811	Yes	The land should be owned by the community not by companies or rich individuals. That way the needs of the community could be addressed eg growing food, rewilding , learning spaces for children and in some cases to build more council houses (low rent)	A few stifling the opportunities for the locals	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
812	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Non-binary	Business owner	1	Large Urban Areas
813	Yes	I think that large corporate organisations should not be allowed to own large areas of Scottish land. Tax implications and land restrictions should be put in place to discourage wealthy land owners. Any development should be scrutinised by local community groups, environmental groups and Councils to ensure that biodiversity and conservation issues are addressed and policy is adhered to. Common land should be used as outdoor space for nursery and school children, so they can learn to appreciate and respect nature.	It's a place to relax, walk, enjoy fresh air and open space. People connect	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
814	Yes	More community ownership, close loopholes that favour super rich, phase out shooting estates, phase out fake 'freeports' and 'enterprise zones' that undermine human rights and environmental law.	Sustainability	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
815	Yes	I would like to see much more of Scotland's owned by the community who live there. There is far too much of Scotland's land owned privately including by companies from abroad. These private companies are not interested in the well being of the people who live on their land, only the maximum profit they a get out of it. Our island community would benefit greatly from community ownership of much more land. We have had 4 large wind turbines on our island for about 12 years and not a penny of the estimated £100k per annum income they generate has gone to the community. This is because the Council guidance that 5% of wind turbine income generated should go to community benefit is only guidance, not compulsory, and so landowners can ignore it, rather than have to pay it.	90% of our island is privately owned who put nothing into it.	Over 70	Male	Community group or development trust member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
816	Yes	Huge estates such as the one recently purchased in the Perth area do not help. The owners will want to see a return and probably get public money to do it. [REDACTED - NAMED INDIVIDUAL] has the right idea. One of the biggest landowners in Scotland, but not all have a social conscience. Land should be in the hands of private investors, not the public sector. As has been seen already the Government ride roughshod even with people's homes. This is in breach of the Human Rights Convention to make people homeless, and even worse for profit.	The space around it. We moved from Kent to avoid being crowded in	61-70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
817	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
818	Yes	More for farming to produce local healthy food	That we grow more of our own food	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
819	Yes	I would like to see landowners be able to have people exclusion zones to protect nature	Protection from people	51-60	Prefer not to say	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
820	Yes	It should be a much more diverse and equal Land Ownership. Giving opportunities for ordinary people to have land and be able to build and live without being rich. The land assets of the large estates should have ve a land value tax place on them. Land cannot be hidden or moved offshore.	Utilising Croft lands imaginatively for young families. Renewables small farms	61-70	Male	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
821	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	None of the above		
822	Yes	More say and control Given to local communities on planning, rather than to council planning teams - particularly for large infrastructure projects that ruin the land for locals, purely to benefit a government agenda	Keeping it natural	31-40	Male	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
823	Yes	More finance , more responsive Planning system to support communities to buy , own and use land including for community owned energy generation and social housing.... Current unlevel playing field favours major developers and offshore investors who siphon billions out of Scotland to no benefit to communities and expense of natural assets. Community councils have no power and no money (deliberate disempowerment) and Community Dev Trusts no core funding for admin so run by volunteers and struggle to sustain projects . To deliver Local Place Plans Scot Gov and Ent Trusts must invest in community bodies and stop Councils keeping regeneration funds for overpriced feasibility studies with no material outcomes. Councils are failing to build the eco and community friendly social housing which people need but big developers wont touch as no profit. Let communities build the social homes of the future cheaper and faster - as non profit social enterprises generating income to plough back into community. A win win . Where I live this would make a huge difference. Break Highland Council into small districts and bring back local democracy.	More land in public ownership. Consult locals before all planning decisions	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
824	Yes	Land should belong to the communities that live on them, not to individual people or absentee land lord. Land should be nurtured and cultivated in a sustainable way which helps it to adapt to changing climate and to grow food for communities	More community involvement, allotments, e developing land to serve communities	61-70	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
825	Yes	I would like that Scottish land is only owned by people actually living in Scotland and not be able to be purchased by big business, either in the UK or overseas. Only people living in Scotland should be able to influence its use.	Ayrshire, in easy reach of Glasgow with many new houses. The roads cant cope.	61-70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
826	Yes	There is no need to change the present structure of landownership, but there is a need to ensure it is run well. Addressing mismanagement is not difficult. There are plenty of remedies, both criminal and civil, within present law for mismanagement.	Stability of ownership to ensure proper long term management.	Over 70	Male	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
827	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
828	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
829	Yes	The current system of private land ownership in Scotland underpins significant economic activity across rural and semi-urban areas. Landowners invest in long-term management, infrastructure, and diversification strategies that generate employment, support local businesses, and sustain important industries such as field sports. Estates generate annual economic output through agriculture, forestry, tourism, and diversified rural enterprises. The "Economic Contribution of Estates in Scotland" report estimated privately owned estates contribute hundreds of millions of pounds to Scotland's GDP via direct operations and supplier chains. Income from land-based activities supports local service providers—construction, machinery hire, retail—multiplying economic impacts in remote communities. The report highlighted landowners create thousands of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs, often in areas with limited alternative employment opportunities. Field sports—driven shooting, gamebird stalking, salmon and trout fishing—are major drivers of rural tourism income in Scotland. Visiting hunters and anglers pay for accommodation, equipment hire, local guiding, transport, and catering.	Job creation and the maintenance of rural economies - crucial.	31-40	Prefer not to say	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
830	Yes	I would like to see local communities having more of a say in the use of land instead of sprawling housing developments being forced upon us without any regard whatsoever to our Infrastructure, particularly Water, Sewage, and Drainage as well as Schools, GPs, Town Centres etc. Albeit NPF4 Policy 18 says Infrastructure First, Highland Council basically force Scottish Water to agree to every development and Scottish Water are forced to say yes because of the current Water Regulations. Without Water and Sewage we have no basic life essentials and these services must be in place before any housing developments. Stop the industrialisation of the Highlands. Our world renowned landscape/seascape, environment and way of life is being destroyed to accommodate greedy overseas companies putting windfarms and BESS everywhere.	Common Good Land is being pillaged by Highland Council to cover its debts.	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
831	Yes	Most large landowners in Scotland are doing their best in a tricky and divisive environment where Government often seems against them. Size of land ownership if of much less relevance when compared to how the land is managed and used. The good that is done for the environment with well managed farm land & moorland is well known and thus land managed at scale is of great importance to Scotland as a whole. Why change something that isn't broken? Communities in remote locations in Scotland are kept together by large and medium sized estates who provide employment and often low cost housing to keep families/people in the area.	Good environmental stewardship & stable mgmt by ppl who know what they're doing	41-50	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
832	Yes	I do not believe wide-ranging changes to land ownership are necessary or desirable. Large estates and their owners are a force for good, bringing vital investment that underpins rural jobs, housing, and infrastructure. They provide the long-term perspective, scale, and financial resilience needed to deliver projects in forestry, biodiversity, renewable energy, and carbon management—initiatives that require decades of continuity and commitment. While community ownership has its place, there are clear limits to how much land can be effectively managed this way. Community initiatives work best when they complement, rather than replace, professional land management at scale. My concern is that increasing regulatory interference risks discouraging investment and stifling growth, just when Scotland most needs private capital to deliver climate, nature, and rural development goals. Policy should therefore prioritise stability and certainty for landowners, enabling confident investment that secures lasting benefits for people, nature, and local communities.	Productive, sustainable management delivering jobs, nature, and resilience.	51-60	Male	Land manager	2	Other Urban Areas
833	Yes	Farmers should run the land, either as tenants or owners. Owners should own the land and develop the land for its best and most profitable use based on its intrinsic nature. The public should be able to enjoy the land via hiking and visiting and camping etc but without disruption to the communities where the land is based. The government should enable these principles to be adopted and maintained.	Land to be maintained for its best use and kept beautifuliful	61-70	Male	Business owner	7	Remote Rural Areas
834	Yes	I would prefer to see less land owned by foreign companies and individuals, especially from tax havens. I see no problem with larger landownerships, it has pros and cons.	That the countryside if managed to balance food production and nature.	51-60	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
835	Yes	After having been involved as a community member in several local 'community' projects over the last couple of years I have become more nuanced in my view on what matters. In all the projects the landowner (who were large) were pretty easy to deal with. There was a clear logical businesslike structure to their responses. The 'community' group became incredibly hard work as they became dominated by a few loud ideologists who were far from inclusive. They often worked without committe oversight or approval and drove their own agenda creating unreasonable and to be honest deliberately awkward requests. This has resulted in excessive waste of public money. The projects could of been delivered better for all partied for considerably less public spend . It has also poisoned relations locally so future projects will now be harder. They used the landowner as a foil for their own incompetence. My concern is it is easy to blame the landowner for what is really an incompetence problem and lack of genuine community buy in. Not all landowners are bad, in fact some community groups are worse managers of the land. I have come to realise it's what happens not who owns it.	What is happening with it. Are there jobs, access, is it cared for?	51-60	Male	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
836	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Prefer not to say	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
837	Yes	Less land managed by state owned enterprises and corporations. With farmers and private landownership the land managers are nearly always locally known and easily found in the local farmhouse giving direct accountability and easy access to land managers who generally remain in post for a generation rather than revolving doors as employees change every 3-years. Farmers & landowners are a much more cost effective delivery solution than bureaucrats employing third-party private contractors so save money for Scotland and its people.	Stop neglect eg roadside litter & nature decline due law not being rural-proofed	51-60	Male	Business owner	1	Large Urban Areas
838	Yes	There should be no prejudice against large private landholdings. They are the most likely to bring outside wealth into the countryside and are the most likely to generate wealth, give people employment and support local communities.	The diversity of land occupation and ownership and therefore management.	Over 70	Male	Business owner	2	Other Urban Areas
839	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
840	Yes	I would like to see greater democratic access to public land, particularly land owned by FLS. Their holdings, ratified by statute in 1919 to protect forest stock after WW1, now face a new context: global warming, alongside Scotland's demographic and housing crises. FLS land could become a national resource to address these intersecting challenges. Marginalised communities, often excluded by rurality and lack of finance for secure housing, could benefit from land reallocated for starter smallholdings, live/work units, or models such as hutting and forest schools. Meaningful change requires transparency, and the Scottish Land Commission plays an important role as a broker of knowledge exchange and dialogue among stakeholders. However, land ownership remains insufficiently scrutinised. Private and corporate landholders are under-taxed, while key fiscal levers—CGT, IHT, Corporation Tax—remain reserved. Without full fiscal autonomy, Scotland cannot ensure land, a finite national resource, is used and valued in ways that meet today's social, economic, and environmental needs.	Landscape character, cultural heritage and walking.	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
841	Yes	Land is necessary for food production, timber production, renewable energy projects, sport and recreation, the natural environment, roads, railways and housing and other infrastructure. Who owns the land is irrelevance it is how it is used.	Fresh air	NA	Female	Business owner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
842	Yes	I would like to see owners having an active roll in the land that they own rather than many 'landowners' being large companies or otherwise who have no direct link or passion for the land that they own.	a veriety of vegetation that does not comprise brambles, bracken & rushes only.	41-50	Female	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
843	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
844	Yes	1. The trend towards inclusion of producing food, nature and recreational use that is focused on customers living nearby and World wide markets should be encouraged by supporting long term family ownership and development. Difference to me: enhancement of opportunity: less time spent on tackling the unintended consequences of misguided public policy, enabling focus on saving and positive planning for investment. Difference to community: Local business aligned to local customer needs Jobs with training and security and opportunities for start up businesses. 2. Enabling the private sector to tackle the provision of housing and community services where and when appropriate without fear of public intervention and tax change following initial decisions to invest. Difference to me- benefit of living in a confident community Difference to community,: local business can align with public policy outcomes as defined by the community and customer needs. . My work; confidence.	Natural and cultural heritage working together. Housing ladder for locals&comin	Over 70	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
845	Yes	I don't want to see any changes. No solar panel farms, please. Use motorway sidings or brownfield land for solar panel farms. Keep the countryside exactly as it is, please. Also offshore hydro generation could be put in marine conservation areas. Win-win.	I am really happy to be able to enjoy the countryside just the way it is.	Under 30	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
846	Yes	Easy access/rights to install, restore and maintain water supplies. Potentially protection around water supplies. Ongoing improvements and added rights for creating walking and cycling infrastructure particularly connecting settlements. I'd like to see rights to buy increased to ground with sporting rights i.e. shooting and fishing. I live in a very rural community most land and houses are owned by large shooting estates. The community is being drastically depopulated as estates aren't re renting houses. Either leaving empty or turning into holiday lets. Even game keepers are getting worried they will be forced out community when they lose there jobs as no houses. We considered looking at right to buy for community housing but would have no right around land as has sporting rights so opportunities for enterprise limited.	Beautiful. I can access remote places easily.	41-50	Female	Tenant	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
847	Yes	More active and productive community ownership and less New Green Lairds	That it is accessible to local people who wish to use it for productive land use	51-60	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
848	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Farmer or Crofter	7	Remote Rural Areas
849	Yes	Need to put a stop to big corporate companies buying land so that they say that they are carbon neutral by planting trees, what an absolute con !! Need to promote private land ownership for country sports not penalise them like is happening at the minute, these people invest vast sums of money into the countryside securing vital rural employment at no cost to the taxpayer. The public has more than enough rights of access already they do not need any more.	Conservation of wildlife, which is best delivered by promoting country sports.	51-60	Male	Land manager	7	Remote Rural Areas
850	Yes	Stop building houses on green belt. Use brown field sites instead. Also stop building on flood plains. Remove ALL wins turbines from both land and sea. They are killing our wildlife and are totally not green. It takes more energy to build and install them that they would ever produce.	So many new houses being built around here yet little emplyment	Over 70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	6	Accessible Rural Areas
851	Yes	More community owned land, less large estates and farms, more locally owned land and property. I don't want people who don't live in this country owning property in this country. Or if they do it should be taxed to high heaven. So should second homes.	Locally owned sustainable cared for	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
852	Yes	I believe that technology should be used harmoniously with the surrounding landscapes in nature, rather than against them. Prioritising finite energy sources for short-term profits works against this process. Talks of developments in fossil fuels or fracking are counterintuitive to green energy solutions. Often, you hear it said that using money raised from these energy solutions now to help transition to green practices is a viable solution to the problem. With the way companies that typically own these energy companies act, I don't believe this to be true. Far more likely to hoard any wealth from these short-term practices.	Sustainable land-use, clean water and rewilding areas.	31-40	Male	Tenant	2	Other Urban Areas
853	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member		
854	Yes	Return the vast expanses of deserted grouse moorland to public ownership for rewilding, reforesting with indigenous species of trees and repopulating	Public access	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
855	Yes	Large areas of Scotland are owned by absentee landlords. I would like to see public ownership of these lands or community buyouts, so land use could be made to fit local needs. The ecology could also be managed better (not for shooting!) This would hopefully create jobs, lower rents and stop local people being pushed or priced out. We also have to embrace green energy, which means engaging the public more about the benefits, though off shore wind and wave farms could be less disruptive. No absentee billionaires!	Preserve green spaces in urban areas. Good cycle routes and bus and train links	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
856	Yes	I think that we urgently need to start making decisions based on the long-term and collective impacts of our actions rather than individual or corporate short-term gain, but that our society is currently lacking tools to effectively do this. One tool which is shown to work is community land ownership, and I believe that this should be developed and strengthened wherever possible. The communities that live in a place are the only ones who can be trusted to make sustainable long-term choices balancing the need for development that supports the transition to a low-carbon economy against impacts on places and ecosystems. The role of government should be to strengthen communities and not just facilitate profit. Where I live, community land ownership would enable us as a community to make decisions in a way that ensures a sustainable future for both the community and the land on which we depend for our livelihood. Of course, land ownership is not the only issue - there are other important levers by which positive change can be achieved, but in a nation which currently has uniquely concentrated patterns of land ownership, it is surely a critically important one.	A thriving ecosystem, the opportunity so support ourselves sustainably.	51-60	Female	Local government or public sector	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
857	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	31-40	Female	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas
858	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Female	None of the above	3	Accessible Small Towns
859	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	None of the above	1	Large Urban Areas
860	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	NA	Female	Landowner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
861	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
862	Yes	No shooting estates. Continued reasonable access for the public across all land but a limit on wild camping near houses and roads to avoid intrusive collections of campers at certain popular areas. Limits on intrusive water access eg wild swimming and kayaking on lochs and rivers in order to protect wildlife.	Reasonable access for walking.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	3	Accessible Small Towns
863	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	61-70	Male	Landowner	6	Accessible Rural Areas
864	Yes	Land owners should be allowed to have peacefully possession of their land without interference from non land owners.	Privacy	Under 30	Prefer not to say	Community group or development trust member	1	Large Urban Areas
865	Yes	I would like to see land owned, managed and used by people who really know how to do so. There's a lot of experimentation at the moment which in the long term won't be of benefit to biodiversity and communities in the long-term.	Properly managed land for biodiversity and society.	51-60	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
866	Yes	Less rich people owning land for recreational use like shooting and more land dedicated to ecological regrowth	Having nature be accessible to all	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen		
867	Yes	Stop international buyers taking all the estates Use them for locals & tourists to walk on, stay on & visit. Rewild them all.	Rewilding	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
868	Yes	I would like to see the " Right to roam" preserved and stop farmers fencing across old tracks and ways! . Respecting fields and crops is fair enough but losing access is quite another!! Once land is bought I don't think it should be taxed for buying it or owning it or selling it!! Often people selling land need thst money!! And people buying land shouldn't be burdened either . It can prevents sale or buying from even happening and that seems deliberated!! Title deeds ought to be able to allow some flexibility also as times and uses change for people to live and survive! A title deeds ought can be good but In Today's suffering economy they prevent decent use for making a iving for people. The ancient royal claim to all minerals and metals should be relaxed or given up as it can cause more embarrassment than use and is a monopoly!	The right to freely roam with respect to land use like crops etc	61-70	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
869	Yes	If land is left derelict for more than 5 years that it is then open for community purchase at a discounted rate.	That the space is used & not left derelict for years.	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
870	Yes	Community oriented projects aimed at combating the climate crisis, localising food growth and potential production, alleviating deprivation, lowering rents and providing jobs is essential. Most rural land is owned by a tiny percentage of the population and/or managed through private trusts and unelected government leases. Land use in Scotland must be accountable and for the betterment of Scotland for decades to come, with a balance of ecological, localised community betterment and national transport and infrastructure interests needed. Anything less and the rot of industry, jobs and local economies will only continue.	Local independence, ecological concerns and democratic control.	Under 30	Male	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
871	Yes	The Land Reform Act 2003 needs to be changed. This sort of act works in Scandinavia but not in Scotland. Farmers and people who live in the country are suffering at the hands of arrogant entitled people who don't care at all about the farmers' livelihoods. Trampling through barley crops, making many "paths" going through hay or silage fields and letting dogs poo everywhere, letting their dogs go into fields with cows and calves and upset pregnant cows, going into the farmyard and having a look in sheds and helping themselves to anything lying around, following farmers' wives and children up the farm driveway to the house in the dark, being abusive when asked not to blow loud dog whistles when horses are being led to their stables, hitting the farmer when asked to remove themselves from the farmers' garden after having sat down on the farmers' table and chairs to have a picnic, pushing farm workers to the ground when asked to take their dog away from where a cow is calving. This happens on most farms and much worse. The Right to Roam needs to be amended and made clear. People should be made to keep to the paths and keep dogs under control near stock and fined if they don't.	That farmers grow food.	51-60	Male	Landowner	7	Remote Rural Areas
872	Yes	No more 'Green Lairds' land cannot be sold to hedge funds etc for non existent carbon credits. No fallow land anywhere. No agri land is allowed to be used to build houses on. No cycle paths anywhere on existing agri land. No more rewilding. Never, ever any more introduction of species that are now extinct from these shores. Compulsory purchase removed as an option for utilities to bully landowners	No more houses built anywhere. Leave farmers alone	41-50	Female	Business owner	2	Other Urban Areas
873	Yes	Land in Scotland should be community owned for their benefit. Unnamed owners who have swathes of land should be illegal. Land ownership should also be accompanied with rules on how that land can be utilised for the benefit of wildlife. There should be no driven grouse moors for example. No owner is above the law and that includes the Royal family.	It is most important that it is managed correctly and not destroyed.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
874	Yes	The trend of wealthy foreign business owners buying multiple estates for rewilding/investment/carbon capture is not good for communities and yet the Scottish government are very anti traditional estate ownership which supported rural life and it's communities. Would be good if there was a transition to some government owned and charity owned land being managed and developed by communities for communities - encouraging young families to seek a future in rural Scotland will help keep rural schools open.	Family farms give stability to rural communities	61-70	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
875	Yes	A more diverse pattern of ownership, more land owned by local communities	Ecological decline	61-70	Male	Community group or development trust member	6	Accessible Rural Areas
876	Yes	I would like to see more protection for un-industrialised landscapes and I would like to see stronger protections for peat including degraded peat which should be restored and not used as an excuse for industrialisation through wind farms, pylon lines and BESS	Preserve the invaluable asset of still largely un-industrialised landscapes	41-50	Female	Interested Citizen	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
877	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
878	Yes	I'd like to see every community having the right to allocate land to locals for house building, without the profiteering of private developers who can by land cheap, get planning permission and make 10 fold profits just by getting the planning permission.	Redistribution land from the "landed gentry" who stole it in the first place.	51-60	Female	Interested Citizen	7	Remote Rural Areas
879	Yes	Any government decisions relating to specific land parcels in Scotland are made by people that are fully knowledgeable and experienced of living and working in these specific area. I would like to see a stop to people who have no or little knowledge/experience of rural living being able to make decisions that impact rural businesses and communities.	Concerns for basic infrastructure, flood prevention, water and energy supplies,	41-50	Female	Land manager	1	Large Urban Areas
880	Yes	Land reform means not having so many quangos dealing with it with confused messages. It should not belong to big investors with millions of pounds when the money goes out of Scotland. The Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2016 needs updating alongside the Scottish Outdoor Access Code with urgency. It should however, not deter inward investment as does the current system, with only Scottish Solicitors being able to do the conveyancing, a simple task.	People should be able to buy it without impedence from Scottish solicitors.	61-70	Female	Business owner	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
881	Yes	What is meant by land? If one takes the legal definition, I believe in the principle of private ownership, with the state intervening only where support for communities is needed, such as social/affordable housing, or sited of National importance which are otherwise under threat. So I would like to see much more focus in Government support, with assets sold to private or community interest which do not fit the above criteria, thereby releasing funds which could be better invested	Land for housing needs to be increased with support for affordables	61-70	Male	Land manager	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
882	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	Over 70	Female	Interested Citizen	5	Very Remote Small Towns
883	Yes	Natiionalisation with local board control; payment of full current value over 100 years at 2% interest. Same approach to energy production	Control over short termism of shoddy housing developments	Over 70	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
884	Yes	I would like to see an increase in morally driven land management. Which holistically balances climate change requirements and habitat development	Green space and biodiversity	31-40	Male	Interested Citizen	1	Large Urban Areas
885	Yes	I would like to see land move into public ownership, and stewarded through a publicly funded non-governmental organisation with a focus on re-establishing the land into its natural state. I feel as though this should include projects which, for example, oversee peatland restoration and reforestation to regenerate the natural condition of the land. These projects will act as a sink for carbon emissions and help strengthen ecological habitats for many more of Scotland's inhabitants. They can also be used to provide accessible public natural spaces. Such projects would promote both the importance of ecological diversity but also human well being by creating spaces where people have the opportunity to connect with nature.	The regeneration of natural spaces.	31-40	Female	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
886	Yes	Would like to have Scotland kept and owned by Scottish people	That nature is cherished and land is looked after	Over 70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	2	Other Urban Areas
887	Yes	I would like to see the Scottish government stop giving money to logging companies who ignore EIA's and plant waste swathes of Sitka spruce destroying wildlife.	The protection of wildlife, better places for people to enjoy the countryside	61-70	Female	Interested Citizen	6	Accessible Rural Areas
888	Yes	Restrict overseas buyers. Tax large overseas landowners heavily. Encourage community ownership of land. Enable people living in communities to access land parcels that give a viable rural lifestyle (crofts, small holdings). Include marine and coastal community led management in the conversation.	Land should include marine and coastal.	61-70	Female	Environmental or nature group member	7	Remote Rural Areas
889	Yes	I know the land is owned, owners have rights, protected by laws . The Laws protects all. I am a subject of the King, money is used to own the land, and also territory. Territory can be lost, or gained, We are trapped by money, on a finite resource. I have a nice hoe, and location. The opportunity is not the same for all. They need resources. Community Health.	That geographically it is used.	51-60	Male	Local government or public sector	2	Other Urban Areas
890	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Prefer not to say	Landowner	1	Large Urban Areas
891	Yes	I'd like to see environmental considerations at the heart of policy. More support for community owned reserves and rewilded areas, e.g. the campaign to preserve Locherwood near Kilmacolm	Nearest me? Protection from development.	51-60	Male	Environmental or nature group member	1	Large Urban Areas
892	Yes	There needs to be less development and more conservation. Farm land, private land, or public land being sold off to to developers is destroying important habitats. There are too many new housing estates popping up in land that could be a haven for wildlife instead. At the same time it's becoming more and more difficult to use the land that is available for recreation because public transport links are being cut and parking is not available. I like to go wild swimming, but everywhere I can go locally is having parking cracked down on with no alternative transport links, promised car parks and transport links have never materialised, and it means having to drive further afield to spend any time in the outdoors. There needs to be better public access to green spaces or people will stop using them entirely.	Wilderness. Especially in the south of Scotland, there needs to be more "wild"	31-40	Prefer not to say	Interested Citizen	2	Other Urban Areas
893	Yes	I would like to see landownership being less concentrated and no absentee landowners. Land should belong to the general public/local communities. More tenanted crofts with a restriction on the right to buy should be created. It would make a great difference for our local community and its sustainable social and economic development if more people lived on and worked the land. The environment would be in a better state too, not overgrown with bracken or planted with sitka spruce with the right incentives	Create more crofts on private and public land.	31-40	Female	Farmer or Crofter	8	Very Remote Rural Areas
894	Yes	Land ownership to evidence long term sustainable practice without exploitation of the land itself, the plants and the wildlife. There is now enough information to crate a balance in nature to benefit ownership community and the land.	Enhancing community. A balanced view housing, services and natural life .	NA	Female	Interested Citizen		
895	Yes	More community land ownership. Would also like to see the land management tied more to the delivery of development plan, open space strategy, forest and woodland strategy and climate plans. There is a real disconnect between what is delivered and what strategy and policy say should be delivered.	Access, space (blue, green and grey) shelter, somewhere to sit	51-60	Female	Local government or public sector	2	Other Urban Areas
896	Yes	It would be great to see a reduction in legislation & red tape that cripples the rural economy. Land Reform has effectively only brought more regulation and less ability to effectively develop businesses in the rural countryside. Who owns the land isn't the issue that stops economic development, it is the overregulation & socialist mentality of the governing bodies that is the issue.	well managed land with purpose and scale	41-50	Male	Business owner	3	Accessible Small Towns
897	No	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	[REDACTED - NO CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION]	41-50	Male	Land manager	6	Accessible Rural Areas